1					
1					
		1			
2		2			
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	3	INDEX		
	FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA	3	EXAMINATION BY	PAGE	
4		4	EXAMINATION BT	FAGE	
	-000-	_	Mr. Andreas	5	
5		5	Mr. Holford	119	
	JACK KANANIAN, Executor of the :		Mr. Northup	121	i
6	Estate of Harry Kananian, :	6	Mr. Andreas	128	i
	:	7			
7	Plaintiffs, :	8	E)// UDITO		i
	:	9	EXHIBITS		
8	vs. : Case No.	10	Exhibit 1 - to be provided	10	i
	: 1:07-CV-03188-DCN	11	Exhibit 2 Exhibit 3	12 13	
9	BRAYTON PURCELL, LLP, et al., :	1 11	Exhibit 3 Exhibit 4	34	
	:	12	Exhibit 5	35	
10	Defendants. :		Exhibit 6	45	
	=======================================	13	Exhibit 7	45	
11			Exhibit 8 - to be provided		
12		14	Exhibit 9A, 9B, 9C	60	i
13			Exhibit 10	64	
14	DEPOSITION OF	15	Exhibit 11	64	1
15	SARA BETH BROWN	1	Exhibit 12	65	1
16	Monday, March 22, 2010	16	Exhibit 13	68 82	1
17	Reno, Nevada	17	Exhibit 14 Exhibit 15	82 82	į
18	1, 1		Exhibit 16	91	ĺ
19		18	Exhibit 17	94	1
20			Exhibit 18	95	i
21		19	Exhibit 19	97	ĺ
22			Exhibit 20	101	i
23	Reported by: DIANNE M. BRUMLEY, NV CCR #205	20	Exhibit 21	104	i
	California CSR #6796	21			ĺ
24	Gamornia GGIV #07 50	22			ĺ
2.1	BONANZA REPORTING: 1111 FOREST, RENO, NEVADA	23			i
25	Telephone: (775) 786-7655	24 25			i
23	Page 1	25		ם	age 3
	raye 1				<u>age 3</u>
					ĺ
1		1	ATTORNEY'	'S NOTES/CORRECT	IONS
2		2	PAGE LINE		i
3	APPEARANCES		FAGL LINL		
4		1 2			l.
5		3			
6	EOD THE DIAMITIES				
1 ×	FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:	4			
	Jeffries, Kube, Forrest & Monteleone Co.				
7	Jeffries, Kube, Forrest & Monteleone Co. Attorneys at Law	4			
7	Jeffries, Kube, Forrest & Monteleone Co. Attorneys at Law BY: JARRETT NORTHUP (via phone)	4 5 6			
	Jeffries, Kube, Forrest & Monteleone Co. Attorneys at Law BY: JARRETT NORTHUP (via phone) 101 Prospect Avenue West	4 5 6 7			
7	Jeffries, Kube, Forrest & Monteleone Co. Attorneys at Law BY: JARRETT NORTHUP (via phone)	4 5 6			
7 8 9	Jeffries, Kube, Forrest & Monteleone Co. Attorneys at Law BY: JARRETT NORTHUP (via phone) 101 Prospect Avenue West	4 5 6 7 8			
7	Jeffries, Kube, Forrest & Monteleone Co. Attorneys at Law BY: JARRETT NORTHUP (via phone) 101 Prospect Avenue West Cleveland, Ohio 44115-1027	4 5 6 7 8 9			
7 8 9 10	Jeffries, Kube, Forrest & Monteleone Co. Attorneys at Law BY: JARRETT NORTHUP (via phone) 101 Prospect Avenue West Cleveland, Ohio 44115-1027 FOR THE DEFENDANTS BRAYTON PURCELL:	4 5 6 7 8			
7 8 9	Jeffries, Kube, Forrest & Monteleone Co. Attorneys at Law BY: JARRETT NORTHUP (via phone) 101 Prospect Avenue West Cleveland, Ohio 44115-1027 FOR THE DEFENDANTS BRAYTON PURCELL: Reminger Law Firm	4 5 6 7 8 9			
7 8 9 10	Jeffries, Kube, Forrest & Monteleone Co. Attorneys at Law BY: JARRETT NORTHUP (via phone) 101 Prospect Avenue West Cleveland, Ohio 44115-1027 FOR THE DEFENDANTS BRAYTON PURCELL: Reminger Law Firm Attorneys at Law	4 5 6 7 8 9 10			
7 8 9 10 11	Jeffries, Kube, Forrest & Monteleone Co. Attorneys at Law BY: JARRETT NORTHUP (via phone) 101 Prospect Avenue West Cleveland, Ohio 44115-1027 FOR THE DEFENDANTS BRAYTON PURCELL: Reminger Law Firm	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11			
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Jeffries, Kube, Forrest & Monteleone Co. Attorneys at Law BY: JARRETT NORTHUP (via phone) 101 Prospect Avenue West Cleveland, Ohio 44115-1027 FOR THE DEFENDANTS BRAYTON PURCELL: Reminger Law Firm Attorneys at Law BY: DAVE ROSS (via phone)	4 5 6 7 8 9 10			
7 8 9 10 11	Jeffries, Kube, Forrest & Monteleone Co. Attorneys at Law BY: JARRETT NORTHUP (via phone) 101 Prospect Avenue West Cleveland, Ohio 44115-1027 FOR THE DEFENDANTS BRAYTON PURCELL: Reminger Law Firm Attorneys at Law BY: DAVE ROSS (via phone) FOR THE DEFENDANT CHRISTOPHER ANDREAS:	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13			
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Jeffries, Kube, Forrest & Monteleone Co. Attorneys at Law BY: JARRETT NORTHUP (via phone) 101 Prospect Avenue West Cleveland, Ohio 44115-1027 FOR THE DEFENDANTS BRAYTON PURCELL: Reminger Law Firm Attorneys at Law BY: DAVE ROSS (via phone) FOR THE DEFENDANT CHRISTOPHER ANDREAS: Anelli Holford	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14			
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Jeffries, Kube, Forrest & Monteleone Co. Attorneys at Law BY: JARRETT NORTHUP (via phone) 101 Prospect Avenue West Cleveland, Ohio 44115-1027 FOR THE DEFENDANTS BRAYTON PURCELL: Reminger Law Firm Attorneys at Law BY: DAVE ROSS (via phone) FOR THE DEFENDANT CHRISTOPHER ANDREAS: Anelli Holford Attorneys at Law	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13			
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Jeffries, Kube, Forrest & Monteleone Co. Attorneys at Law BY: JARRETT NORTHUP (via phone) 101 Prospect Avenue West Cleveland, Ohio 44115-1027 FOR THE DEFENDANTS BRAYTON PURCELL: Reminger Law Firm Attorneys at Law BY: DAVE ROSS (via phone) FOR THE DEFENDANT CHRISTOPHER ANDREAS: Anelli Holford Attorneys at Law BY: ANDREW HOLFORD (via phone)	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15			
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Jeffries, Kube, Forrest & Monteleone Co. Attorneys at Law BY: JARRETT NORTHUP (via phone) 101 Prospect Avenue West Cleveland, Ohio 44115-1027 FOR THE DEFENDANTS BRAYTON PURCELL: Reminger Law Firm Attorneys at Law BY: DAVE ROSS (via phone) FOR THE DEFENDANT CHRISTOPHER ANDREAS: Anelli Holford Attorneys at Law BY: ANDREW HOLFORD (via phone) 6099 Riverside Drive, Suite 207	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16			
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Jeffries, Kube, Forrest & Monteleone Co. Attorneys at Law BY: JARRETT NORTHUP (via phone) 101 Prospect Avenue West Cleveland, Ohio 44115-1027 FOR THE DEFENDANTS BRAYTON PURCELL: Reminger Law Firm Attorneys at Law BY: DAVE ROSS (via phone) FOR THE DEFENDANT CHRISTOPHER ANDREAS: Anelli Holford Attorneys at Law BY: ANDREW HOLFORD (via phone)	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15			
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Jeffries, Kube, Forrest & Monteleone Co. Attorneys at Law BY: JARRETT NORTHUP (via phone) 101 Prospect Avenue West Cleveland, Ohio 44115-1027 FOR THE DEFENDANTS BRAYTON PURCELL: Reminger Law Firm Attorneys at Law BY: DAVE ROSS (via phone) FOR THE DEFENDANT CHRISTOPHER ANDREAS: Anelli Holford Attorneys at Law BY: ANDREW HOLFORD (via phone) 6099 Riverside Drive, Suite 207 Dublin, Ohio 43017	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16			
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Jeffries, Kube, Forrest & Monteleone Co. Attorneys at Law BY: JARRETT NORTHUP (via phone) 101 Prospect Avenue West Cleveland, Ohio 44115-1027 FOR THE DEFENDANTS BRAYTON PURCELL: Reminger Law Firm Attorneys at Law BY: DAVE ROSS (via phone) FOR THE DEFENDANT CHRISTOPHER ANDREAS: Anelli Holford Attorneys at Law BY: ANDREW HOLFORD (via phone) 6099 Riverside Drive, Suite 207 Dublin, Ohio 43017 FOR THE DEPONENT:	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18			
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Jeffries, Kube, Forrest & Monteleone Co. Attorneys at Law BY: JARRETT NORTHUP (via phone) 101 Prospect Avenue West Cleveland, Ohio 44115-1027 FOR THE DEFENDANTS BRAYTON PURCELL: Reminger Law Firm Attorneys at Law BY: DAVE ROSS (via phone) FOR THE DEFENDANT CHRISTOPHER ANDREAS: Anelli Holford Attorneys at Law BY: ANDREW HOLFORD (via phone) 6099 Riverside Drive, Suite 207 Dublin, Ohio 43017 FOR THE DEPONENT: Jones Vargas	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18			
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Jeffries, Kube, Forrest & Monteleone Co. Attorneys at Law BY: JARRETT NORTHUP (via phone) 101 Prospect Avenue West Cleveland, Ohio 44115-1027 FOR THE DEFENDANTS BRAYTON PURCELL: Reminger Law Firm Attorneys at Law BY: DAVE ROSS (via phone) FOR THE DEFENDANT CHRISTOPHER ANDREAS: Anelli Holford Attorneys at Law BY: ANDREW HOLFORD (via phone) 6099 Riverside Drive, Suite 207 Dublin, Ohio 43017 FOR THE DEPONENT: Jones Vargas Attorneys at Law	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18			
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Jeffries, Kube, Forrest & Monteleone Co. Attorneys at Law BY: JARRETT NORTHUP (via phone) 101 Prospect Avenue West Cleveland, Ohio 44115-1027 FOR THE DEFENDANTS BRAYTON PURCELL: Reminger Law Firm Attorneys at Law BY: DAVE ROSS (via phone) FOR THE DEFENDANT CHRISTOPHER ANDREAS: Anelli Holford Attorneys at Law BY: ANDREW HOLFORD (via phone) 6099 Riverside Drive, Suite 207 Dublin, Ohio 43017 FOR THE DEPONENT: Jones Vargas Attorneys at Law BY: WAYNE KLOMP	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20			
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Jeffries, Kube, Forrest & Monteleone Co. Attorneys at Law BY: JARRETT NORTHUP (via phone) 101 Prospect Avenue West Cleveland, Ohio 44115-1027 FOR THE DEFENDANTS BRAYTON PURCELL: Reminger Law Firm Attorneys at Law BY: DAVE ROSS (via phone) FOR THE DEFENDANT CHRISTOPHER ANDREAS: Anelli Holford Attorneys at Law BY: ANDREW HOLFORD (via phone) 6099 Riverside Drive, Suite 207 Dublin, Ohio 43017 FOR THE DEPONENT: Jones Vargas Attorneys at Law BY: WAYNE KLOMP 100 West Liberty Street, 12th Floor	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21			
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Jeffries, Kube, Forrest & Monteleone Co. Attorneys at Law BY: JARRETT NORTHUP (via phone) 101 Prospect Avenue West Cleveland, Ohio 44115-1027 FOR THE DEFENDANTS BRAYTON PURCELL: Reminger Law Firm Attorneys at Law BY: DAVE ROSS (via phone) FOR THE DEFENDANT CHRISTOPHER ANDREAS: Anelli Holford Attorneys at Law BY: ANDREW HOLFORD (via phone) 6099 Riverside Drive, Suite 207 Dublin, Ohio 43017 FOR THE DEPONENT: Jones Vargas Attorneys at Law BY: WAYNE KLOMP	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20			
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Jeffries, Kube, Forrest & Monteleone Co. Attorneys at Law BY: JARRETT NORTHUP (via phone) 101 Prospect Avenue West Cleveland, Ohio 44115-1027 FOR THE DEFENDANTS BRAYTON PURCELL: Reminger Law Firm Attorneys at Law BY: DAVE ROSS (via phone) FOR THE DEFENDANT CHRISTOPHER ANDREAS: Anelli Holford Attorneys at Law BY: ANDREW HOLFORD (via phone) 6099 Riverside Drive, Suite 207 Dublin, Ohio 43017 FOR THE DEPONENT: Jones Vargas Attorneys at Law BY: WAYNE KLOMP 100 West Liberty Street, 12th Floor	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22			
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Jeffries, Kube, Forrest & Monteleone Co. Attorneys at Law BY: JARRETT NORTHUP (via phone) 101 Prospect Avenue West Cleveland, Ohio 44115-1027 FOR THE DEFENDANTS BRAYTON PURCELL: Reminger Law Firm Attorneys at Law BY: DAVE ROSS (via phone) FOR THE DEFENDANT CHRISTOPHER ANDREAS: Anelli Holford Attorneys at Law BY: ANDREW HOLFORD (via phone) 6099 Riverside Drive, Suite 207 Dublin, Ohio 43017 FOR THE DEPONENT: Jones Vargas Attorneys at Law BY: WAYNE KLOMP 100 West Liberty Street, 12th Floor	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23			
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Jeffries, Kube, Forrest & Monteleone Co. Attorneys at Law BY: JARRETT NORTHUP (via phone) 101 Prospect Avenue West Cleveland, Ohio 44115-1027 FOR THE DEFENDANTS BRAYTON PURCELL: Reminger Law Firm Attorneys at Law BY: DAVE ROSS (via phone) FOR THE DEFENDANT CHRISTOPHER ANDREAS: Anelli Holford Attorneys at Law BY: ANDREW HOLFORD (via phone) 6099 Riverside Drive, Suite 207 Dublin, Ohio 43017 FOR THE DEPONENT: Jones Vargas Attorneys at Law BY: WAYNE KLOMP 100 West Liberty Street, 12th Floor	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24			
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Jeffries, Kube, Forrest & Monteleone Co. Attorneys at Law BY: JARRETT NORTHUP (via phone) 101 Prospect Avenue West Cleveland, Ohio 44115-1027 FOR THE DEFENDANTS BRAYTON PURCELL: Reminger Law Firm Attorneys at Law BY: DAVE ROSS (via phone) FOR THE DEFENDANT CHRISTOPHER ANDREAS: Anelli Holford Attorneys at Law BY: ANDREW HOLFORD (via phone) 6099 Riverside Drive, Suite 207 Dublin, Ohio 43017 FOR THE DEPONENT: Jones Vargas Attorneys at Law BY: WAYNE KLOMP 100 West Liberty Street, 12th Floor	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23			
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Jeffries, Kube, Forrest & Monteleone Co. Attorneys at Law BY: JARRETT NORTHUP (via phone) 101 Prospect Avenue West Cleveland, Ohio 44115-1027 FOR THE DEFENDANTS BRAYTON PURCELL: Reminger Law Firm Attorneys at Law BY: DAVE ROSS (via phone) FOR THE DEFENDANT CHRISTOPHER ANDREAS: Anelli Holford Attorneys at Law BY: ANDREW HOLFORD (via phone) 6099 Riverside Drive, Suite 207 Dublin, Ohio 43017 FOR THE DEPONENT: Jones Vargas Attorneys at Law BY: WAYNE KLOMP 100 West Liberty Street, 12th Floor	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24			age 4

```
1
                                                                    1
                                                                              MR. ANDREAS: Okay. Well, Jarrett, just so we
 2
         RENO, NEVADA, MONDAY, MARCH 22, 2010, 12:40 P.M.
                                                                    2
                                                                         get this clear on the record for the Judge in case you
 3
                                                                    3
                                                                         decide to push this any further after today, first of
                  SARA BETH BROWN
                                                                    4
 4
                                                                         all, can you cite me any authority in the federal rules
 5
                having been duly sworn,
                                                                    5
                                                                         that prohibits me from representing myself pro se or in
 6
           was examined and testified as follows:
                                                                    6
                                                                         pro per for purposes of this deposition when I'm a named
 7
                   EXAMINATION
                                                                    7
                                                                         defendant in this case?
 8
      BY MR. ANDREAS:
                                                                   8
                                                                              MR. NORTHUP: Well, let's see, you're not pro
 9
                                                                    9
        Q Good afternoon. I introduced myself before we
                                                                         se, your attorney is on the phone. I'm just going by
10
      got on the record here today, and I'm sorry, I'm not
                                                                  10
                                                                         practical sense. You can ask the questions, Chris. We
11
      sure if you stated your name for the record. Would you
                                                                  11
                                                                         got it on the record.
12
      please do that to begin with?
                                                                  12
                                                                              MR. ANDREAS: I just want you to explain on the
13
        A My name is legally Sara Beth, no H on the Sara,
                                                                  13
                                                                         record so that we have this clear on the record,
14
      Morgan Brown.
                                                                  14
                                                                         Jarrett, what your position is and I want you to cite me
        Q Is that hyphenated or anything?
                                                                  15
15
                                                                         any authority whatsoever for the proposition or
           MR. ROSS: This is Dave Ross. I don't know if
16
                                                                  16
                                                                         supporting your objection.
17
      it's just me, but I think you need to be a little
                                                                  17
                                                                              MR. NORTHUP: Sure. Did your attorney file an
18
      closer.
                                                                  18
                                                                        answer on your behalf?
19
           THE WITNESS: Sara Beth Morgan Brown. I go by
                                                                  19
                                                                              MR. ANDREAS: Yes.
20
      Sara Beth Brown.
                                                                  20
                                                                              MR. NORTHUP: Is your attorney Andrew Holford?
21
                                                                  21
                                                                              MR. ANDREAS: Correct. Well, excuse me, for
           MR. ANDREAS: Just for the record, because I
22
      have a feeling there's going to be an objection by
                                                                  22
                                                                         the record, and we're talking over each other at this
                                                                  23
23
      plaintiff's counsel for whatever reason, because I'm in
                                                                         point. I'm represented by two attorneys, Diana
24
      Reno with a number of documents, this is more of a
                                                                  24
                                                                         Anelli --
25
      document intensive deposition in this case and it was
                                                                  25
                                                                              MR. NORTHUP: Is one of your attorneys on the
                                                      Page 5
                                                                                                                        Page 7
      just more efficient for everybody involved for me, at
                                                                         line for this deposition?
 1
                                                                   1
 2
      least from our side since we noticed this deposition, to
                                                                    2
                                                                              MR. ANDREAS: Jarrett, please let me finish
 3
      drive the three hours from San Francisco up to Reno
                                                                    3
                                                                         issue my statement so that we're not talking over each
 4
      which I did yesterday with the documents.
                                                                    4
                                                                         other. The court reporter is getting a bit frustrated
                                                                    5
 5
            Miss Brown has some additional documents she's
                                                                    6
 6
      producing here, and my attorneys and I decided that it
                                                                              MR. NORTHUP: I thought you were done. My
 7
                                                                    7
      would be more efficient for me to appear in a limited
                                                                         apologizes.
                                                                   8
 8
      capacity pro per representing myself for purposes of
                                                                              MR. ANDREAS: I am represented, that is
                                                                   9
 9
      this deposition alone. I don't intend to continue to
                                                                         correct. As far as everything that's going on out in
10
      represent myself pro per in any further proceedings in
                                                                  10
                                                                         Ohio in this case, that is correct. I certainly did not
11
                                                                  11
      this case, and that's my statement for the record. Go
                                                                         waive and there is no waiver of my right to appear pro
12
      ahead.
                                                                  12
                                                                         se or pro per, however you want to put it, for certain
13
            MR. NORTHUP: For the record, plaintiff's
                                                                  13
                                                                         proceedings or depositions in this case. I've never
14
      counsel would like to object to your handling of the
                                                                  14
                                                                         waived that and I don't believe there's any authority
15
      deposition as you are represented by your counsel and
                                                                  15
                                                                         that says that you do simply by having an attorney file
16
      your counsel is also present at this deposition
                                                                  16
                                                                         an answer for you and appear out in Ohio in court
                                                                  17
17
      telephonically. It is plaintiff's position that you're
                                                                         proceedings, so --
                                                                  18
18
      not pro se and that this should be handled by your
                                                                              MR. NORTHUP: Out of courtesy, can you please
                                                                  19
19
      attorney of record.
                                                                         explain what it is, what documents are there that we
20
                                                                  20
            Secondly, I don't know what additional
                                                                         don't have in the prior production?
21
                                                                  21
      documents have been produced. Certainly we've gotten
                                                                              MR. ANDREAS: Jarrett, I'll get to that in one
22
                                                                  22
                                                                         second. If you'll let me finish, and I'll let you know
      the production prior, but I don't know what you're
23
                                                                  23
                                                                         when I'm finished speaking for the record and you can
      looking at there. I have an objection to the deposition
24
      going forward without knowing what it is that you're
                                                                  24
                                                                         say whatever you want.
25
      looking at.
                                                                  25
                                                                              I've explained I think fairly comprehensively
```

Page 6

1 why I'm here today and what the purpose of my appearance 2 here today is in a limited capacity pro per, pro se, 3 however you want to put it. 4 As far as the documents that I just referenced, 5 there are a number of documents that the trust did 6 produce prior to the deposition and Miss Brown has

originals of those with her here today and we'll be

marking those for the record and discussing those

The other documents are documents that are equally available to everybody here. They are documents that I pulled off of a website which the Western Asbestos Trust maintains, and you're certainly welcome to go there yourself if you wanted to look that up before the deposition.

MR. ROSS: Chris, let me interject for a second. I have now produced supplementally documents from the Superior Court in California the brief in support of default judgement, the Notice of Default and the default in this case.

MR. ANDREAS: Great, okay. Those are some of the additional documents from the San Francisco Superior Court that will be discussed today, as well as I just indicated, some documents I pulled off the website which

will be dealt with very quickly and marked for the

Page 9

1 Q So are you -- do you feel comfortable with the 2 process of a deposition such that I don't have to run 3 through the normal admonitions that are usually given by 4 attorneys?

A Yes. I'm an attorney.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

21

22

23

24

25

Q Oh. Well, excuse me.

A I've taken them and I've had mine taken.

Q I didn't know that. I do apologize. Obviously I just will say this. You've seen some of the interaction here. If there's something that's not clear to you either in a question or a discussion here, please feel free to bring that to our attention and I'll try to clarify whatever it is that you have some confusion about.

Miss Brown, there was a subpoena served, a subpoena duces tecum I believe it was, a federal subpoena duces tecum for this deposition today. In fact, I think there were two deposition subpoenas served, one originally several months back that requested documents and I think the dates were 2005 forward, and then there was a second subpoena that had been served, and I don't have them in front of me here. Perhaps we can have the two of those marked as one --

A I only have one.

Q We'll take care of this later with the court

Page 11

1 record.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

briefly.

Other than that, I think there are some documents that I have here that I may end up introducing and marking for the record that were obtained from the production by -- they're on one or more of the CDs that have been floating around in this case, either the plaintiff's production of documents, Brayton Purcell's production of documents or my production of documents, so everybody has whatever it is I'm going to be using here today.

MR. NORTHUP: Okay, Chris. I thought you had documents that the trust had produced which we didn't have here.

MR. ANDREAS: No.

MR. NORTHUP: I understand. With plaintiff's objection to your questioning and our disagreement on that, I have nothing else.

MR. ANDREAS: Okay, great.

19 BY MR. ANDREAS:

Q Let's resume where we left off. I believe we 20 21 had Miss -- can I refer to you as Miss Brown, do you --

22 A That's fine.

23 Q Miss Brown, have you had your deposition taken 24 before?

25 A Yes.

13 14 15 16 17 18

> 19 20

Q Now, Miss Brown, I guess the purview of the subpoenas, the scope I should say, is related to both documents and seeking some information as well. There's been a discussion of that as well outside of the subpoenas.

Page 10

2 deposition subpoena as Exhibit 1, and then Exhibit 2

3 will be the second deposition subpoena that was recently 4 served at the request, I believe, of the trust. The

reporter and get her copies. We'll mark the original

5 trust requested that a second subpoena be served, I

think. That's my understanding. Is that your understanding?

A I don't know. I think it just was so that the date and time would be correct.

MR. NORTHUP: The second subpoena, so to speak, is just a duplicate of the first one with a different date on it.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, I believe that's the case.

MR. ANDREAS: Okay. Just for record-keeping

purposes, we'll have them both marked one and two.

(Exhibit 2 was marked at this time. Exhibit 1 will be provided to the court reporter at a later time.)

BY MR. ANDREAS:

First of all, you've been produced here today

1 by the trust. Would you tell us, for the record, what 1 A Yeah, many, many years ago, whenever it broke 2 2 your official position is with the trust? up. 3 A I'm the executive director of two trusts. This 3 Q Thank you very much. Just for the purposes of 4 is one of them, Western Asbestos Settlement Trust. I the record, you used the acronym TAC. 5 was hired in May of 2004 to administer the trust, 5 A Trust advisory committee. 6 6 actually get an office, hire some employees, hire some Q And that's the committee that's made up of 7 money managers, get claimants paid, and that's what I've 7 David McClain of Kazan, McClain, Edises & Abrams down in 8 done since that time. 8 Oakland; Al Brayton of Brayton Purcell; Mr. Sieben of 9 9 Q So your official capacity with the trust is the Sieben Polk Law I guess is the name of his office, executive director did you say? 10 and then Mr. Jack Clapper of the law offices of Clapper 10 11 A That's correct. 11 & Patti, LLP in Sausalito, California; is that correct? 12 12 A That's correct. Q And as such, you would be, what, the chief 13 officer for the trust? 13 Q And those are the four individuals on the TAC 14 A I'm the executive director. I answer to three 14 as we're calling it, and then there's also the counsel 15 15 trustees who are in charge of the trust and I run the to the TAC, Mr. Ahrens, who is I guess part of the TAC 16 day-to-day operations. 16 as well. 17 17 A No, he's not. He's just counsel to the TAC. Q All right. This will be marked, it's a single 18 page document I've pulled off of the Western Asbestos 18 Q He's just listed here for the purposes of 19 Settlement Trust website and it's a single page which 19 20 I'll mark and have the witness identify. We'll make 20 A If somebody wants to find out, yeah. We 21 21 believe in transparency, so -this Exhibit 3. 22 22 (Exhibit 3 was marked.) Q Of course. Could you explain to us what --23 23 BY MR. ANDREAS: well, before I get to that, let's do a little history 24 Q I've handed you that single page document, 24 here first. 25 25 Exhibit 3, and take your time reviewing it. You mentioned that the trust that we're talking Page 13 Page 15 1 A I recognize it. 1 about -- and before I get to that, you said you were an 2 2 Q Would you please identify it for the record? executive director, an officer on two trusts; is that 3 A It's the website page entitled About the Trust. 3 right? 4 The sub-heading is Trust Representatives and it lists 4 A Correct. The other one is a trust called J.T. 5 5 the trustees, the trust advisory committee, the futures **Thorpe Settlement Trust.** 6 Q Is that another bankrupt asbestos company? 6 representative, and me. 7 7 Q All right. Now, the three -- could you just A Yes, it is. 8 8 run through the names and explain to us how that's Q And I'm sorry, is it J.T. Thorpe Company? 9 9 broken up and what those various titles mean? A Settlement. I don't know what the company is. 10 A There's three trustees. Steve Snyder is the 10 There's lots of Thorpes out there, so you've got to 11 managing trustee, Sandra Hernandez who is a doctor in be -- J.T. Thorpe, Inc. I think it was. 11 12 San Francisco is a trustee, and Jack Luikart who used to 12 Q And J.T. Thorpe, just for the record, is 13 be in the investment business is a trustee in San 13 spelled J period, T period, and then T-h-o-r-p-e; is 14 Francisco as well. 14 that right? 15 David McClain, Al Brayton, Mike Sieben and Jack 15 A Uh-huh. 16 Clapper are the trust advisory counsel. The only one I 16 Q Is that a yes? 17 17 A Yes. Sorry. deal with on a regular basis is the head of the trust 18 18 advisory committee. His name is Al Brayton. The Q Let me just very briefly, is that trust up and 19 19 counsel to the TAC is Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & running at this point? 2.0 Hampton. The futures representative is Judge Charles 20 A Yes. It was started in 2006. Everyone except 21 Renfrew. 21 I am employed by the trust. Actually, everyone is 22 22 employed by Western Asbestos. They share -- we have Q Is he a retired federal Judge? 23 A Yes, he is, and his counsel is Gary Fergus, 23 loaned facilities and employees, so we process their 24 F-e-r-g-u-s. 24 claims and run their trust, Western does. 25 Q Formerly of Brobeck, Phleger & Harrison? 25 Q Okay. So then both the J.T. Thorpe Trust as Page 14

well as the Western Asbestos Trust are all administered 1 THE WITNESS: Yes, it was. It was 2004. 2 2 here out of Reno? BY MR. ANDREAS: 3 A Correct. 3 Q So this trust, the Western Asbestos Settlement 4 4 Now, we're here today to talk about Western Trust opened its doors, so to speak, on April 22nd, 5 Asbestos. You started in May of 2004. You were hired 5 2004, correct? 6 A Correct. 6 you said in May of 2004 to serve as the executive 7 7 director of the Western Asbestos Settlement Trust. Was Q And you said May of 2004. Do you have a more 8 that the name of it, by the way, at the beginning? 8 precise date on your start time? 9 9 A It was. A I can't remember. Maybe the 10th of May. Q Has it always been situated here in Reno? 10 Q And do you recall who it was that hired you? 10 Α 11 A I interviewed with all of the trustees, several 11 12 12 Q And I have to apologize, I'm not a bankruptcy members of the TAC, although I can't tell you now who 13 was in the room. Judge Renfrew was in the room, Gary 13 expert or trust expert, but is there some sort of 14 14 Fergus was in the room, the guy from Sheppard, Mullin incorporation for the trust or legal papers that have to 15 15 be filed in the state in which they operate? was in the room, although he doesn't come to many of our A We have a business license, but no, we're 16 16 meetings, Mike Ahrens, and the trustees were who hired 17 formed out of the federal bankruptcy court. We are 17 me, but I was interviewed by all of them. answerable to the bankruptcy Judge in Oakland, Leslie 18 Q And where did that meeting take place? 18 19 Tchaikovsky, and otherwise all of our operations are 19 A In Reno, Nevada. 20 conducted here. Everything is conducted outside of 20 Q Is Reno, Nevada where you live? 21 21 California because the reason the trust is in Nevada is A Yes, it is. 22 22 to prevent the tax ramifications of being in California. Q Now, we have an attorney here today 23 23 Q Right, and I'm familiar with that being from representing you --24 24 California and I know a number of people who bought A I don't go to depositions without counsel. 25 25 Q I understand that. I understand you are an second homes in Incline Village, for instance, and claim Page 19 Page 17 1 that as a primary residence I guess for tax purposes, 1 attorney, but for purposes of this type of proceeding, 2 but I don't know that --2 you are represented by counsel here today? 3 A Everything is done here. 3 A My job is executive director. I don't practice 4 But I don't think the people in Ohio understand 4 law. I'm admitted in several states, but I don't 5 the tax advantages of being in Nevada. Maybe you could 5 6 6 explain that. Q Has the Western Asbestos Settlement Trust been 7 7 A The trust is subject to -- we pay 35 percent on represented by counsel or had counsel on retainer since 8 8 our net income. We're treated for tax purposes like a it began operations in late April of 2004? 9 9 corporation in most regards. Nevada has no state income A We have numerous law firms that represent us, 10 tax. If we were in California, we would pay state 10 depending on the matter. 11 income tax as well. 11 Q You've got a lot of lawyers involved with it? 12 Q So --12 A Only about four or five, but it just depends. A So that's why the trust was formed here. 13 13 Q Now, when you mentioned Judge Renfrew, he's 14 Q I understand. Thank you very much. That was a 14 what's called the futures representative? 15 better explanation than I probably could have given. 15 A Correct. 16 Miss Brown, when was -- let's just start this way. Was 16 Q What is that in relation to the Western 17 17 the trust formed at the time that you were first hired Asbestos Settlement Trust? 18 in May of 2004? 18 A It's kind of like having a guardian ad litem. 19 19 A It was formed April the 22nd, 2004. I don't know how else to explain it, except that his job 2.0 Q April 22nd you said? 20 is to make sure that the people that have not yet filed 21 21 A Uh-huh. claims get paid the equivalent of the people that have 22 Q Is that a yes? 22 claims filed now, so his job is to protect the future 23 23 claimants that have been filed. The trust advisory 24 MR. NORTHUP: Was that 2004? I'm having a hard 24 committee's job is to protect the current claimants. 25 time hearing you. 25 Q Okay, very good. That's a very good

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 explanation. Just one follow-up on that. So Judge 2 Renfrew, his role is basically to make sure that anybody 3 that claims in the future or the future claimants, that 4 they are going to receive equal treatment, if you will, 5 and equal compensation as the present claimants? 6 A That's correct. 7 Q Is that something that's required under the 8 federal bankruptcy statutes or proceedings? 9 A I don't know. I don't know much about 10 bankruptcy law. 11 Q You and me both. When we talked about the TAC, 12 we talked about the futures representative, Judge 13 Renfrew, and at the beginning you identified off of 14 Exhibit 3 the trustees, Mr. Snyder of the law firm of 15 Snyder, Miller & Orton in San Francisco, He's identified as the managing trustee? 16 17 A Correct. 18 Q And then Miss Hernandez is the doctor out of 19 San Francisco. What's her specialty? 20 A She runs the San Francisco foundation. She's 21 also an internist. I think she does some work with AID\$ 22 patients. 23 Q And then it's Mr. Jack --24 A Luikart. 25 Q And that's L-u-i-k-a-r-t? Page 21 1 A Correct. 2 And it just identifies him as San Francisco. 3 A Right. He owned at one point an investment 4 firm, sold it many years ago, but has investment 5 Q So he's neither a doctor or lawyer? 6 7 A Correct. 8 Now, can you explain just for the record what 9 the purpose as you understood it when you were hired on, 10 what's the purpose of the Western Asbestos Settlement 11 Trust? What's its goal? 12 A Our goal is to process and pay claims for those who were injured by or related to, I'll just say related 13 14 to both Western, Western MacArthur and MacArthur 15 Company. 16 Q All right. Now, this is going to require a 17 little bit of explanation here and I do apologize for 18 this and if you don't feel comfortable describing 19 something that I'm asking you about, just let me know. 20 You mentioned several entities there that are 21 under the umbrella, if you will, of the Western Asbestos 22 Settlement Trust. The first one was Western Asbestos?

by the way? A I think they all went through bankruptcy. There's some companies that still exist. I don't have contact with them, so I don't know. Q All right. Maybe I can just ask this. Was it three companies that you mentioned? A Sort of. Q Maybe you could explain this, and what I'm trying to get at is chronologically which existed first and second and third and so forth. A I can't tell you when they were formed. All I can tell you is that there was a company called MacArthur, and I don't know if that's the full legal name. It operated mostly back in the North Dakota, Minnesota area. There was a company called Western Asbestos that operated in California, and then there was some type of a hybrid, which I do not know its legal status, called Western MacArthur and there were many entities that were in bankruptcy. I can't tell you who they were because I was not involved at that time, but those were three among the entities that filed for reorganization. Q All right. And I don't mean to -- I'm not trying to put you on the spot here, but I do have some knowledge based on my prior employment with Brayton Page 23 Purcell about the history here and I'm just going to ask it this way. First of all, you mentioned -- I'm going to take Western Asbestos first. Western Asbestos, is this consistent with your understanding that Western Asbestos was an insulation distributor, thermal insulation distributor and seller located in San Francisco, I believe it was off Third Street in San Francisco, you don't need to agree with me on the address, but their business was directed towards the supply and sale of thermal insulation materials as well as they had a contract unit that would go out and perform insulation contracting work out of their offices in San Francisco. A I don't have actual knowledge of that, but that's my understanding. Q And all I'm asking for is your understanding. I don't want you to guess at anything here today. And being that it's now 2010 and you've been in your position now for, I guess it's coming up on six years? A Uh-huh. Q You've gained some familiarity with the entities involved with the trust? I'm not saying you have exhaustive knowledge of it, but you have over that

6 (Pages 21 to 24)

time period gained some familiarity and understanding of

Q And taking it on a chronologic basis as far as

when these companies existed, and are they all bankrupt,

23

24

25

A Correct.

1 the various entities involved under the umbrella, as I 1 that. 2 2 say, of the trust? Do you have a general understanding -- first of 3 A Correct. 3 all, are you familiar with Johns Manville Company? 4 Now, Western Asbestos, is it your understanding 4 A I only know that Johns Manville manufactured 5 that Western Asbestos during the years it was a going 5 asbestos containing materials. I'm not familiar with 6 6 concern and not a bankrupt company -- first of all, do the company itself. 7 7 you know what years it was in operation? Q Do you know that they're a bankrupt entity? 8 A I do not know. 8 A I do know now. 9 9 Q Do you know when it declared bankruptcy for the Q You do know that they have a trust similar to 10 10 first time? the sort of trust that you work on? A The only bankruptcy I'm aware of I think was 11 A I know that. 11 12 12 filed in 2002, I think February of 2002. That's from Q And that they process claims from claimants who 13 13 memory. are alleging that they are suffering from asbestos 14 Q That's your best recollection? 14 related diseases of whatever sort and are claiming 15 A Yes. Maybe it was November. 15 exposure, past exposure to a Johns Manville product? 16 Q Again, I said I don't want you to guess, but if 16 A I do know that. 17 17 you have a recall of some sort or a best recollection --Q Now, as far as Western Asbestos goes, you A I think it was 2002. 18 mentioned that you know that they had involvement as a 18 19 Q Now, Western Asbestos during the years it was 19 distributor for Johns Manville at some point in time; is 20 in operation, is it your understanding that they were 20 that right? 21 involved in the sale and supply of thermal insulation 21 A Correct. 22 products and in years past do you understand that those 22 Q Do you know if it was an exclusive 23 23 products were -- some percentage of them, and perhaps distributorship? 24 most of the products they sold as far as thermal 24 A I don't know that. 25 Q Do you know where their sales region was for 25 insulation products, were asbestos containing? Page 25 Page 27 1 A I don't know. I mean, I don't have an 1 Johns Manville insulation products? 2 understanding. All I know is -- I don't know. 2 MR. NORTHUP: Pardon me, Chris. Excuse the 3 Q That's fine. Do you know just basically that 3 interruption. I just want to note a line of objection. 4 Western Asbestos Company was involved in some way with 4 This questioning is outside the scope of the subpoena, 5 5 asbestos? 6 MR. ANDREAS: Okay, fine. 6 A Yes, I do know that, 7 7 BY MR. ANDREAS: Q Maybe this will help. Do you know, and --8 8 strike that, let me start over again. Q Go ahead, Miss Brown. 9 9 A What did you ask me? Would this be consistent with your information 10 and understanding of Western Asbestos, that they were --10 Q I'm sorry, I'll rephrase it. 11 11 as far as sales and supply of thermal insulation With your understanding that Western Asbestos 12 materials, they were at some period of time during their 12 at some point during their years of operation was a 13 operation the exclusive -- one of a number of exclusive 13 distributor of Johns Manville insulation products, do 14 distributors for a company called Johns Manville? 14 you have any understanding as to where their 15 MR. KLOMP: Let me just pose an objection to 15 distributorship of those products as far as a regional 16 this line of questioning, that Miss Brown is here as the 16 restriction was? 17 17 person most knowledgeable for the trust and not A I don't know. I don't know about the region. 18 necessarily for these entities that predate the trust. 18 Q Have ever heard that Western Asbestos was the 19 19 MR. ANDREAS: Sure, I understand that. exclusive distributor of Johns Manville insulation THE WITNESS: I know that -- I have been told 20 20 products in Northern California, I believe it was and have read documents that indicate to me that they 21 21 Northern Nevada, and perhaps part of Southern Oregon? 22 were a distributor or seller or installer of Johns 22 A I don't know that, that they were exclusive. I 23 Manville products. 23 don't know that. 24 BY MR. ANDREAS: 24 Q Well, you do know that to the extent they sold

25

Page 26

products, it was in the Northern California, Nevada and

Q Do you know what their -- first of all, strike

25

1 Southern Oregon region? 1 worked together. What their legal status was, I don't 2 A Among the claims that we receive, many are from 2 know. 3 those regions. 3 Q All right. So now let's just talk about how 4 Q And we'll get to maybe some documents here off 4 the trust functions as far as handling claims. You 5 the website that may clarify some of this in a minute. 5 mentioned -- you already told us what the goal and 6 6 The MacArthur Company, let me just turn to them purpose of the trust is. That is to compensate victims, 7 7 for a minute. Were they also involved with insulation I'll call them victims or claimants who are alleging 8 to your knowledge? 8 that they have contracted an asbestos related disease 9 9 MR. KLOMP: I'm going to just lodge an ongoing and that in some manner, perhaps one of these three 10 10 objection to this line of questioning, that it is companies had some involvement in exposing them in prior 11 outside the scope of the purposes for which Miss Brown 11 years to asbestos and therefore were one of a number of 12 12 perhaps causes of the disease at issue, is that fair? has been produced, and outside the scope of the 13 13 A That's correct. subpoena. 14 MR. ANDREAS: Sure, and counsel, I'll just give 14 Q So is there a process -- can you explain the 15 you a running objection on the record. You don't have 15 process, if somebody develops -- let's say in this case 16 16 to repeat it. we're dealing with a mesothelioma that later turned into 17 MR. KLOMP: At some point it's going to 17 a wrongful death mesothelioma situation since the 18 18 gentlemen died, Mr. Kananian, Harry Kananian. Let's become --19 MR. NORTHUP: Plaintiff joins the objection. 19 just take that as an example. 20 MR. KLOMP: At some point it's going to become 20 What's the process by which Mr. Kananian, when 21 21 burdensome which Nevada law allows us to recover fees, he was alive or his family after he died, what did they 22 costs and all that stuff if it becomes too burdensome. 22 go through to submit a claim? What do they have to do I 23 23 MR. ANDREAS: I'm trying to move through this guess to get compensated by the Western Asbestos 24 24 efficiently. I just want to get a little history on the Settlement Trust? 25 25 MR. KLOMP: I'll object that it's an incomplete three entities that make up the trust and --Page 29 1 MR. KLOMP: And I can appreciate that. I just 1 hypothetical. 2 2 want to lodge that objection so if we have to go back to BY MR. ANDREAS: 3 the Court to get our costs and fees, we can do that. 3 Q If I'm missing anything, please let me know. A A person who has been injured and can show that 4 MR. ANDREAS: Sure, and what I'm saying is I 4 5 don't want you to have to state these for the record, so 5 it was at one of the land or ship sites on our website 6 6 I'll just giving you a running objection based on what and a variety of other things, our claim form is pretty 7 7 you've already stated, counsel. comprehensive, fills out the claim form, pays \$250 as a 8 8 BY MR. ANDREAS: filing fee, and submits the claim, and then we process 9 9 Q Very briefly, and I'm not going to spend much the claim and make a determination as to whether or not 10 time on this. MacArthur Company, do you have any 10 it's a valid claim, and if it is, at the current time --11 knowledge that they were involved back in Minnesota, 11 we just increased the payment percentage to 44 percent. 12 North Dakota area with insulation products similar to 12 Q What do you mean by that? 13 13 Western Asbestos? A If their claim is worth \$100, they would get 14 A My knowledge is that, yes, they are involved in 14 \$44. 15 the same type of business. 15 Q All right. That's a wonderful explanation. 16 Q And then lastly, Western MacArthur was the last 16 Now, the question I asked is if somebody were to file a 17 17 entity you mentioned. I think we can agree, maybe we formal claim and go through the claims process that you 18 can't, that that is a company that was a combination of 18 have set up, and we'll talk about -- I may mark some 19 the two, Western and MacArthur, when they perhaps merged 19 documents, but it's all described on your website, isn't 20 20 at some point? it? 21 A I have some dim recollection that it wasn't an 21 A Correct. 22 actual merger, that it was some kind of a hybrid, and I 22 Q And there's something that -- I think it's 23 don't know what the legal entity was, but that they 23 referred to as a trust distribution procedure. In other

trusts I'm familiar with, maybe it's called something

different with the Western Asbestos Trust, but there's a

24

25

Page 30

worked together. I don't know that they were ever a

legal entity. I can't tell you that. I know they

24

25

1 series of rules and regulations and guidelines that the 1 Q -- for filing a claim. Now, the next document 2 2 I'll mark as Exhibit 5. trust has to follow as far as processing these claims? 3 A That's correct. 3 (Exhibit 5 was marked.) 4 Q When we say claims, that's people that are 4 BY MR. ANDREAS: 5 alive and either a family member in a wrongful death 5 Q Handing Exhibit 5 to counsel right now, and the 6 6 situation or a live person that's suffering from a title of the document is Western Asbestos Settlement 7 7 disease filling out a Western Asbestos Settlement Trust Trust Claim Form and it has submit completed form to the 8 claim form off the website and paying the \$250 fee and 8 trust with the address and e-mail address, I believe, 9 9 submitting it for determination? and I didn't get the total page count, but it's --A Correct. 10 10 A And this is the year. 11 Q Now, the determination process, could you 11 Q It appears to have -- on the bottom right-hand 12 12 explain what goes into that? corner of each page, there's an 09-06, and the deponent 13 A We have three or four sets of documents. We 13 informs me that is the year that this document was 14 have the trust documents, we have the bylaws which you 14 generated, or the date that --15 just used for trust purposes, and then we have two 15 A The last changes were generated. 16 documents. One is called the Trust Distribution 16 Q So this is the most recent claim form; is that 17 Procedures, the TDP. That's kind of the general rules, 17 correct? 18 and then there's the matrix which has got a formal name. 18 A I don't know that. I'd have to look to make 19 It's got more words than that. The matrix is a document 19 sure you got the most recent one. 20 whereby the details of the claim are determined. 20 Q I printed it off the website. Hopefully it is. 21 Q You know what, while we're on this discussion I 21 MR. NORTHUP: Pardon me, Chris, I want to ask 22 think it's probably a good idea just to get a couple 22 you a question before I make an objection so I don't 23 23 documents marked so that I can hand them to you and make seem stupid. Is there a claim form which has been 24 things move a lot more quick. 24 submitted to this trust on behalf of the Kananian family 25 25 Let's make this first one Exhibit 4. It's that I don't have? Page 33 Page 35 1 entitled Western Asbestos Settlement Trust Claim Filing MR. ANDREAS: No. That's what I'm trying to 1 2 Instructions, version 1.3, Copyright 2004 Western 2 find out, Jarrett, so this is all preliminary to that. 3 Asbestos Settlement Trust. That's on the cover page, 3 MR. NORTHUP: Okay. To the extent there isn't 4 and the document itself is a total of 23 pages and we're 4 a claims form, I'm going to object to questions about a 5 5 marking that as Exhibit 4 and I'll handled it to the claim form, but I understand where you're going. 6 6 hand it to the witness after we do this. MR. ANDREAS: Thank you. It's just all 7 7 MR. ROSS: Chris, what was the title of that background here. 8 8 document? BY MR. ANDREAS: 9 9 MR. ANDREAS: Western Asbestos Settlement Trust Q So Exhibit 5, the Western Asbestos Settlement 10 Claim Filing Instructions, Version 1.3. 10 Claim Form, it appears to have a total of 16 pages, at 11 (Exhibit 4 was marked.) 11 least the copy that I have here. Does that sound about 12 BY MR. ANDREAS: 12 right to you? Q I'm handing it to the witness and I'm going to 13 13 A Sounds about right. 14 ask the witness to identify this one on the record 14 Q And while I have it in front of me, we've 15 before I proceed to the next. 15 already talked about Exhibit 4 being the instructional 16 A Okay. 16 manual, so to speak, for a claimant or his 17 17 Q And other than the title of it, can you just -representative or attorney perhaps in filling out or 18 I've already read that into the record a couple times. 18 submitting a claim, and the claim form contains various 19 Can you just describe generally what that document is? 19 sections; is that correct? 20 A It's a document -- because our claim form is 20 A Correct. 21 fairly complex, it's a document to try to help someone 21 Q To be filled out by either the claimant 22 who is filing understand the claim form so that they can themselves or their representative or attorney; is that 22 23 file it correctly. 23 right? 24 Q So it's basically an instructional manual --24 A That's correct. 25 A Right. 25 Q Or somebody working for the attorney?

Page 34

1 A Correct. 1 A That's correct. 2 2 Section six is CT scans which is computed Q You're familiar with the fact that a lot of --Q 3 a number of firms in submitting bankruptcy claims have 3 tomography scan. It's another imaging modality? 4 like a bankruptcy department or division within the firm 4 A I don't know that that's what it stands for, 5 that handles sort of the bankruptcy side of things? 5 but yes. 6 6 A Many of the firms have attorneys and/or Q And six and seven is pulmonary function test. 7 7 paralegals working on filing the claims. That's another medical test to determine if somebody has 8 8 Q Specific to bankruptcy claims? a particular type of disease? 9 9 A I don't know that. A That's correct. 10 Q And then section eight is entitled pathology 10 Q For instance, we mentioned Mr. Alan Brayton and Brayton Purcell, LLP. Are you familiar with the fact 11 report; is that right? 11 12 12 that they have a specific bankruptcy division with some A That's correct. 13 13 Q And that deals with tissue being examined by a attorneys that oversee it and clerks that work there? 14 14 pathologist for the presence or absence of an asbestos A I'm not -- I don't know how they're set up. 15 15 Q Okay. Well, in any case, the various sections related disease? 16 here, I'll just read them into the record. Section one 16 A Correct. 17 is representation. What does that have to do with? 17 Q And section nine is dependent & beneficiary. A I assume it's -- I'm not looking at it, but I'm 18 What's that particular section for? 18 19 assuming if you have an attorney representing you, 19 A There's multiple layers that we use to 20 that's what it is. 20 determine the value of the claim and one of them is if So it's just information on who is representing 21 21 someone has children that are dependent. 22 you? 22 Q As opposed to, say, adult children who aren't 23 23 dependent? A Correct. 24 Q And then section two is injured party/decedent 24 A Correct. 25 25 information; is that correct? Q Section ten is land exposure? Page 37 Page 39 1 A That's correct. 1 A That's correct. There are two types of 2 exposure. You can have a land site or a ship site, and 2 Q And I'm not going to explain all the questions 3 in there, but it's just sort of personal information; is 3 this is just a place where you determine what your land 4 that correct? 4 site is if you have one. 5 5 A That's correct. Q And section 11 is entitled shipboard exposure; 6 is that right? 6 Q And then section three is entitled injuries; is 7 7 A That's correct. that correct? 8 8 A That's right. Q And that's I guess by definition if you can 9 9 demonstrate you were exposed to some asbestos material Q Is that the section that you have to 10 demonstrate as a claimant that you have suffered from 10 attributable to the Western Asbestos Company, Western 11 11 MacArthur or the MacArthur Company onboard a ship? some sort of asbestos related disease, or if you're a 12 family member claiming as in a wrongful death situation, 12 A Correct. 13 Q Would that also include shipyards? 13 you have to demonstrate that the death was caused by 14 some disease? 14 A Depends on whether the ship was repaired or A That's where you pick your disease. 15 built. If it was -- if the shipyard -- many times if 15 16 Q You basically -- you have to show the medical 16 you're working on a ship that's being repaired in a 17 17 side of the disease? shipyard, you get the shipyard as your location. You're A Right. 18 18 coming back and forth to the shipyard and working on the 19 19 Q And section four is entitled diagnosis? ship. It just depends on the particular claim, whether 20 20 you get ship exposure or shipyard exposure. A Correct. 21 21 Q And is that where you specifically identify Q And we previously looked at section ten which 22 medical records or something like that? 22 is land exposure. Would it be fair to say that you 23 A That's correct. 23 don't want to hear about ships or shipyards in that 24 Q And the following section, section five, is 24 section, right? That's more for like a commercial

25

Page 38

project or refinery --

chest X-rays, so that would go along with that?

25

- 1 A Some shipyards would be in the land section, 1 2 2 refineries, shipyards, yeah. 3 Q But shipboard exposure could cover both 3 4 somebody being exposed onboard an actual ship --4 5 A No, it would be just exposure on a ship. 5 6 6 Q And with the shipyard exposure, say somebody is 7 7 working in a shipyard or stationed in a shipyard where 8 there's work on ships obviously, that's what they do and 8 9 9 there's exposure in that capacity versus, say, somebody mesothelioma? 10 10 that's in the Navy serving on the ship or a merchant 11 marine or something like that, that's the difference, 11 12 right? 12 A Whoever is processing the claim would determine 13 13 14 whether they were a land site or a ship site. 14 15 15 Q I got you, all right. Now, section 12 is 16 secondary exposure, and what would that refer to? 16 A That would be if you had a spouse that was 17 17 18 washing the clothes of the exposed person, or children is that right? 18 19 that were sitting on the lap of the exposed person who 19 A Correct. 20 then themselves became exposed. 20 21 21 Q Frequently workers, either people on ships or 22 they were working in sites where they were working 22 A Correct. 23 23 around asbestos dust, they would bring it home on their 24 clothes? 24 25 25 A That's correct. Page 41 1 Q Section 13 is smoking history; is that correct? 1 2 2 A Correct. 3 Q Why is that important? 3 incorporate. 4 Just depends on the type of the illness 4 5 5 claimed. Smoking can either increase or decrease the 6 6 value of the claim. going to --7 7 Q And would that -- would the smoking history be 8 8 more relevant in, for instance, maybe a lung cancer 9 9 case? 10 A That's correct. 10 11 11 Q Or maybe another cancer type of claim that 12 might be related to -- has also been related in the 12 the litigation. 13 13 literature to smoking? 14 A Yeah. It's a factor that when they developed 14 15 the claim form, they determined that if you smoked for a 15 16 long time, it's going to decrease the value of your 16 17 17 claim, and if you guit for a long time, it may increase 18 18 the value of your claim. right? 19 19 A Correct. Q Would that apply in a case of somebody that was 20 20 suffering from or died from pleural mesothelioma? 21 21 A I don't think it -appreciate that. 22 MR. NORTHUP: Objection, plaintiff's counsel on 22 23 relevancy. There's no claims form. 23 24 THE WITNESS: And I don't know. You'd have to 24 separately. The first one is entitled Western Ships 25 talk to one of our processors. I don't do the actual 25 Built List, and there's a little date again on the
- processing of the claims. BY MR. ANDREAS: Q Was it your understanding that cigarette smoke toxins from the tobacco itself, cigarette smoke toxins are carcinogens as we all know from the Surgeon General's report exist when you smoke, do you have any understanding or does the trust take the position that that plays any causal role whatsoever in a pleural A We don't take a position. MR. NORTHUP: Objection, plaintiff's counsel. MR. KLOMP: And I'll object, also, that it calls for expert testimony. BY MR. ANDREAS: Q And I'm only asking for your understanding. A I don't know that. Q Section 14 is economic loss, medical expense, Q That's pretty self-explanatory, and section 15 is asbestos litigation; is that right? Q Why is the trust interested in asbestos litigation? What's the purpose of this section? A We ask that they produce documents. When the Page 43 claim form was developed and the standards were set, that was one of the questionnaires that we were asked to Q And in some way it factors into the claims processing -- the process of determining if somebody is A If somebody has filed litigation, there's some statute of limitations issues and if they filed litigation, we like to know about it so that we can determine they get that date of the filing -- they get the earlier of the filing of the claim or the filing of Q Section 16, the last page of the document, is entitled signature; is that right? Q And that's where obviously the injured party or claimant signs off, or their representative; is that Q All right. Thank you very much and I The next document -- there's two documents, and you know what, I think for -- well, we'll mark them

Page 42

bottom, 3-6-2009, and I don't have an exact count on the -- excuse me, it's 22 pages. The last page has a number of tables on it, sort of like an Excel spread sheet of sorts, and that's going to be deposition Exhibit 6.

(Exhibit 6 was marked.)

2.0

MR. ANDREAS: Again, counsel in Ohio, these are documents that are equally available to everybody if they want to go to the Western Asbestos Settlement Trust website which is -- perhaps you can tell us.

THE WITNESS: Wastrust.com.

MR. NORTHUP: Could you please give me the name of that document again?

MR. ANDREAS: Yeah, it's called Western Ships Built List, and then Exhibit 7, I'll just identify it. It's entitled Western Ships Repaired List. It has the same date on the bottom left-hand corner of each page, 3-6-2009. This one, again, is in an Excel spread sheet type format and it is a total of 24 pages.

(Exhibit 7 was marked.)

21 BY MR. ANDREAS:

Q I'm handing the witness Exhibit 6 and 7 to have her identify them for the record, and let's just start with number six.

A This looks like the latest -- these are

Page 45

the companies involved did the majority of the insulating on the ship. This is any entity where one of the companies involved was involved in the majority of the insulating, and the repaired list could be a ripout, it could be repair at some time.

Q Now, the first one, the ships built list, so I understand this, if a claimant can demonstrate that they were on one of these ships either at the time it was originally constructed or at some period of time afterwards where there could be some incidental exposure from pipes vibrating when the ships are underway, or --

A If they were on one of these ships, they have exposure to our product.

Q The trust acknowledges that all you have to do is be on one of these ships --

A For the given period of time.

Q And what do you mean by that?

A You have to have -- for a non-meso, you have to have been onboard. You have to have exposure for 90 days for a meso and a year for a non-meso.

Q So my understanding is you have to be on one of those ships for 90 days?

A And it can be cumulative. It could be over a period of time.

Q And it could be several ships?

Page 47

robust -- these documents are altered probably quarterly as we find -- if we find a ship that does not belong on it, or we get more information about one that does belong, we make alterations to the lists probably four times a year.

Q So as information is provided to the trust that demonstrates that one of the three entities we discussed up front, Western, Western MacArthur, and the MacArthur Company, if they had any involvement with products or contract work involving asbestos materials on a particular ship and the trust is provided some proof that in past years one of those three entities did have that involvement, it goes onto this ship list?

A Yeah. I can't say that everything is on here because we find things out all the time. We get new information, so everything we know about is on here.

Q And just to be fair, we're going back -- in some instances on some of these ships, we're talking about -- this list, is this -- this is the ship built list, so you're just looking at those three entities' involvement with the original construction of the ship; is that right?

A Right, in some cases. There's some rare cases were ships were broken down and put back together and that would be a built ship. It's any where that one of

Page 46

A That's exactly right. You have to have 90 days of exposure for a mesothelioma and you have to have a year's exposure for a non-meso.

Q When we were looking at the claim form earlier, as far as -- just in an overbroad sense, I know that there's more specific information that's required in there, but generally what you're looking for when a claim comes in is demonstrable asbestos caused disease. That's the medical side of it, so to speak; and then you're looking for what I would call an exposure nexus, something that demonstrates this person was exposed in some way that is acknowledged by the trust from one of those three entities; right?

A That's correct.

Q Now, in a mesothelioma case with the appropriate document, if I'm understanding this, as long as they can demonstrate 90 days or more of exposure onboard a single ship or multiple ships that aggregate over 90 days, then that claim from the exposure nexus side of the equation would be a qualified claim?

A There's many other factors, but yes, and they could also have some land, some ship, some repair.

There's all kinds of combinations that can happen. They can have -- many times contractors are out for two days and then two more days and then five days. We add up

12 (Pages 45 to 48)

1 and we are required to pay them on the highest sites 2 because the sites have different qualifications, 3 depending on how much of the product was at that site. 4 Q So --5 A So a three would be a very high site, a 6 multiplier of three. .5 would be a very low site. It 7 could cut your claim in half. 8 Q So what you're saying is depending on how much 9 product or work that these entities did under the trust 10 umbrella, at those particular sites or on that 11 particular ship, there's a multiplier, so if you're 12 there for five days and you're at a site that's 13 designated a three, then that would count as 15 days; is 14 that right? 15 A It's awkwardly said, but essentially correct. 16 It's not the way we'd do the math, but yeah, essentially you get three times what you would get for the same 17 18 period of time in some other location. 19 Q You know lawyers aren't good at math, so I 20 apologize for that, but that's the general notion is 21 there's a way of demonstrating, depending on the amount 22 of product there, or less, that determines whether or 23 not there's a multiplier as you say; is that right? 24 A Correct. 25 Q And the aggregate of 90 days in a meso case can Page 49 be spread across ships built off the ships built list, 1 2 or now we're going to turn to the ships repaired list. 3 I think you've adequately explained that, but it's the 4 same concept if you're on a ship at the time it's being 5 repaired by one of these entities, or afterwards? б A No. You have to be on it at the time it's 7 repaired. 8 Q You actually have to be onboard when it's being 9 repaired by one of the contract units for either Western 10 Asbestos or MacArthur or something? 11 A That's correct. 12 Q And so that's a little bit more difficult I 13 suppose than --14 A It depends if you're on it or not. 15 Q It depends on the facts, it always does. 16 Now, let's just talk about the land -- I don't 17 have a list for land based. I don't think it's 18 important here particularly, but is there a land based 19 list? 20 A Yes, there's a land site list. 21 Q And I apologize, I don't think I printed that 22 up. I'll tell you what, I'm going to make that 23 Exhibit 8 and we'll get a copy to the court reporter. 24 Is it similar in an Excel spread sheet format? 25 A It is.

Q Can you explain briefly for the record how that's evaluated? Do you have to be there at the time the work is being performed, or how did that work?

A It depends. The sites are rated and you have to show that you had exposure during the time that -- I mean, if you look on the lists, there's some start and end dates and if work was being done during that given period of time that you were there, then you would qualify.

Q What about if you're there within a week or two after the end date of the contract work performed by one of these entities?

A I can't tell you. I haven't processed one of these in so long. I do it periodically, but I have people who study this and know it every single day. I cannot tell you the answer to that.

Q All right. In any case, the trust does look at things and evaluate things with these multipliers and various factors and so forth that are spelled out in the trust paperwork?

A Correct.

Q Do you want to take a break?

A No, no, I'm good.

MR. HOLFORD: Chris, can I interject one

moment?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 50

Page 51

1 MR. ANDREAS: Sure. 2 3

MR. HOLFORD: I've got some kids I got to pick up from daycare. Do you have any anticipation whether you're going to be blowing past, say, like 5:15 my time?

MR. ANDREAS: We're going to take a quick five minute break and I'm hoping that we can finish this up in half an hour.

(A recess was taken.)

BY MR. ANDREAS:

Q Miss Brown, we have now discussed -- I think we have a general idea now of how the trust operates as far as receiving claims by claimants and that includes people that are alive that are suffering allegedly from an asbestos related disease and family members that are claiming that their loved one died and it's sort of like a wrongful death situation; is that right?

A That's correct.

Q Now, let's talk about -- we'll turn now to the specific case that we're here on which is the Kananian matter, and you, you being the trust, produced a number of documents in response to the subpoenas and I'm just going to have you identify them.

Some of them, I have to state for the record, there was a more recent production. I think what I have is the -- I'm not sure at this point what exactly I have

13 (Pages 49 to 52)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 54

1 with me that was produced previously, so maybe you could 2 just identify from the stack of stuff that you have 3 there, or how do you want to do this? 4 A You tell me. 5 Q Let me hand you what I have. What I have is --6 I'll mark these collectively as Exhibit 9-A, B and C, 7 and 9-A is on Western Asbestos Settlement Trust 8 letterhead. It's dated September 19, 2007, and it's 9 addressed to somebody by the name of Gayle, G-a-y-l-e, 10 C-a-n-g-i-a-n-o, from Wells Fargo Bank Private Client 11 Services, and the subject is account number 16065600, 12 Western Asbestos Settlement Fund, and it's an 13 authorization apparently to the bank to transfer a 14 redacted amount. I understand you've redacted some of 15 these documents because you consider it confidential 16 settlement information? 17 A Correct. 18 Q Let me just start with -- since we're on this 19 document, first of all, do you have that in front of 20 you, a copy? 21 A I do. 22 Q So this is 9A. There's the cover letter page I 23 identified, and then there's a legal size paper or 24 printout which is entitled 2008 Western Asbestos Trust 25 information for 1099's and it reflects payee names in Page 53 1 one column. Again, it's an Excel spread sheet type 2 printout here and it has three payees, Jack Kananian, 3 Mary Bruno and Karen Kananian; is that right? 4 A That's correct. 5 Q And they're identified as -- the payee city is Brecksville, Ohio, is that right, for all three? 6 7 A Yes. 8 Q And then it has some additional information 9 like their social security numbers and so forth; is that 10 right? 11 A That's correct. 12 Q Now, there is an amount received here on the far left column, 7662.78, and that's on the line that 13 14 corresponds with Mary Bruno; is that right? 15 A That's where it is, yes. 16 Q What's that number for? 17 A I have no idea. 18 Q Should that have been blacked out? Let me just 19 do this at this point. We have counsel representing the 20 Kananians here on the telephone. In this case it would 21 be my position, I think that of my attorney's and 22 perhaps Brayton Purcell's attorneys, that any 23 confidentiality that might have attached to the 24 settlement amounts that were paid out by the trust to

March 22, 2010 once this lawsuit got filed. Jarrett, isn't that true? MR. NORTHUP: We're stipulating to those amounts, Chris, and I don't know what the problem is. MR. ANDREAS: The problem is the documents I have here are blacked out in many places, so we don't know what the amounts were. Are you willing right now on the record to authorize the trust, being that you represent the Kananians, to produce new copies of these same documents with all of the redacted portions taken out as to the amounts? MR. NORTHUP: Sure. MR. ANDREAS: Okay, great. MR. KLOMP: I don't think that's something that they can stipulate to and we're going to do. I think if you want us to un-redact those things, you can send us a letter explaining why you think that's the case and we'll send you a response saying here's why they're redacted and yea or nay. MR. ANDREAS: But again, this is coming from the attorney representing the Kananians and this lawsuit is alleging -- it's primarily a damages lawsuit. They're claiming that they didn't receive enough money out of this case, and to the extent that other settlements other than the focus of this case, there's a particular defendant that's the focus of the case in a Page 55 settlement with a particular defendant, but to the extent that there was money paid to this family, the three heirs, that is going to be a factor. It's something that is going to be -- it's very relevant evidence to the case, and to the extent that the case was filed in this fashion alleging basically a damages issue, and we have other counsel on the phone --MR. HOLFORD: This is Andrew Holford for just one second. Can I confirm whether or not the original un-redacted are inside the file that was brought with Western Asbestos' representative? THE WITNESS: The only original I have, these are worksheets that were done by a law firm, and I will tell you this: In the production of -- the answer is no. The only thing in my original file is the notice of

entry of judgment and two releases, one signed by -they're signed by two different parties.

MR. HOLFORD: One signed by Mr. Kananian with the Power of Attorney and one signed by Ann Kananian if my memory serves me.

THE WITNESS: Correct. I believe what happened in this case is that we got one with the attorney signing as POA and we don't accept those, and so we got a new release with the actual signature of one of the parties.

Page 56

Jack Kananian, Mary Bruno or Karen Kananian were waived

25

```
1
      BY MR. ANDREAS:
 2
        Q Actually, I have the document here. It may not
 3
      even be from your file. It came from the various
 4
      documents that have been floating around in this case
 5
      and it is actually from my former office, Brayton
 6
      Purcell, and it looked to be three separate, one for
 7
      Mary Bruno, one for Karen Kananian, and one for Jack
 8
      Kananian as well as the Ann Kananian POA as you call it,
 9
      the Power of Attorney documentation. It's a little
      small packet, five or six pages, and I think it's the
10
11
      same thing we're talking about here. It's a release
12
      basically, right?
13
        A Correct, and as to amounts, the second
14
15
16
```

production on December 4th has a worksheet that's called master default and what they've done is basically taken out every other person except for the one that the money was sent for on behalf of, and it actually has all of the amounts.

Q We'll get to that in a minute then, because I'm not sure I have that document with me today and I'm not saying you didn't produce it already, but --

A It was produced.

23 Q I'm sure it was.

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

24 MR. KLOMP: My point only is that this is not 25

the forum to argue about redactions.

Page 57

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

believe -- I thought you said they were generated by a law office?

A I think both of these forms were initially generated by Jones Vargas at the formation date -around the formation date of the trust. They generated Excel spread sheets of the existing default and pre-trust claims we'll call them, matrix claims for the trust to make payments.

Q So I had identified for the record 9A which is the September 19, 2007 letter addressed to Miss Cangiano of the Wells Fargo Bank, and it's signed off by Stephen Snyder, managing trustee for the trust. We've already identified Mr. Snyder, and it's also signed by Sara Beth Brown, executive director. This is on the first page, and there are Excel spread sheets and some 2007 1099's. I believe these are, for the various Kananian family members. So that's 9A.

9B is identical in all respects as far as the type of documentation that's included. It's from -- I'm sorry, I might have screwed this up.

MR. NORTHUP: Do you have one from 2006? MR. ANDREAS: Yeah, is that what I identified as 9A, David? I want to put them in chronological order since we haven't marked them yet. Actually, 9A will be the June 8th, 2006 cover letter again addressed to Miss

Page 59

MR. NORTHUP: Pardon me, Chris. This is plaintiff's counsel, Jarrett Northup. There is discovery from your former employer and correspondence to our client and in the discovery as to those amounts.

MR. ANDREAS: Well, I don't know that, Jarrett. That's between you and my former employer, but I'm here to take a deposition and I want to have this -- I don't know what was produced, whether it's accurate or not, and I'm sitting here with the people that do know what was paid out, so I'd prefer to go with that source frankly.

MR. HOLFORD: This is Andrew Holford. I do have a copy of a master default in my file here dated 11-24 of '09 that reflects the payment for Ann Kananian for meso, and it's in relatively small font. I don't know if that helps perhaps you identify --

MR. ANDREAS: Andrew, that's exactly the document that Miss Brown was referring to, and we'll get to that. That's exactly the same document she was referring to.

21 BY MR. ANDREAS:

Q So let me just finish up with 9A, B and C. You mentioned that these three documents, they're all basically on a Western Asbestos Settlement Trust letterhead and they have attached documents which you

Page 58

1 Cangiano and signed off by Mr. Snyder and Miss Brown 2 with the documents we've -- type of documents I 3 identified already. 4

9B will be the September 19, 2007 packet of the same material, and 9C will be the same type of material packet dated October 6th, 2008 on the cover letter again signed by Mr. Snyder and Miss Brown.

Those are the three documents that I believe were produced in the original production, is that correct, or do you know?

MR. ROSS: For what it's worth, this is Dave Ross, that's consistent with what I have. When the first duces tecum went out in November, these were the only documents that the trust produced initially and then there was a so-called second production.

MR. ANDREAS: That's great, David. I think we're all on the same page. I'm going to take a break here so the court reporter can actually put her stickers on these and I'll slide them over to the deponent and have her verify that they're the same as what she's got in front of her from her file.

(Exhibits 9A, 9B and 9C were marked.) BY MR. ANDREAS:

Q I'm handing the witness the three Exhibit 9 documents that we just identified and I'm just going to

- 1 simply ask her if those represent the same sort of --2 copies of the same documents that they, they being the 3 trust, had produced in the original production as we're
- 4 calling it?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

18

A That would be correct.

Q Thank you. Now, let's turn to the second production of documents because I think those are fairly self-explanatory, the 9A, B and C.

What else did you produce? Again, I don't have those in front of me, if you could just identify them for the record.

- A Unless something got screwed up when they were copied a little bit ago, which I can't verify.
- Q Are these now copies that we can mark?
- A They came back to me in a different format. One is a notice of entry of judgment by court after default of defendant Western MacArthur Company and it's February 11th, 2002. One is a release and discharge, the undersigned Ann Kananian, and the last page is Ann Kananian, and I don't know who the signature is, but as attorney in fact dated 4-17-04.

One is another release and discharge dated 4-19-04, Jack Kananian, Power of Attorney, it looks like Ann Kananian. I have a list of wiring amount instructions with everything except the Brayton Purcell

Page 61

basically?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A To the best of my knowledge.

Q Thank you very much and your attorney is handing those to me now. So Exhibit 10 will be the release and discharge signed by Jack Kananian within his capacity as Power of Attorney for Ann Kananian, and it's -- I'm not going to count the number of pages because they're not even paginated that well, but I will say that the general Power of Attorney was dated 10-29-03 and it does contain both Jack Kananian's signature as well as I think his mother's signature. Maybe he signed it, I'm not sure. It has two signatures on it, and then the last page of that exhibit is signed off by Christina Skubic, S-k-u-b-i-c, and Alan R. Brayton on the signature line and it's dated 1-7-03 and that's Exhibit 10.

Exhibit 11 is a very similar release and discharge document and it's dated 4-19-04 and it also contains the entire Power of Attorney it looks like with a notary public from Ohio, notary public certification sheet on the very last page. So it's similar, but it has a couple of additional documents, but generally 10 and 11 are the documents that the trust required from the legal heirs of Harry Kananian, deceased, in order to pay out money to them; is that right?

Page 63

- 1 wire related to this claim which is in lieu of a check.
- 2 There is a master default worksheet.
 - Q Is that the sheet that we were referring to and Mr. Holford -- that you identified earlier and it's like an Excel spread sheet as well and it has a figure on
- 6 there for --
 - A It says Ann Kananian, meso, and the last line is 31.5 percent less payment one and payment two; and then I have a W-9 for Mary Bruno, a W-9 for Jack Kananian, a W-9 for Karen Kananian; interest checks,
- 11 2004. It's a spread sheet dated 11-24-2009. I have 12 another one that's a spread sheet. It says Brayton 1099
- 13
- entry list.xls, Page 1. It's got Jack Kananian, Karen
- 14 Kananian, Mary Bruno, each in the amount of \$10,404.21;
- 15 I have a 2004 interest income 1099 to Jack Kananian; I
- 16 have an interest income 1099 to Karen Kananian, and a
- 17 2004 interest income 1099 to Mary Bruno.
 - Q Those would be the 1099's then basically.
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q Does your attorney now have in his hand that
- 21 you've been handing to him as you identified those
- 22 documents, and I'm going to go through them again very
- 23 quickly just to get stickers on them, is what he's
- 24 holding in his hand that you just handed over to him,
- 25 does that comprise the entire second production

Page 62

A That's correct.

Q So let's just back up for a second. These documents demonstrate that the trust paid money to this family; is that right?

A That's correct.

(Exhibits 10 and 11 were marked.)

BY MR. ANDREAS:

Q The next document in order will be 12 and it is entitled Western Asbestos Settlement Trust wiring amount instructions. It's two pages and it's signed by Mr. Snyder dated the 29th day of April, 2004 and it's set up in columns on each page with bank routing information and the law firm and their tax I.D. number and the financial institution to which the money is being sent.

This document is redacted because, as I understand it, it contains a number of names of other claimants and law firms to which obviously we're not entitled to that information.

A That's correct.

Q But on Page 2, we have Brayton Purcell, tax I.D. number is blacked out, and then it's WestAmerica Bank in Redwood Boulevard in Novato, California, and it says the Brayton Purcell Asbestos Trust Account III. The totals are blacked out here, but maybe we can get

around that in a minute.

1 (Exhibit 12 was marked.) 1 claim form and all the stuff that we talked about 2 2 earlier, and the trust has an opportunity at that point BY MR. ANDREAS: 3 Q Number 13 in the stack that was handed to me is 3 to evaluate, number one, whether they meet the medical 4 4 the Excel spread sheet that has that date of criteria, and number two, whether they meet the exposure criteria and whatever other minor criteria that are -- I 5 November 24th, 2009 on the bottom right-hand corner in 5 6 don't know if it's minor, but other criteria that the 6 very small print. Can you read that? I know Mr. 7 7 Holford has better eyes than both of us, but -trust employs to evaluate and make a determination on 8 A 11-24-2009. 8 that claim, right? 9 9 A That's correct. Q That's in the very far right-hand bottom corner 10 Q So in this instance, we are referring to a 10 and the print is very small on this, and even with my 11 11 default, date of default, things like that. So in this glasses I'm having a tough time, but it indicates Ann 12 12 case with the Kananians, this wasn't a claim, was it? Kananian is the -- this is the master default printout, 13 13 A No. it was not. right? 14 A Right, and this would have been I believe her 14 Q Could you tell us what this was, how this was 15 15 successor. handled? 16 A There were both claims and default judgments 16 Q Right. You understand that Miss Kananian died, 17 17 that were entered. The trust, under the bankruptcy Ann Kananian? A I realize that. 18 settlement, had an obligation to pay those and all we 18 19 Q Because she has a Power of Attorney --19 needed to know was to whom, how much, if there had been 20 A That's the reason there was just one name on 20 previous payments made and how much interest was owed on 21 the default judgments. 21 here at the time this was done. 22 Q So in this case, and we'll get to the default 22 Q If I represent to you that Jack Kananian is the 23 23 judgment papers themselves, what the trust received was executor of his father's estate and also had Power of 24 24 Attorney apparently for his mother in the heir capacity, a copy of the default judgment itself? 25 25 A Notice of entry. that's consistent with what we're looking at here as far Page 65 Page 67 1 as how this was paid out? It was paid out in Ann Q Notice of entry of default judgment, and I have 1 Kananian's name, but it's your understanding it went to 2 2 another copy of that, so that's fine. We'll get to that 3 the other heirs? 3 in a minute. 4 A I don't know that. I can't -- from this sheet, 4 A The notice and the judgment, correct. 5 5 all I can tell is that she was the beneficiary. Q The actual judgment, all right, and that's 6 Q Again, I'm having a tough time reading this 6 where the trust got this date for the default date on 7 7 thing, but can you just tell us the date and how much this printout which is Exhibit 13 I think it is. This 8 8 money was paid out, what's reflected on here? is Exhibit 13 we're referring to which is the spread 9 9 A Okay. The disease is listed in the second sheet. Let me have the court reporter mark this. 10 column, mesothelioma; the case number we didn't have at 10 (Exhibit 13 was marked.) 11 the time this was done; the firm is Brayton; the default 11 BY MR. ANDREAS: 12 date was 3-31-02; the default amount was --12 Q When we were going through those columns a 13 Q Excuse me, is that three or 1-31-02? 13 minute ago on Exhibit 13, it says default date 1-31-02, 14 A Sorry, 1-31-02. The amount was --14 right? 15 Q That's the default amount is the next column? 15 A It does. 16 A Correct, 1,738,050. The first payment that was 16 Q That obviously pre-dates the formation of the 17 made prior to the time of the trust being formed --17 trust itself, right? 18 Q Before we get to the payment, can I ask you 18 A That's correct. 19 19 this? Now, we talked at the beginning of this Q So do you have any understanding or have you 20 deposition about the claim process. If somebody is 20 obtained any information in your capacity with the trust 21 filing it as a claim, once the trust is set up in April 21 as to how it was that people were obtaining defaults 22 of 2004 and is a going concern and somebody wants to 22 against this company or anything? 23 file a claim, whether it's a living person with a 23 A I don't have knowledge of what happened. 24 disease or a family member in a wrongful death context, 24 Q But in any case, when the trust becomes a going 25 they go through that claims process, they fill out the 25 concern, is up and running, this is, as we've

Page 66

- established, not a claim process, either the default
 judgment presented to the trust along with some other
 documentation showing who gets paid and so forth, and
 the trust has no right I guess is the best way to put
 it, no right to make a determination as to the validity
 of that default judgment and the basis of that default
 judgment against Western MacArthur; is that right?
 - A That's right. My understanding was they were approved by the bankruptcy court as part of the bankruptcy settlement.
 - Q Sure, but in the first instance, you know as a lawyer a default judgment is taken in --
 - A I'm not going to argue with you. My job was to pay these claims, not to make any determination as to the underlying facts.
 - Q And in that respect, the trust was simply given here's the notice of entry of default, here's the amount, and then process it, right?
- 19 A Correct.

- Q So you didn't have any clue as to what Mr.
- Harry Kananian's connection was, if any, to either
- 22 Western Asbestos, Western MacArthur or the MacArthur
- 23 Company; is that right?
- 24 A That's right.
- Q And I don't think there's any dispute in this

Page 69

obviously the trust was up and operational?

A Up until the date that the bankruptcy was filed.

MR. HOLFORD: This is Andrew Holford. Just to clarify for the record, the master default documents, one of them refers to balance plus 10 percent on balance after payment one, 11-22-02, and the other one is default less payment one plus interest through 7-18-02. Are those the two master default documents we're talking about?

THE WITNESS: Correct. One was to correct -there were approximately ten days of interest that had
not been accounted for.

BY MR. ANDREAS:

Q So you were simply adding on another ten days of interest?

A Correct.

Q So can you just tell us -- strike that, let me reask this question.

Because we've differentiated, this was a default judgment situation that was presented to the trust when it became operational versus a claim process. You told us earlier you get something like 40 cents -- you gave the \$100 example. Is it paid differently on a default judgment?

Page 71

case or any other case that Mr. Harry Kananian did in fact have a diagnosed mesothelioma, I'm just representing this for the record, that he died from in the summer of 2000, all right?

So can you just tell me, we're going through these columns, what was paid out on this default judgment amount of over 1.7 million? How does that get paid out, or how did it get paid out?

A There had been -- my understanding is, and I'm just going to correct the record here. There are two of these master default pieces of paper and the reason there are two is because there was a discovery after the trust had paid that there were ten days of interest that had not been accounted for, one of my staff.

Q What is this interest? You keep referring to interest payment. What is that?

A When there's a judgment and it's not paid, under California law interest runs. It was set out for a particular time frame for these particular default judgments, but the interest I'm referring to is interest on the judgment.

- Q On the default judgment?
- 23 A Correct.
- Q So there was interest owed from the date the
- judgment was entered on 1-31-02 up until the date that

A No. They receive -- whatever the amount of the percentage, we have a total liquidated value amount.

That would be the total value of the claim, and then we have -- because we want to make sure that future claimants can be paid. They don't get 100 percent.

They get right now 44 percent of the amount of the value.

They get right now 44 percent of the amount of the value of the claim. Default judgments get 44 percent as well.

Q Is that true back in the time period we're talking about?

A This would have been at 31.5 percent.

Q Can you explain that for the record, why it increases?

A There's a claw back provision and it's so that there's equity amongst the payments, so a person who gets paid 31.5 percent at the beginning of the trust, then there was an increase and I can't remember what we increased it to. It was like 34 percent, and then it went up to 40 percent and 44 percent. Each time everyone who has received a payment receives the additional amount.

Q So basically the trust keeps them current with everybody else that's in the system rate now?

A If you have a claim and we move to a new payment percentage, you get the difference between what you got and the new payment percentage.

1 Q And that increased interest payment is 1 dollars goes in there and depending how many claims are 2 dependent on how --2 filed, the amount of claims, how well that money is 3 A It's not interest. 3 invested, whether more insurers end up kicking more 4 Q I'm sorry, what is it called? 4 money in, would it be fair to say all of those things 5 A It's just an increase. The claim payment 5 potentially could add up to or end up with the trust 6 6 percentage goes up. paying out additional monies to either past claimants 7 7 Q Why is it that the claim percentage goes up? and present claimants? 8 A We either have fewer claims than we expected to 8 A That would be correct. 9 9 have arrived by the time -- we have an analyst that Q And you said something about our goal is to get 10 periodically we ask to look at our investment, how much 10 them paid 100 percent on whatever their claim is; is 11 we've earned, how much we've paid, how many claims have 11 that right? 12 been filed, and there are forecasting documents and they 12 A That would be the ultimate goal of the trust, 13 make a comparison and if it looks like there are either 13 to try to pay 100 percent. 14 fewer claims or the amount of the -- the dollar amount 14 Q And you want to pay only those claims where in each of those claims is different than what was 15 15 there is a valid exposure nexus, is that fair? In other forecast, we're trying to get claimants 100 percent words, if somebody wasn't exposed to a product or a 16 16 17 17 eventually. contracting activity by one of these three companies, 18 Q Let me stop you right there. When the trust 18 Western Asbestos, Western MacArthur or the MacArthur 19 was set up, the original -- in a legal term it's called 19 Company, then they shouldn't be receiving money, right? 20 a RES, R-E-S, I guess, but that's old Latin or 20 A Our goal is to -- when we analyze these claims, 21 something, they have a sum of money that's poured into 21 our goal is to only pay those claimants that are 22 the trust to begin with to pay claims, right? 22 entitled under the documents that were provided. 23 23 A That's correct. Q And that's not just the trust situation, that's 24 Q And in this case with the Western Asbestos 24 pretty much the way the Court system and how we kind of 25 Settlement Trust, and this is just based on my own 25 operate in this country; people shouldn't get money that Page 73 Page 75 1 third-hand knowledge, it's my understanding that there 1 they're not entitled to, right? 2 2 was approximately two billion dollars poured into this MR. NORTHUP: Objection to the soliloquy of 3 trust to begin with, is that right? 3 counsel and the relevancy of the question. 4 A That's an approximate, yes. 4 MR. KLOMP: And join that it calls for 5 5 Q And that's from at least some of the insurers speculation. BY MR. ANDREAS: 6 that had some potential liability under their policies 6 7 7 written for one or more of these companies; is that Q I'm asking you as a lawyer, just out of common 8 8 right? sense. 9 9 A That's correct. A Our goal is to pay claimants that have exposure 10 Q And there was some litigation, coverage 10 to those products. litigation that went along with that in the early 2000s 11 11 Q And in that sense, and the idea is to get as 12 here before it was settled and the money was paid -- and 12 close to 100 percent and that may take a number of years 13 the trust could be formed; is that correct? 13 until you get there, right? 14 A And we still have litigation ongoing. 14 A That's correct. 15 Is there more money coming potentially? 15 Q But the trust is continuing to try to do that 16 Don't know. There's ongoing litigation. 16 through all of these various means, the interest, 17 17 Q Is this coverage litigation? jiggling the numbers with the accountants and seeing if 18 A It is. 18 there's additional money available or additional money 19 So there's at least one or more carriers out 19 being poured in by another --20 there that haven't forked over the money, so to speak, 20 A Or if the claims end up being worth less than 21 and they're defending themselves and saying they don't 21 they were forecast to be worth. 22 22 Q So the trust is always looking in those ways, have to pay it? 23 A That's correct. There's an insurance company 23 and will be doing that into the future for as long as 24 that went into receivership. 24 the trust exists? 25 Q So taking that together with -- the two billion 25 A That's correct. Page 76 Page 74

1 Q So for instance, in the Kananian claim, we have 1 A We have the notice and we have the judgment and 2 2 the date -- do we have the date that this was actually the proof of service, so it was about four pages total. 3 presented to the trust? This is Exhibit 13 again. 3 Q Other than that, it doesn't have any 4 A I think it was originally done in May of 2004. 4 information about Harry Kananian, the decedent's, 5 That's just my recollection. 5 potential or alleged exposure to any of the three 6 6 Q The Brayton Purcell office and Al Brayton entities' products or contracting work? 7 7 obtained a number of default judgments, isn't that A That would be correct. 8 8 Q All right. Now, can you just tell us what the correct? 9 9 A I don't know that. I don't have that with me. original -- how much was the original payment in May of 10 '04 to the Kananians? And if there's some easier way to 10 I'd have to go and look. 11 Q But they certainly did in this case, they 11 describe this, feel free to do that. 12 12 A 446,413.44. obtained a default judgment? 13 A They did, that's correct. 13 Q 416,000? 14 Q So in this case, the Kananian heirs had 14 A Whatever the last figure in the column that 15 received not only the initial disbursement, but 15 says 31.5 percent, less payment one and payment two. additional payments since May of 2004 to the present, to 16 16 Q So they received some payments before this big 17 17 2010; is that right? payment was made, is that fair? A That would be correct. 18 A That's fair. I don't have actual knowledge. 18 19 Q And that's some of the other documents we 19 but we were told the payments had been made. 20 referred to, the W-9s and the other interest documents 20 Q It says -- there's some other numbers before 21 21 we have here, right? that in other columns, I think it's \$481,000, and I 22 22 A Correct. can't read the rest of it. So the very last column 23 23 Q The trust paid that out and you're required to tells us what they were paid by the trust, less these 24 issue 1099's? 24 payment one and two if they were paid, it comes out to 25 25 A There's a big question now as to whether we're \$446,413.44 is the best I can tell from this? Page 79 Page 77 1 required to issue them or not. It may be that we 1 A That's correct. 2 2 weren't required to, but at the time we believed we Q So that was the first payment made to the 3 were. Kananians -- excuse me, the first payment by the trust 4 4 Q And to be very clear, that money is being paid once it was up and running; is that right? 5 5 out because there's a default judgment that indicates A That's correct. 6 6 that Harry Kananian was exposed in some fashion to Q And that was in May of '04 approximately? 7 7 something having to do with one of the three Western A I think it was in April. I believe it was in 8 8 entities; is that right? April. 9 9 A The interest, the 1099 is specifically is just Q Now, do you have any documents or do we have 10 10 any documents here that reflect how much more they've the interest. 11 been paid in settlement of this default judgment since 11 Q Okay. But that all flows from the original 12 12 that time? default judgment? 13 A Those would be the documents that you have 13 A We are paying the amounts because we have a 14 default judgment, correct. 14 marked 9A, 9B and 9C, so those would have been payments 15 15 Q That says that Mr. Kananian was entitled to made on June 8th, 2006, September 19th, 2007, and 16 collect from a Western Asbestos -- Western Asbestos 16 October, 2008 and there haven't been payments made on 17 17 Settlement Trust entity for whatever reason? I'm not the recent four percent increase yet. 18 18 sure that the judgment itself has any more information Q So is there -- there's been another 19 19 four percent increase that's going to be paid out to all other than the amounts, but that's your understanding, 20 20 prior claimants? isn't that right? 21 A Correct. 21 A He had a default judgment entered and I think 22 the amount was a million seven -- you have to add it up. 22 Q And present claimants that are being accepted 23 Q We'll get to some more documents on this in a 23 right now? 24 minute, but all the trust was provided was that two page 24 A Correct.

25

Q It will be included in their settlement?

default judgment, notice of entry of default judgment?

25

A That's right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

Q Now, the last set of these documents from the second production as we've been calling it are these W-9s for Mary Bruno, Jack Kananian and Karen Kananian, and then there's a printout for WMAC interest checks.

I don't know, is there some way I can just -would it make sense to just collectively mark these as
an exhibit because they're all sort of related to the
payments that have been made to them?

A What is it you're looking at?

11 Q It's the last part of the documents of the 12 second production.

A These would be -- these are W-9s.

- Q Right, three W-9s, Mary Bruno is the first one,
- 15 Jack Kananian, Karen Kananian, and those are all from --
- 16 A These are all related --
- 17 Q These were all in 2004?
- 18 A These were all related just to interest.
- Q Okay. And then the next two pages I have are
- these interest checks from 2004 with the amounts.
- 21 A Right.
- 22 Q There's two pages; is that right?
- 23 A Correct.
- Q And then there's a 2004 interest income 1099
- form for Jack Kananian, Karen Kananian and Mary Bruno;

Page 81

- documents here, and understand that I've printed off a
- 2 number of these documents off the website, other than
- 3 Exhibits 1 and 2 which are the notices, is it fair to
- 4 say and are you comfortable with saying that all of the
- documents, the website documents that have been printed up, all the documents that have been produced from the
- 7 trust itself, that these are all authentic documents?

A Correct.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q And you're an appropriate person to speak to on that issue as far as authenticating the documents as a custodian of records type person for the trust?

A I am.

Q And you're also here today as what we call, there's an acronym that has been used in the past, a PMK, a person most knowledgeable for the trust as well?

A Correct.

Q And in that capacity, you've been answering questions, very kindly answering questions that I've been posing to you about these documents; is that right?

A That's correct. I don't know if I've been doing it kindly, but I've been answering them.

Q How about competently, how about we go that way.

Now, you mentioned at the beginning of the deposition or early on that you were familiar with Johns

Page 83

1 is that right?

2

3

4

8

10

11

A Correct.

- Q So what I'm going to do is mark these because they all relate to interest payments in 2004, so
- 5 collectively all those documents I just identified for
- 6 the record and the witness identified will be marked as
- 7 Exhibit 14.

(Exhibit 14 was marked.)

9 BY MR. ANDREAS:

- Q You had mentioned -- I'm sorry, this will be Exhibit 15. This is the notice of entry of judgment by
- 12 court after adult of Defendant Western MacArthur
- Company, and it's the five page document that the
- witness -- or four pages I think it is, four page
- document. It has a proof of service from the Brayton
- Purcell firm dated 2-8-02, and the date of the judgment
- itself was stated on the notice as January 31st, 2002,
- and it's in the amounts that we earlier discussed.
- I don't know that it's aggregated here, but I think it's on that printout page that we marked earlier,
- 21 the total amount of the default, so this will be
- 22 Exhibit 15.
- 23 (Exhibit 15 was marked.)
- 24 BY MR. ANDREAS:
- Q So now that we've gone through all of these

 Page 82

- Manville Company and that they had some involvement with asbestos in the past and that they may have had some
- 3 involvement as far as being the manufacturer of some
- 4 products that one or more of these entities that the
- 5 Western Asbestos Trust handles in this trust; is that
- 6 right?

7

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A That's correct.

- 8 Q Now, have you ever -- you're aware that they 9 have a trust, a bankruptcy trust, you're aware of that?
 - A I'm aware of that.
 - Q Do you interact with them at all?
- 12 A On occasion.
- Q Why would that be?
 - A I might call their executive director to find out -- I don't have a history of asbestos. I was general counsel of a company that makes slot machines and before that I was counsel to a bank, so I can tell you that I don't have -- if I need to ask a question about asbestos history, I might call up any of my counterparts around the country, but not on a frequent basis. Maybe once a year, maybe once every two years.
 - Q Is there a conference or a get-together at any point during the year of all of the various executive directors or chief officers of these asbestos bankruptcy trusts?

1 A If there is, I'm not invited. 2 MR. NORTHUP: Plaintiffs would like to put an 3 objection as being outside the scope of the subpoena. 4 THE WITNESS: No, there isn't that I know of. 5 BY MR. ANDREAS: 6 Q And you said you haven't been invited if there 7 was? 8 A If there is, I don't know about it. 9 Q Now, have you ever seen a Johns Manville claim 10 form before? 11 MR. NORTHUP: Objection from plaintiff. THE WITNESS: I don't believe I have. 12 13 MR. ANDREAS: Let me mark this as plaintiffs 14 next in order. This is going to be -- I'll just 15 identify it for the record. For counsel on the phone, 16 this is what we've been referring to as the Johns 17 Manville, original Johns Manville claim form for Harry 18 Kananian. 19 MR. NORTHUP: Chris, will you agree to a 20 blanket objection to any use of the Johns Manville 21 claims so I don't have to say objection after every 22 question you ask? 23 MR. ANDREAS: Sure. Just state the basis right 24 now and I'll see if I'll stipulate to a running

objection. Page 85

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 of Harry Kananian and/or his heirs at law, and amounts 2 were paid and I've established with this witness, I 3 believe sufficiently, and I don't -- there's plenty of 4 evidence on this that I'm prepared to introduce at trial 5 if necessary, that in fact the Western Asbestos Company 6 in San Francisco was the exclusive distributor for Johns 7 Manville products in Northern California, Northern

Nevada I think it was, and Southern Oregon.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In other words, Johns Manville awarded exclusive distributorships to contracting firms to supply their materials and hopefully use them on their contract jobs in various regions of the country, and Western Asbestos was the sole and exclusive distributor in Northern California, Northern Nevada and Southern Oregon, and I'm making an offer of proof on the record that I'll have more than adequate proof as well and in addition to what the witness has already acknowledged that she understands there is a connection between Western Asbestos and Johns Manville in that they dealt with some of their products or handled some of their products.

She doesn't know anything about the exclusive distributorship side of it, but my offer of proof is that -- and let me just say, Jarrett, it's well known in the asbestos litigation world that that is in fact the

Page 87

```
MR. NORTHUP: Sure. Let's go over the
subpoena. Let's see here, those persons most knowledge
about the matters set forth in Exhibit A. Exhibit A
does not reference anything having to do with the Johns
Manville claim form.
     MR. ANDREAS: Okay. Well, Jarrett --
```

MR. HOLFORD: The new subpoena does increase the scope of the deposition slightly by referring to claims processes and all things referred to in Exhibit

MR. NORTHUP: Sure. Referencing Exhibit A, and I don't see anything in Exhibit A which has --

MR. HOLFORD: It says included, but not limited to.

THE WITNESS: Could you gentlemen tell the court reporter who you are when you speak?

MR. HOLFORD: Sure, that was Andrew Holford talking about the subpoena.

MR. ANDREAS: The objecting party here is plaintiffs counsel, Jarrett Northup. Before you speak, that would be great.

This is the position on this, Jarrett. I think I've established and I think it's acknowledged it's in all of the documents for everybody that a Johns Manville claim along with some other claims were filed on behalf

case, and I'll be happy to produce exclusive distributorship agreements from the Johns Manville Trust and so forth to demonstrate that at trial. It's not something you would know about, but it's well known amongst those involved in asbestos litigation nationwide.

MR. NORTHUP: May I speak? MR. ANDREAS: Sure.

MR. NORTHUP: Okay. For the record, of course you're going to ask any question you want. For the record, please let the record reflect that the default judgment entered in this matter is not from Western Asbestos. It's from the Western MacArthur Company.

There isn't a claim being processed by this trust which involves the Western Asbestos Company or Johns Manville, and I also object as it being outside the scope of the subpoena based on the language referencing Exhibit A. With that, I'll shut up.

MR. ANDREAS: Jarrett, maybe you're confused, but the name of the trust is the Western Asbestos Settlement Trust.

MR. NORTHUP: Correct. What's the name on the default judgment that brings us here today?

MR. ANDREAS: It's Western MacArthur which, again, as an offer of proof, that was the merged company

```
or however they came together in the '80s where the
Minnesota outfit got together with Western Asbestos here
and they formed up a company.
```

I'm happy to make that offer of proof. It's in SEC filings, it's public knowledge, it is subject to judicial notice, so if you want to fight about that, that's fine, and Jarrett, I know that you're not an expert --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. NORTHUP: That's fine, Chris. I got my objection on the record, so get on with it.

MR. ANDREAS: I want to be clear on the record here because if you present this argument to the Judge in some fashion, I want it to be very clearly stated here and I'm going to give you an opportunity to withdraw your objection at this point.

MR. NORTHUP: No, I will not withdraw by objection. Please continue.

MR. KLOMP: For the record, we also join in that objection. This line of questioning is outside the scope of the subpoena as issued to the person most knowledgeable for the Western Asbestos Trust, not to the Western MacArthur Company.

23 MR. ANDREAS: Well, I think it is related for 24 the reasons --

MR. KLOMP: We don't need to argue this. You Page 89 Brayton, Purcell, Curtis & Geagan which is the previous

- 2 iteration of the Brayton Purcell law firm, and it has
- 3 the firm's phone number, (415) 898-1555 on the cover
- 4 sheet, and there are attachments including a very
- 5 lengthy exhibit, what's called an Exhibit A in my former
- 6 firm and that is drawn from the San Francisco Superior
- 7 Court general order interrogatory responses required by
- 8 every plaintiff filing an asbestos case in San
- 9 Francisco, and the Exhibit A is the section of those

10 interrogatory responses that details the plaintiff, or 11 in this case claimant's employment history and potential 12 past exposure to asbestos. It's a narrative section of

13 this, so this is being marked as Exhibit 16. 14

(Exhibit 16 was marked.) BY MR. ANDREAS:

Q I'm handing it to the witness and I'm going to ask the witness, first of all, have you ever seen that document before?

A No.

1

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q All right. I think that's fair enough. As far as the content of that, you've never -- neither you or the trust were ever provided with this document; is that right?

A That's correct.

Q Thank you. I'm not going to ask you any more

Page 91

1 just need to ask your questions. We're objecting that 2 it's outside the scope of the subpoena.

MR. ANDREAS: Sure, and I don't intend to spend a lot of time on this.

5 BY MR. ANDREAS:

> Q I'm going to hand you Exhibit 16, we'll have the court reporter mark it, and for counsel on the phone, this is what we've been referring to as the original Johns Manville claim form filed on behalf of Harry Kananian and his family back in -- the date of it is found -- again, this document consists of a form, a trust claim form somewhat similar to the one we went through earlier for Western Asbestos Trust.

It has various sections that deal with medical criteria and exposure criteria and all of the various pieces of information that a trust requires. It has a signature page signed by Alan Brayton, attorney for Harry Kananian, and my understanding that this was originally -- there's a date in here as to when it was submitted, but I'm going to tell you that -- here it says received April 20th, 2000 by the Johns Manville Trust which is actually called the Claims Resolution Management Corporation Claims Processing Department in Fairfax, Virginia, and it was provided by Clara L.

Vipond, V-i-p-o-n-d, administrative assistant to

questions on this.

Again, we have now gone through the entire file of everything that the trust has received on the Kananian claim; is that right?

A That's correct.

Q This will be Exhibit 17. This is, again, from the production of documents and everyone has this. This is what's been referred to as the amended Johns Manville claim form. It's on a cover letter from Brayton Purcell, LLP dated March 22, 2006 addressed to the Claims Resolution Management Corporation, Manville Trust Claims Processing in Falls Church, Virginia, and it's referring to claim number 525520, Harry Kananian.

It reads as follows on the cover letter: "Attention Claims Processing: Please find enclosed an amended claim form for the above-referenced claimant. Thank you for your attention to this matter," and it's signed Christina Skubic who is the head of the bankruptcy division at Brayton Purcell, and it contains a similar proof of claim form to number 16. It's the same exact form pretty much as far as just looking at it, except that it has over the top "amended" in capital letters that somebody affixed there. I don't know that the trust --

> MR. HOLFORD: This is Andrew Holford. I just Page 92

Page 90

23 (Pages 89 to 92)

want to interject for a second. I've got an Exhibit 14
 which is the corrected 2004 document, and then I have
 the original Johns Manville marked as Exhibit 15.
 MR. ANDREAS: Fourteen is the collective group

MR. ANDREAS: Fourteen is the collective group of W-9s and so forth that we talked about earlier for the interest payments. Fifteen is the notice of entry of judgment. It's the four page document with simply has the default judgment.

MR. HOLFORD: I'm on the right page now.
MR. ANDREAS: Sixteen is the original Johns

Manville claim form, and 17 is the cover letter Brayton
Purcell attaching the amended Johns Manville claim form,

and again, it contains -- it's the same form fromeverything I can see. I guess people can compare it

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

later, but it has "amended" typed over the top of the

first page of the claim form itself and it has somewhat

different attachments. I'll represent that for the

18 record, including a section of a deposition from a

gentleman by the name of Peter Cerka, C-e-r-k-a, and it

relates to Mr. Cerka's work in a shipyard back in World

War II, but other than that, I think everything else is generally the same. We'll do a side-by-side at some

point, not today.

MR. NORTHUP: So are you going to ask her a question about this?

Page 93

A I have very vague esoteric knowledge of what the companies did.

Q Well, going back to -- strike that. Let me mark the next in order. It's going to be some e-mails, and this will be Exhibit 18.

(Exhibit 18 was marked.)

BY MR. ANDREAS:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q This is a single page e-mail string and it's from Zenna Burge, B-u-r-g-e, addressed to Ryan Poole of the Brayton Purcell bankruptcy department, that's P-o-o-l-e, dated the 22nd of March, 2006, 4:55 p.m. The subject is -- there's a client identifier, Kananian - ship exposure, and I'm just going to ask the witness to review it very quickly.

A Okay.

these are all e-mails that are in everybody's documents. The first e-mail in time is the one from Ryan Poole on the 22nd of March of 2006 at 3:43 p.m. Do you see that?

Q I'll direct your attention to the -- again,

A Yes.

Q It says, "PID Solutions spits out a JM hit for HPNSY. His Exhibit A," which is the document I earlier referred to attached to those Johns Manville claim forms, "Shows that he was at HPNSY." Do you know what HPNSY is?

Page 95

MR. ANDREAS: Yeah, I'm getting to it. I'm simply identifying it for the record.

MR. NORTHUP: We've seen it a thousand times.

MR. ANDREAS: I know, but everybody seems to want to know exactly what it is. I'm having the court reporter put a sticker on it before I hand it to the witness.

(Exhibit 17 was marked.)

BY MR. ANDREAS:

Q I'll ask you the same questions I asked about 16. First of all, were you ever copied with this document by Brayton Purcell?

A No.

Q Did Brayton -- is it fair to say that Brayton
Purcell has never provided the trust to your knowledge
in this case with any documents relating to a similar
bankruptcy claim for an asbestos related death from
mesothelioma?

A That would be correct.

Q And whether or not, and again, we'll deal with this outside of this, but to the extent to which Western Asbestos is responsible and the scope of their sales and so forth as far as distributorship, that's not something that you're really prepared to talk about other than what you've stated so far?

Page 94

A I don't.

Q Have you ever heard that as an acronym for Hunters Point Naval Shipyard?

A I haven't, but --

Q Are you familiar with Hunters Point Naval Shipyard?

A I've heard of it.

Q Would it be fair to characterize that as amajor Western site?

A It wouldn't be fair to --

MR. KLOMP: Objection, it calls for information outside the scope of the subpoena and potentially outside the scope of the witness's knowledge.

THE WITNESS: We have many, many, many sites as you can tell from our lists. I can't tell you which ones are major and which runs are not.

BY MR. ANDREAS:

Q Do you know this much, that Hunters Point Naval Ship was located down in San Francisco?

A I do know that.

Q And it says, "His Exhibit A shows he was at HPNSY from 3/45-4/45 aboard the General John Pope. Moreover, the ship list does not include HPNSY. I'm guessing that he just boarded the ship there. Can you

please confirm that the ship was never repaired at HPNSY

Page 96

24 (Pages 93 to 96)

during or before his time there? I need this info ASAP.Thanks." Did I read that correctly.

A Correct.

Q Then Miss Burge responds a short time later, "I cannot confirm nor deny," and then Mr. Poole responds, "That's not really helpful," and then Miss Burge at the very top thing says, "Sorry, I could not find anything regarding the General Pope and HPNSY."

A Correct.

MR. NORTHUP: Is there a question on the e-mail?

12 BY MR. ANDREAS:

Q I just asked her, did I read that correctly?

A Correct.

MR. KLOMP: I'm going to object to any more of these e-mails being shown or read into the record.

MR. ANDREAS: I'm leading into it, counsel.

MR. KLOMP: But you're not asking questions about the Western Asbestos Trust.

MR. ANDREAS: This is the tie-in. I'm tying it all up. That's my offer of proof, and I'm not going to have more than one more e-mail. This will be

Q Exhibit 19 is an e-mail string. It's multiple

23 Exhibit 19.

(Exhibit 19 was marked.)

Page 97

Q So there's references to Manville, Johns Manville and the John Manville Trust as well as the Western MacArthur default in this case, this being the Kananian case. The subject matter of the e-mail string is, "Urgent matter, Kananian case," and I've highlighted the sections I wanted you to focus on. That's the only ones that are of any particular import, but you're free to review the whole thing if you'd like. I want to have counsel for the trust to have a copy of this as well.

MR. KLOMP: Let me just quickly object to the fact that you identified this as something with which everybody is very familiar. I have not seen this before and I doubt my client has.

MR. ANDREAS: I meant the people on the phone, people involved in this case. There's a number of e-mails relating to a lot of things, but these are one of the areas.

18 BY MR. ANDREAS:

Q Just tell me when you're ready to go and I'll just ask you a couple quick questions.

A Okay.

Q Actually, before I ask those questions, I want you to keep that exhibit in front of you and then I'm going to hand you this last exhibit. What this next exhibit is, this will be 20, and what it is is certified

Page 99

1 BY MR. ANDREAS:

pages, but I'm not going to be focusing on anything but the first page. It's the e-mail string involving the amended Johns Manville claim process between various individuals including Al Brayton, Christina Skubic, myself, Ryan Poole, and others on the 22nd and 23rd of March, 2006 and it's one, two, three, four pages of e-mails back and forth in this string and I'm going to hand this a copy, it's highlighted, to the deponent and I'm just going to focus you because your counsel is concerned about taking too much time with this, so what I'd like you to do is -- we just looked at Exhibit 18. This, by the way, is going to be Exhibit 19, and some of the same people are here. Ryan Poole, not Miss Burge, but Ryan Poole's name appears in this string right near

the top. Do you see that?

A Correct.

Q Now, I just want to focus you on really just this first page. If you want to just take a second to review it and there are references, I will state to counsel, I'll give my copy to counsel, to Western MacArthur. It's referred to as WMAC. Have you ever seen that acronym used?

A On occasion, yeah.

pages certified by the Clerk of the Superior Court of San Francisco. These are the pertinent pages of the

3 Brief in Support of Entry of Default Judgment as to

Defendant Western MacArthur Company on behalf of Ann
 Kananian and successors in interest to Harry Kananian,

including Jack Kananian, Mary Bruno, Karen Kananian, et

7 cetera.8 T

This is Exhibit 20 we're talking about and I'm referencing the fact that -- I'll just represent for the record that I obtained these in advance of this deposition by going down to the clerk's office in the San Francisco Superior Court and this document had to be -- the file had to be removed from off-site storage because it is a relatively old file at this point.

This is the brief that was filed by Brayton Purcell, Alan Brayton and others at my office, former office, Brayton Purcell, on behalf of the Kananians dated 1-29-02 signed by David R. Donadio on the initial portion of this, and it attaches work cite product identification exhibits and other exhibits to validate the Kananian family's right to -- it's effectively a prove-up, what we call a prove-up for a default judgment. The Court requires that a party that is seeking a default judgment provide some evidence or present witnesses or so forth in -- it's not a

Page 100

full-blown trial, but it's a presentation of evidence to 2 prove up the claim before they will enter a default 3 judgment. 4 MR. HOLFORD: Just to be clear, I did produce a 5 copy of this to everybody. 6 (Exhibit 20 was marked.) 7 BY MR. ANDREAS: 8 Q As I mentioned, every page is stamped and 9 certified by the clerk as an authentic page of this brief that was in the file from the San Francisco 10 11 Superior Court. I'm handing this to the witness and 12 counsel for the trust. 13 First of all, you've never seen this, have you? 14 A No. 15 Q Based on your understanding of the law, and I don't think this is a difficult question, we've 16 17 established already is what the trust did receive was 18 the notice of entry of default judgment; is that right? 19 A Correct. 20 Q And if you go through this thing, you'll see that -- just from looking at it, would this -- based on 21 22 your knowledge as an attorney and your capacity with the 23 trust, this is the brief that preceded the default 24 judgment being entered, isn't that right? 25 MR. KLOMP: Objection, calls for speculation. Page 101 1 THE WITNESS: I don't know. 2 BY MR. ANDREAS: 3 Q Let me represent to you that it was. Assuming 4 that it is, and we might have to get to hypotheticals at 5 this point, but I want you to assume this: Before I get 6 you into the hypothetical, let me just go back to one 7 thing you said earlier. 8 One of the primary goals of the trust is, first 9 of all, to compensate were they victims, is that right, 10 that can demonstrate that they were exposed to either 11 products or activities of the three entities involved 12 with the trust, right? 13 A That's the purpose of the trust. 14 Q And the other thing is to continue to get them 15 up to 100 percent if possible over the years? 16 A That would be the ultimate goal. 17 Q Sure. And another thing in having Judge Renfrew involved is to protect the interest of future 18 19 claimants, right? 20 A That's correct. 21 Q Because those people haven't yet presented 22 themselves or they haven't been diagnosed with something 23 or died of something related to asbestos, right? 24 A That's correct. 25 Q And therefore, it's very important to the trust

1 to make sure that the assets it does have invested, 2 however it's invested and disbursed and interest paid 3 off of it, that that is preserved not only to pay the 4 present claimants and past claimants that have valid 5 claims that are based on demonstrable exposure to the 6 activities or products of the three entities, but also 7 the future --8 MR. KLOMP: Objection, asked and answered. 9 THE WITNESS: Yes. 10 MR. NORTHUP: Join by the plaintiffs. 11 BY MR. ANDREAS: 12 Q So with that in mind, I want you to assume that 13 the Johns Manville claim was based on -- the original 14 Johns Manville claim which was Exhibit 16 when it was 15 filed in 2000, was based on the information at that time 16 possessed by somebody in the bankruptcy department that 17 Harry Kananian was actually at the Hunters point Navy 18 Shipyard in San Francisco for a significant amount of 19 time, several months at the shipyard, and that that site 20 is one where a lot of Johns Manville insulation was used 21 during World War II. This is during World War II, 1945. 22 I want you to assume that that's the case and 23 that's what's reflected in the documents, and that then 24 about six years later in March of 2006, the e-mails that 25 I had you review, the amended claim form that was Page 103 1 submitted by Miss Skubic, and I should just give you one 2 more document. I should mark it for the record. 3 This will be 21. It's a one-page letter, 4 Brayton Purcell letterhead and it's addressed to Debra 5 Baker Jones, the customer administrator for the Johns 6 Manville Trust and it's from Miss Skubic and it's 7 regarding Harry Kananian, the amended Manville claim 8 form. It's a one page document. 9 (Exhibit 21 was marked.) 10 BY MR. ANDREAS: 11 Q I'll hand you this and I have a copy for 12 counsel as well. Take a second to just review that. 13 A Okay. 14 Q The letter says, "Dear Miss Jones: We 15 identified a clerical error in the claim form when 16 reviewing the claim in response to a subpoena. The 17 amended claim form submitted on March 22, 2006 was 18 submitted so the trust has the correct data with regard 19 to this claimant. Please advise if this in any way 20 affects the prior disposition of this claim. Very truly 21 yours, Christina Skubic." Did I read that correctly? 22 A You did. 23 MR. NORTHUP: Chris, you were asking a 24 hypothetical before. Have you given up that question? 25 MR. ANDREAS: No, I'm inserting this into the

26 (Pages 101 to 104)

```
1
      question.
 2
           MR. NORTHUP: Then I object to the form of your
 3
      question.
 4
           MR. ANDREAS: Then I will reframe it. I'll
 5
      strike the original question and I'll reframe it now
 6
      that I have this in here, okay?
 7
           MR. NORTHUP: I'm sorry, court reporter, that
 8
      was Jarrett Northup for plaintiff.
 9
      BY MR. ANDREAS:
10
        Q So let me ask you, with respect to 21, you've
11
      never seen this, have you?
12
        A I have not.
13
        Q But you do see that it refers to the amended
14
      claim form that we previously discussed from Miss Skubic
15
      being sent in on March 22nd of 2006?
16
           MR. KLOMP: Objection, calls for speculation.
17
           THE WITNESS: It appears to refer to
18
      Exhibit 17.
19
      BY MR. ANDREAS:
20
        Q Now, getting back to my hypothetical, okay?
21
           MR. KLOMP: Before you get into this long,
22
      already longer hypothetical, if you're going to ask her
23
      questions that would be best answered by an expert
24
      witness, we're going to object and not answer.
25
           MR. ANDREAS: I'm not.
                                                  Page 105
 1
           MR. KLOMP: All right, go ahead.
 2
      BY MR. ANDREAS:
        Q I want you to assume that the original Johns
 3
 4
      Manville claim form that was submitted on behalf of the
```

BY MR. ANDREAS: Q And I want you to assume further that the very same information, faulty information was used with respect to the default judgment that was obtained, the brief and the default judgment that was obtained for the Kananian family with respect to the Western MacArthur Trust that was ultimately accepted and paid by the Western MacArthur Trust. In March of 2006, this error was uncovered, six years after it was first -- and we already established the Western default came out in 2002 I believe it was, so four years after the Western default was taken and six years after the original Johns Manville claim form was submitted, the Brayton Purcell office identified this error and the error was that Mr. Kananian was an infantryman, and again, you're more than welcome to look through all the supporting documentation all of the supporting documentation including the narrative --MR. NORTHUP: Chris, you're framing this as a hypothetical and now you're stating it as fact. MR. ANDREAS: Make an objection, Jarrett. What is your objection? MR. NORTHUP: I object to you framing a hypothetical as fact now. Page 107 MR. ANDREAS: I'm asking her to assume that all

Kananian family in 2000 was based on a clerical error in which the clerk in the department at Brayton Purcell mistakenly listed Mr. Kananian as being a laborer in a shipyard, namely the Hunters Point Naval Shipyard, during 1945 for several months, okay? That's the first part of that. I want you to assume that that's the case, and again, if you'd like to review the documents you're more than welcome to do that.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

MR. KLOMP: And let the record reflect you're apparently referring to documents 16 and 17 --

MR. ANDREAS: I'm referring to Exhibit 16 which I presented to the witness, and if you'd like to just verify it, I'm just giving you a hypothetical.

MR. KLOMP: -- which are lengthy documents in which no person would have sufficient time to review in detail under these time restraints.

MR. ANDREAS: Counsel, if she'd like to see the --

23 MR. KLOMP: Just go ahead and ask your 24 question.

Page 106

of this is correct. I said that at the beginning of the question.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

BY MR. ANDREAS: Q So I want you to assume that in March of 2006, it was identified that Mr. Kananian -- the errors in both the default judgment and papers that were taken, the default brief for Western MacArthur, as well as the original error in the Johns Manville claim form, again, they are related, I'll represent that to you in this hypothetical, that they were based on Mr. Kananian mistakenly being listed as a laborer in a shipyard for three months in 1945 when in fact he was an infantryman in the U.S. Army who went to Fort Ord. He lived in Ohio his whole life, he came to Fort Ord during World War II as an infantryman, did his basic training there, and then went on a bus with the rest of the troops up to San Francisco to catch a troop ship that happened to be at Hunters Point Naval Shipyard and they were shipped over to the Philippines, and you don't need to know the rest

of his history, but that's the only time Mr. Kananian was ever in Northern California, Nevada, the region that I've represented to you that was represented by Western

24 Asbestos as far as sales of Johns Manville products and

25 being the exclusive distributor.

1 Now, bearing that in mind, that he was there 1 there are so many variables that you've given me. I 2 2 for one day and boarded the ship and was not in this don't know. Maybe there's evidence somewhere that that shipyard as perhaps we talked earlier would be a land 3 3 was a ship that someone would give to me. Sometimes I 4 based site. Hunters Point is a land based site for the 4 get claims that are for ships that have been taken off 5 trust, isn't it? 5 the list. 6 6 A Correct. I can't tell you whether that claim would be 7 7 Q If he was never at that shipyard for more approved by Western. I can tell you that we do not look 8 than -- he was there one day catching a ship, did no 8 into the background of default judgments because they're 9 9 work, he was an infantryman getting on the troop approved by the bankruptcy court. 10 Q And I'm not faulting the trust at all. 10 transport ship, never in Minnesota or North Dakota, 11 11 A No, I'm not feeling faulted. I'm telling you, never at any of the sites that are on any of the exhibit 12 I can't answer that hypothetical. It's too 12 lists that we've talked about already, all the trust 13 13 hypothetical. lists for shipyards and land based places and ships and 14 so forth, that the Pope, which was the troop transport 14 Q Let me just do it this way: Would you agree if 15 15 ship he shipped over on, is not on the approved ship we all line up all the documentation that's presented 16 16 list for Western. here and it turns out that Mr. Kananian was never at a 17 17 shipyard, land based site, or on any ship that's on any I want you to assume all that is correct, and 18 list that's existed since this trust was first started 18 again, I have all this documentation, you're more than 19 welcome to look at this. If this claim was -- if this 19 in 2004 to the present, would you agree that --20 was submitted as a claim today based on all of what I 20 MR. NORTHUP: Please note plaintiff's objection 21 21 have told you, assuming that to be correct, it wouldn't to the question. 22 22 meet the exposure criteria, would it? MR. ANDREAS: Excuse me, I haven't finished my 23 23 MR. NORTHUP: Objection, leading. She's not an question. 24 adverse witness. 24 MR. NORTHUP: Improper form, leading. 25 25 THE WITNESS: I don't --Page 109 Page 111 1 MR. KLOMP: I'll also join. It calls for BY MR. ANDREAS: 1 2 speculation, it's an incomplete hypothetical, it's based 2 Q Would you agree with me, assuming that to be 3 on representations from counsel which we have no idea of 3 correct, never on any ship, land based site or otherwise 4 knowing whether they're fact based. 4 that the claim would not be a valid claim? 5 5 MR. ANDREAS: Sure, it's a hypothetical, I MR. KLOMP: Same objection. 6 6 understand. MR. NORTHUP: Plaintiffs objection, asked and 7 7 MR. KLOMP: Also may call for inappropriate answered. 8 8 expert testimony. BY MR. ANDREAS: 9 9 BY MR. ANDREAS: Q If it was submitted today, much less six years 10 Q If in fact he's not -- Mr. Kananian was never 10 ago. on a ship --11 11 A I can't answer. I don't process the claims. I 12 MR. KLOMP: You don't have to repeat your 12 run the trust. 13 question. I just objected to it. 13 Q Does the trust pay if there's no evidence of 14 MR. ANDREAS: I understand, but I'm going to do 14 exposure? 15 15 A No, it does not. it again. 16 BY MR. ANDREAS: 16 Q I'm asking you to assume there's no evidence of 17 17 Q I want you to assume that Mr. Kananian -- the exposure attributable to any of the three entities. 18 proof will be that Mr. Kananian was never at a site, 18 A And I'm answering that the trust does not pay 19 ship or otherwise connected to any of the three entities 19 on claims where there's no evidence of exposure. 20 20 for Western. Q That's all I wanted to establish, thank you. 21 21 If that's the case, putting aside the fact he Now, in this situation assuming that that is in fact the 22 had mesothelioma, there's no dispute he died of 22 case, that after the error was identified with the 23 mesothelioma, that claim would not be accepted and paid, 23 Manville thing, same information, same basis factually 24 would it? 24 with exposure to Manville as it was to Western, your 25 A I cannot tell you the answer to that because 25 file -- you produced a file here today, there was never

a letter of the sort on Exhibit 17 which was the letter advising the trust that there's an amended claim form, or Exhibit 21 which is the letter -- the two letters from Miss Skubic enclosing the amended claim form in number 17 and in 21 which is the letter further explaining what that is.

MR KLOMP: What was the question?

MR. KLOMP: What was the question? BY MR. ANDREAS:

Q The question is Western Asbestos Trust never received any such notification; is that right?

MR. KLOMP: Objection, asked and answered. THE WITNESS: The answer is no, I've never seen them, we never received anything.

BY MR. ANDREAS:

Q Anything directed to the trust advising them of the same sort of error, right?

A That would be correct.

Q Now, because this was a default judgment, we talked about this at the front end, it's not a claim where the trust had an opportunity to evaluate, for instance, whether Mr. Kananian was indeed on a ship or at a shipyard or land based site, and it was obtained by default judgment, is the trust -- what does the trust do in that situation? What I'm telling you today is proven to be true, can the trust get that money back?

Page 113

again, I'm not suggesting this was a fraud by anybody, that it was an inadvertent clerical error.

A I don't know. I don't know what the requirements are, the legal rights of the parties are.

Q All right. But assuming that you're now being so advised, and again, I'm prepared to talk to your attorney off the record about this further, this would be something that the board would have to decide, right?

A They're not a board, they're the trustees.

Q The trustees would have to go through things with you and decide whether there was anything --

A We'd probably seek counsel, yeah.

Q And given that this claim, the Kananian claim that we've already established continues to receive disbursements and interest payments, we talked about the three I think that have already occurred and there's a four percent interest payment or --

A The payment percentage has been increased by four percent.

Q And that hasn't yet been paid out to the Kananians or anybody else entitled to it, right?

A That's correct.

Q I take it if the same scenario was presented to the trustees, they would also look into what their legal rights were to discontinue payments?

Page 115

1 MR. KLOMP: Objection, incomplete hypothetical.
2 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I'd have to look
3 at the trust documents. I'd have to go through, I've
4 never had this situation arise, so I can't tell you.
5 BY MR. ANDREAS:

Q Well, in keeping with the trust goal which is to compensate worthy claimants, people that deserve compensation because they were exposed to asbestos attributable to one of these three entities, and keeping with protecting the interest of future claimants and all the things we talked about, sitting here today as the executive director of the trust, would you want, first of all, for the claimant who incorrectly or the default judgment debtor who collected to offer to return that money?

MR. NORTHUP: Objection, speculation.

MR. KLOMP: Also join, incomplete hypothetical.

THE WITNESS: If I were presented with evidence of fraud or mistake, I would take that to my trustees and we would look at the documents and determine whether or not -- determine what we would do about it. They would make the determination.

23 BY MR. ANDREAS:

Q As you sit here today, you don't know what the legal rights to recover any monies paid incorrectly, and

Page 114

A I don't know what they would do.

MR. KLOMP: And objection, calls for speculation as to what a third party would do.

THE WITNESS: I don't know what they would do. Default judgments are treated completely differently than what -- if you were sitting here asking me about a claim that had been filed with the trust, I could give you different answers, but I have no idea when a default judgment is entered what the rights of the parties are post default judgment.

BY MR. ANDREAS:

Q Sure, and the primary difference, just on the basis of what they are, the default judgment you didn't have an opportunity -- the trust did not have an opportunity to evaluate the criteria, right?

A That would be correct.

Q And in a claim situation, you are entitled to go through everything and ask questions and potentially if errors are made, you then have the right saying we evaluated this based on the error, and therefore something might be able to be worked out; we don't know what the trust documents say specifically on that?

A I don't know the answer to that question. Each situation presented would be handled as it came in the door. Even though I am a licensed attorney, I have no

```
1
      idea what the rights of the parties are in these default
 2
                                                                 2
      situations.
                                                                 3
 3
        Q And neither do I, frankly, but I just thought
 4
      I'd pose it to you in presenting this to the trust today
                                                                 4
 5
      and I'm happy to provide any other additional
                                                                 5
                                                                 6
 6
      documentation in regard to this issue.
 7
                                                                 7
           All right. I'm trying to think if there's any
 8
      other questions I have at this point. I think we've
                                                                 8
                                                                 9
 9
      gotten everything from the file and just so we're very
                                                               10
10
      clear, the Kananians are going to be receiving an
11
      additional four percent interest payment, whatever that
                                                               11
                                                               12
12
      come out to, and additional interest payments going into
13
      the future and we don't know how long that's going to
                                                               13
14
                                                               14
      be, right?
15
        A I have no idea.
                                                               15
                                                               16
16
        Q So right now they're at 44 percent or
17
                                                               17
      48 percent?
        A Forty-four percent. All claims are going to be
                                                               18
18
19
      paid at 44 percent of their total liquidated value.
                                                               19
20
        Q So when they get this additional four percent
                                                               20
21
      interest payment, they will be at the 44 percent mark on
                                                                21
                                                               22
22
      their default judgment claim?
                                                               23
23
        A That's correct.
24
        Q All right. And the trust was going to do
                                                               24
25
                                                               25
      everything it can with the litigation and all the things
                                                 Page 117
      we talked about to try to get them to 100 percent in the
                                                                 1
 1
                                                                 2
 2
      future. There's going to be additional payments, right?
 3
        A Yes, that's right. The likelihood that we'll
                                                                 3
                                                                 4
 4
      ever get to 100 percent is slim and none in my
                                                                 5
 5
      estimation as the executive director of the trust.
                                                                 6
 б
        Q We can only hope, right?
                                                                 7
 7
        A But my goal is to pay the claimants what
                                                                 8
 8
      they're owed.
                                                                 9
 9
        Q And one of the things that would help is that
10
      if people aren't collecting money off the trust that
                                                               10
11
                                                               11
      they don't deserve, right?
12
           MR. NORTHUP: Objection, Chris. Asked and
                                                               12
13
      answered.
                                                               13
14
           MR. KLOMP: Join.
                                                               14
      BY MR. ANDREAS:
                                                               15
15
16
        Q Go ahead.
                                                               16
17
                                                               17
        A One of our goals is to make sure that the
18
      proper people get paid the proper amount of money.
                                                               18
19
        Q Preserve the trust assets for those that
                                                               19
20
                                                               20
      deserve it, right?
21
        A I don't know that I'd use the preserve. To pay
                                                               21
22
                                                               22
      the right people the right amount of money.
23
        Q I don't think I have any further questions.
                                                               23
24
      Does anybody on the phone have any questions?
                                                               24
           MR. NORTHUP: Andrew, do you have anything?
```

March 22, 2010 MR. HOLFORD: Yeah, I have like two or three questions. Do you want me to go first? MR. NORTHUP: Yeah, go ahead. **EXAMINATION** BY MR. HOLFORD: Q Is there another percentage between 31.5, 33.3 over that time period to pay out? MR. ANDREAS: I hate to do this to you, Andy, but you didn't identify yourself before you asked the question, so we're going to ask you to identify yourself formally and then ask the question. BY MR. HOLFORD: Q This is Andy Holford, attorney for Christopher Andreas, and the question, Miss Brown, is simply, can you confirm that there were three different percentages? A There was 31 -- well, the original documents contained like 11 percent, I believe. I could be off by a half. The trust was formed at 31.5 percent, it was raised I believe to 34 percent, and then to 40 percent, and then in February of this year, it was raised to 44 percent. Q And I know that you have essentially said that you'd like to get the right people paid the right amount of money. Do you have an opinion as an executive director what you think the trust might get to over Page 119 time, whether it might be 70 percent or 80 percent? A I have absolutely no idea. This is done by people much smarter than me, economists and --MR. ANDREAS: Smarter in a certain area. THE WITNESS: What I do is every two years as required by the documents, or upon request of the TAC and the futures, the Trust Advisory Committee and the futures representative, I will have an economist and an analyst, we'll hire someone to look at the documents, look at the forecasts, look at the investments, look at the claims paid and tell us if the payment percentage is correct. BY MR. HOLFORD: Q Do you usually use the same economist or analyst? A I do. Q Could you give me that person's name? A Dr. Thomas Vasquez. Q Where is he located, is he a professor? A No. He's with a company called ARPC. He's in New York. Q Where is he based out of? A They're in Washington, D.C. He's in New York.

The TAC and the futures each have used other

representatives on occasion. The Trust Advisory

25

Page 118

25

Committee has used someone named Mark Peterson, Dr. Mark 1 been handed regarding payments in the Kananian's default 2 Peterson, and the futures representative has used 2 judgment, have those payments been directed to the 3 someone named Dr. Francine Rabinowitz. 3 Kananian's attorneys at Brayton Purcell? 4 Q Francine Rabinowitz, and do you know where 4 A Yes. 5 she's out of? 5 Q Have you ever issued a payment directly to any A I don't. I think California. 6 of the Kananians, I'll call them claimants for lack of a 6 7 7 Q Do you know where Mr. Peterson is based out of? better word, the heirs to the Kananian estate? 8 A I think he's California, but I can't tell you 8 A Not to my knowledge. 9 9 for sure. Q My question was, have any payments from the 10 Q Okay. That's the only question I had. 10 trust gone directly to the Kananians versus going to 11 **EXAMINATION** 11 their attorneys at Brayton Purcell? 12 BY MR. NORTHUP: 12 A Not to my knowledge. 13 Q Miss Brown, my name is Jarrett Northup. I 13 Q Do you mean all the payments have gone to their 14 represent the plaintiff in this matter. I have a few 14 attorneys at Brayton Purcell? questions for you. I'll try to make them very brief. 15 15 A Yes, I believe that's the case, but you know A Okay. 16 16 what, I actually have to go back through and look at the 17 Q First question I had for you goes back 17 documents and see the bank wiring instructions. Did you 18 basically to the claims forms -- what was the matrix 18 get copies of our documents? 19 called again? I forget, there was a matrix that you 19 Q I did. 20 used. 20 A And did it show any wires directly to your 21 A We have -- it's got a longer name, but we have 21 clients? I don't think so. 22 2.2 the trust distribution procedures which is the general Q I don't want to put words in your mouth, so 23 procedures, and then we have the matrix which is how you 23 I'll give you a moment to look at the wiring. I believe 24 do the multiplication on the various factors that are 24 they're in the earlier documents that Mr. Andreas handed 25 submitted to the trust. 25 to you. Page 121 Page 123 1 Q I just was able to pull it up on my screen 1 MR. HOLFORD: It's Exhibit 12. This is Andrew 2 here. Is this a case valuation matrix? 2 Holford. 3 A That would be correct. 3 THE WITNESS: The wiring instructions in 4 Q Question for you. Offhand, I know you don't 4 Exhibit 12 show a wire to the Brayton Purcell firm. 5 5 have it in front of you unless Chris has provided it as BY MR. NORTHUP: 6 6 an exhibit, do you know whether -- if a claimant has Q Miss Brown, once the money reaches the Brayton 7 7 pathology evidence of exposure to one of the trust Purcell firm, do you have any firsthand knowledge as to 8 8 company's products, does that increase the value of how the money is paid in regards to attorneys fees, 9 9 expenses, and the injured party or heirs? their claim? 10 A I'm sorry --10 A Periodically, at least on one occasion we have 11 11 sampled -- done an audit and sampled -- the answer to MR. ANDREAS: I'm going to object, that 12 question is vague and unintelligible. 12 your question is no. 13 BY MR. NORTHUP: 13 MR. ANDREAS: Specifically Brayton Purcell. 14 Q I'll rephrase it then. If a claimant has 14 THE WITNESS: Once the money goes to the firm, evidence, medical evidence, pathology, basically lung 15 15 it's within the firm's purview to distribute -- to 16 tissue samples, does that increase the value of the 16 deduct their expenses and pay. 17 17 claim? The only thing we do periodically, we're not 18 A Depending on the disease, it can. Pathology 18 required to do it, is we check to make sure that they 19 19 can take you to a multiplier of two, but I can't don't take more than 25 percent. We do a sampling of 20 remember which diseases it affects. I don't know that 20 claims periodically to make sure that the 25 percent is 21 it increases a meso. I think we'd have to be looking at 21 the maximum the attorney is allowed to keep for fees. 22 one of the asbestos claims, but I'm guessing here. I'd 22 BY MR. NORTHUP: 23 have to look at the multipliers themselves. 23 Q That's a cap on maximum attorneys fees? 24 Q Without having that in front of you, let me 24 A Correct. 25 just move on here. With the trust documents that you've 25 MR. ANDREAS: And that's outside of expenses.

```
1
      BY MR. NORTHUP:
                                                                   1
                                                                        was involved. I can tell you that to my knowledge, no
 2
        Q Do you happen to know whether the Kananian
                                                                   2
                                                                        one has had any of this information provided to them,
 3
      default judgment was ever subject to any of these
                                                                   3
                                                                        but you'd have to ask them.
 4
      reviews, or shall I say, samplings?
                                                                   4
                                                                        BY MR. NORTHUP:
 5
        A I don't know because they're fairly random, but
                                                                   5
                                                                          Q What's the role of the Trust Advisory Committee
                                                                   6
 6
      I can find that out.
                                                                        in regard to your claims or payments made by the Western
                                                                   7
 7
        Q Prior to today, have you received any
                                                                        Asbestos Settlement Trust? What is their role in these
 8
      communication or correspondence from Christopher Andreas
                                                                   8
                                                                        payments?
 9
                                                                   9
      in connection with his representation of the Kananians
                                                                             MR. KLOMP: Objection, asked and answered.
                                                                  10
      that the default judgment against Western MacArthur was
                                                                             THE WITNESS: Their only role is to show up at
10
11
      in any way deficient or defective?
                                                                  11
                                                                        the meetings. They assist periodically if we're looking
12
                                                                  12
                                                                        at different sites and helping us with asbestos history.
        A No, sir.
13
        Q I'm sorry, did I cut out again?
                                                                  13
                                                                        They, combined with the futures representative, can ask
14
        A The answer was no.
                                                                  14
                                                                        us to analyze the claims payment percentage
                                                                  15
15
        Q The same question, at any time have you had any
                                                                        periodically.
      communication, conversation, correspondence with Al
                                                                  16
16
                                                                             They have to -- in the trust documents, there's
17
      Brayton which was to the effect that the Western
                                                                  17
                                                                        many areas where they, plus the futures, must consent to
18
      MacArthur default judgment was defective in any manner?
                                                                  18
                                                                        certain things before we do them. In terms of the
19
        A No, sir.
                                                                  19
                                                                        payments of the claims themselves, they have no role.
20
        Q And you see Mr. Brayton on a fairly regular
                                                                  20
                                                                        BY MR. NORTHUP:
21
                                                                  21
      basis; is that correct?
                                                                          Q Do you know whether any members of the Trust
22
        A Usually once a quarter when we have meetings.
                                                                  22
                                                                        Advisory Committee besides Mr. Brayton represent any
                                                                  23
23
        Q And excuse me if you've answered this one
                                                                        claimants or plaintiffs who have a claim or default
                                                                  24
24
      before, it was at the beginning of the deposition. Was
                                                                        judgment against the Western Asbestos Settlement Trust?
25
                                                                  25
      Mr. Brayton, did you say he was the head of the Trust
                                                                          A My understanding is that I'm going to say -- do
                                                   Page 125
                                                                                                                     Page 127
 1
      Advisory Committee?
                                                                   1
                                                                        you want to object that I'm about to speculate. I think
 2
                                                                   2
        A He's the chair, that's correct.
                                                                        all of them have filed claims. I don't know who has
 3
        Q Prior to today's deposition, did Mr. Brayton
                                                                   3
                                                                        default judgments against us, but looking at that list
 4
      contact you to discuss this deposition?
                                                                   4
                                                                        of names, I would say that every one of them has filed a
                                                                   5
 5
                                                                        claim on behalf of someone with the trust.
        Q I've got a couple of questions for you in
                                                                   6
 6
                                                                             MR. ANDREAS: Jarrett, so the record is clear,
 7
      regards to the exhibits that Mr. Andreas put forth, and
                                                                   7
                                                                        if you're referring to Jack Clapper's office and the
 8
                                                                   8
      I'm sorry if I'm off on my numbering. I believe they
                                                                        McClain office, I think that's what you're referring to,
 9
                                                                   9
      were e-mails and correspondence, approximately Exhibit
                                                                        and was there another one?
10
      17 through 19, in that range. Do you recall those
                                                                  10
                                                                             MR. NORTHUP: Chris, thanks, but I don't want
11
      e-mails and correspondence that I'm speaking of?
                                                                  11
                                                                        you to go on the record there. I'm just asking Miss
12
                                                                  12
                                                                        Brown what her knowledge is.
        A Yes. I do.
           MR. ANDREAS: For the record, they're 18 and
                                                                             THE WITNESS: My knowledge is that all of the
13
                                                                  13
14
      19.
                                                                  14
                                                                        members of the TAC have some type of claim, whether it's
15
      BY MR. NORTHUP:
                                                                  15
                                                                        a current claim or a default judgment or matrix,
16
        Q Miss Brown, were you personally involved with
                                                                  16
                                                                        prebankruptcy claim filed with the trust.
17
      any of those e-mails or letter communications?
                                                                  17
                                                                             MR. NORTHUP: Thank you very much for your
                                                                  18
18
                                                                        time. I don't have any further questions for you.
19
         Q Was anyone from the Western Asbestos Settlement
                                                                  19
                                                                             MR. ANDREAS: Anyone else? David?
20
      Trust involved in any of the communications which you
                                                                  20
                                                                             MR. ROSS: No.
                                                                  21
21
      reviewed?
                                                                             MR. ANDREAS: I just have a couple quick
22
        A I can't --
                                                                  22
                                                                        follow-ups on Mr. Northup's questions.
23
           MR. KLOMP: Just objection, calls for
                                                                  23
                                                                                   FURTHER EXAMINATION
24
      speculation.
                                                                  24
                                                                        BY MR. ANDREAS:
25
           THE WITNESS: I can't represent whether anyone
                                                                  25
                                                                          Q First of all, in any of the documentation that
                                                   Page 126
                                                                                                                     Page 128
```

1 you've seen in the file maintained on the Kananian case 1 \$250 is paid. If the claim is approved, this is for a 2 by Western or any of the documents you've been presented 2 regular claim, the money goes back to the firm. As 3 today, other than the e-mails that were just referenced 3 to -- once we pay the money, wire it, send the check to 4 4 to you, have you ever seen or heard my name before? the firm, except for occasionally we do audits looking 5 A Never. 5 for that 25 percent cap, other than that, at the point 6 that we send the money to the attorneys trust account, 6 Q Anyone you've dealt with at Brayton Purcell on 7 7 behalf of the Kananian family, and when I say you, I'm we are done. 8 saying figuratively the trust, have been people like Al 8 Q So any arrangements they might have via a 9 9 Brayton and Christina Skubic and other people within retainer agreement with their clients as to how expenses 10 10 that law firm that deal with that; is that right? and other things, case costs in their asbestos related 11 MR. KLOMP: Objection, vague. 11 lawsuit or whatever, that's not something that you'd be 12 12 THE WITNESS: I can't tell you. It wouldn't privy to? 13 have been me dealing with them on the claims. 13 A That's exactly right. 14 BY MR. ANDREAS: 14 Q And the Western Trust would have no right to 15 Q Sure, all right. I guess the documents will 15 interfere with that contract, would they? 16 speak for themselves as to where my name appears, if at 16 A Unless it was more than 25 percent of fees. 17 17 Q And I want to be clear on this. Because this 18 You were also asked whether or not as far as 18 was a default judgment situation versus a claim, is it 19 the payment of the monies, that goes through -- if a 19 the same across the board, it's always 25 percent? 20 claimant is represented by counsel in a claim, by virtue 20 A Well, the documents state that the attorney 21 of the representation, the payment must be made in that 21 cannot recover more than 25 percent. 22 situation to the claimant's counsel, isn't that right? 22 Q And I'm just trying to be clear on this. Is 23 23 A That's correct. that only as to claims that are filed formally as 24 Q And that's exactly what you do for all of the 24 claims, or does it apply to these prebankruptcy default 25 claimants law firms? 25 judgment situations? Page 129 Page 131 1 A If they're represented, the money is paid to I believe it's across the board. I don't know. 1 2 the firm. 2 Would that be on the website somewhere? 3 Q And it's paid in trust basically for the 3 Α It would probably be in the trust documents, 4 claimant, right? 4 yes. 5 A Correct. 5 Q Which are all posted? 6 6 Q And then whatever happens with the money after Α Correct. 7 that, you said you're aware obviously that there's a 7 Q Okay. I don't think I have any further 8 fee. It was up to 25 percent that the attorneys are 8 questions. 9 9 permitted to take under the trust distribution (The proceedings concluded at 3:35 p.m.) 10 procedure? 10 11 A Under the trust document itself. 11 12 Q And that was -- you also made a point of saying 12 13 less whatever expenses they might have? 13 14 MR. KLOMP: Objection, misstates prior 14 15 15 Sara Beth Brown 16 THE WITNESS: The expenses have to be deducted 16 17 prior to the time that the 25 percent is calculated 17 18 under our documents. 18 19 BY MR. ANDREAS: 19 20 Q All right. As far as whatever --20 21 21 A I don't know what the expenses are. 22 Q Are you talking about case cost expenses like 22 23 in the representation or with respect to filing the 23 24 claim itself? 24 25 A I'm talking -- filing the claim itself, the 25 Page 130 Page 132

1	
	STATE OF NEVADA)
2	COUNTY OF WASHOE) ss.
3	
4	I, DIANNE M. BRUMLEY, a Certified Court Reporter
5	and Notary Public for the County of Washoe, State of
6	Nevada, do hereby certify that on, the
7	day of, 2010, I reported the
8	deposition of in the matter entitled
9	herein; that said witness was duly sworn by me; that
10	before the proceedings' completion, the reading and
11	signing of the deposition (has/has not) been requested
12	by the deponent or party;
13	That the foregoing transcript is a true and
14	correct transcript of the stenographic notes of
15	testimony taken by me in the above-captioned matter to
16	the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
17	I further certify that I am not an attorney or
18	counsel for any of the parties, nor a relative or
19	employee of any attorney or counsel connected with the
20	action, nor financially interested in the action.
21	
22	BIANNE M. BRUNNEN, MENABA COR (1995
23	DIANNE M. BRUMLEY, NEVADA CCR #205
24	CALIFORNIA CSR #6796
25	BONANZA REPORTING - RENO
	Page 133

Α	adding 71:15	affixed 92:23	103:25 104:7	71:14 76:6
ability 133:16	addition 87:17	afternoon 5:9	104:17 105:13	82:9,24 85:5
able 116:21	additional 6:5	aggregate	113:2,4	85:13,23 86:6
122:1	6:20 9:22 54:8	48:18 49:25	amount 49:21	86:19 88:8,19
aboard 96:22	63:22 72:20	aggregated	53:14 54:12	88:24 89:11
abovecaptio	75:6 76:18,18	82:19	61:24 62:14	89:23 90:3,5
133:15	77:16 117:5	ago 15:1 22:4	64:9 66:12,14	91:15 93:4,10
abovereferen	117:11,12,20	61:13 68:13	66:15 69:18	94:1,4,9 95:7
92:16	118:2	112:10	70:7 72:1,2,6	96:17 97:12
abrams 15:7	address 24:9	agree 24:9	72:20 73:14	97:17,20 98:1
absence 39:14	35:8,8	30:17 85:19	73:14 75:2	99:14,18
absolutely	addressed 53:9	111:14,19	78:22 82:21	101:7 102:2
120:2	59:10,25	112:2	103:18 118:18	103:11 104:10
accept 56:23	92:10 95:9	agreement	118:22 119:23	104:25 105:4
accepted 80:22	104:4	131:9	amounts 54:24	105:9,19,25
107:7 110:23	adequate 87:16	agreements	55:3,6,10	106:2,15,21
account 53:11	adequately	88:2	57:13,18 58:4	107:1,22
64:23 131:6	50:3	ahead 6:12 28:8	78:13,19	108:1,4 110:5
accountants	administer 13:5	106:1,23	81:20 82:18	110:9,14,16
76:17	administered	118:16 119:3	87:1	111:22 112:1
accounted	17:1	ahrens 15:15	analyst 73:9	112:8 113:8
70:14 71:13	administrative	19:16	120:9,15	113:14 114:5
accurate 58:8	90:25	aids 21:21	analyze 75:20	114:23 116:11
acknowledged	administrator	al 1:9 14:15,18	127:14	118:15 119:8
48:12 86:23	104:5	15:8 77:6 98:6	andreas 2:14	119:14 120:4
87:17	admitted 20:4	125:16 129:8	3:4,6 5:8,21	122:11 123:24
acknowledges	admonitions	alan 37:10	7:1,12,19,21	124:13,25
47:14	11:3	63:14 90:17	8:2,8,21 9:21	125:8 126:7
acronym 15:4	adult 39:22	100:16	10:14,18,19	126:13 128:6
83:14 96:2	82:12	alive 31:21 33:5	12:14,19	128:19,21,24
98:24	advance 100:10	52:13	13:23 19:2	129:14 130:19
action 133:20	advantages	alleged 79:5	26:19,24 28:6	andrew 2:15
133:20	18:5	allegedly 52:13	28:7 29:14,23	7:20 56:8
activities	adverse 109:24	alleging 27:13	30:4,8 32:2	58:12,17 71:4
102:11 103:6	advise 104:19	31:7 55:21	34:9,12 35:4	86:17 92:25
activity 75:17	advised 115:6	56:6	36:1,6,8 43:2	118:25 124:1
actual 24:14	advising 113:2	allowed 124:21	43:14 45:7,14	andy 119:8,13
30:22 41:4	113:15	allows 29:21	45:21 52:1,5,9	anelli 2:14 7:24
42:25 56:24	advisory 14:5	alterations 46:4	55:4,12,19	ann 56:19 57:8
68:5 79:18	14:16,18 15:5	altered 46:1	57:1 58:5,17	58:14 61:19
ad 20:18	20:23 120:7	amended 92:8	58:21 59:22	61:19,24 62:7
	120:25 126:1	92:16,22	60:16,23 64:7	63:6 65:11,17
add 48:25 75:5		00 10 1- 00 -	0= 0 00 11	00 4 400 4
add 48:25 75:5 78:22	127:5,22	93:12,15 98:5	65:2 68:11	66:1 100:4

				133
answer 7:18	109:15 111:7	46:10 48:8	37:19 102:3	authenticating
8:16 13:14	111:9 131:1	50:10 52:14	109:21 112:2	83:10
51:16 56:14	approximate	53:7,12,24	112:21 115:5	authority 7:4,15
105:24 110:25	74:4	56:11 58:24	attached 54:23	8:14
111:12 112:11	approximately	64:9,23 69:22	58:25 95:23	authorization
113:12 116:23	71:12 74:2	73:24 75:18	attaches	53:13
124:11 125:14	80:6 126:9	78:16,16 84:2	100:19	authorize 55:7
answerable	april 18:19,20	84:5,15,19,24	attaching 93:12	available 9:11
17:18	19:4 20:8	87:5,13,19,25	attachments	45:8 76:18
answered	64:11 66:21	88:5,13,15,20	91:4 93:17	avenue 2:8
103:8 105:23	80:7,8 90:21	89:2,21 90:13	attention 11:12	awarded 87:9
112:7 113:11	area 23:15	91:8,12 94:17	92:15,17	aware 25:11
118:13 125:23	30:12 120:4	94:22 97:19	95:16	84:8,9,10
127:9	areas 99:17	102:23 108:24	attorney 6:19	130:7
answering	127:17	113:9 114:8	7:9,17,20 8:15	awkwardly
83:17,18,21	arent 39:22	122:22 126:19	11:5 19:22	49:15
112:18	49:19 118:10	127:7,12,24	20:1 36:17,22	
answers 116:8	argue 57:25	131:10	36:25 37:19	B
anticipation	69:13 89:25	aside 110:21	55:20 56:19	back 11:19
52:3	argument 89:12	asked 32:16	56:22 57:9	23:14 30:2,11
anybody 21:2	army 108:14	44:2 94:10	61:21,23	40:18 46:17
115:1,21	arpc 120:20	97:13 103:8	62:20 63:3,6,9	46:24 61:15
118:24	arrangements	112:6 113:11	63:19 65:19	64:2 72:8,13
apologize 11:8	131:8	118:12 119:9	65:24 90:17	90:10 93:20
17:12 22:17	arrived 73:9	127:9 129:18	101:22 115:7	95:3 98:9
49:20 50:21	asap 97:1	asking 22:19	116:25 119:13	102:6 105:20
apologizes 8:7	asbestos 9:13	24:16 43:15	124:21 131:20	113:25 121:17
apparently	13:4,18 16:6	76:7 97:18	133:17,19	123:16 131:2
53:13 65:24	16:22 17:1,5,7	104:23 108:1	attorneys 2:7	background
106:14	19:3 20:6,17	112:16 116:6	2:11,15,19 4:1	36:7 111:8
appear 6:7 8:11	22:10,21,22	128:11	6:6 7:23,25	baker 104:5
8:16	23:16 24:4,4,5	assets 103:1	11:4 37:6,13	balance 71:6,6
appearance 9:1	25:4,5,19,25	118:19	54:21,22	bank 53:10,13
appears 35:11	26:4,5,10 27:5	assist 127:11	123:3,11,14	59:11 64:12
36:10 98:16	27:13,17	assistant 90:25	124:8,23	64:22 84:17
105:17 129:16	28:11,18	assume 37:18	130:8 131:6	123:17
apply 42:19	30:13 31:8,11	102:5 103:12	attributable	bankrupt 16:6
131:24	31:23 32:25	103:22 106:3	40:10 112:17	22:25 25:6
appreciate 30:1	33:7 34:1,3,9	106:10 107:2	114:9	27:7
44:21	35:6 36:9	108:1,5	audit 124:11	bankruptcy
appropriate	38:11 39:14	109:17 110:17	audits 131:4	17:12,17,18
48:16 83:9	40:9,10 41:23	112:16	authentic 83:7	21:8,10 23:2
approved 69:9	43:21,23 45:9	assuming	101:9	23:19 25:9,11

37:3,4,5,8,12	believe 8:14	64:22	5:14,19,20 6:5	call 31:7 48:10
67:17 69:9,10	10:20 11:16	brayton 1:9	9:6 10:21,23	57:8 59:7
71:2 84:9,24	12:4,13 15:21	2:10 10:7	11:15 12:20	83:13 84:14
92:19 94:17	24:8 28:20	14:15,18 15:8	18:16 26:16	84:19 100:22
95:10 103:16	35:8 56:21	15:8 23:25	28:8 29:11	110:7 123:6
111:9	59:1,16 60:8	37:10,11	52:10 58:18	called 16:4
based 23:25	65:14 80:7	54:22 57:5	59:14 60:1,7	20:14 23:12
30:6 50:17,18	85:12 87:3	61:25 62:12	119:14 121:13	23:15,18
73:25 88:17	107:12 119:17	63:15 64:20	124:6 126:16	26:14 32:24
101:15,21	119:19 123:15	64:23 66:11	128:12 132:15	33:16 45:14
103:5,13,15	123:23 126:8	77:6,6 82:15	brumley 1:23	57:14 73:4,19
106:5 108:11	132:1	90:17 91:1,2	133:4,23	90:22 91:5
109:4,4,13,20	believed 78:2	92:9,19 93:11	bruno 54:3,14	120:20 121:19
110:2,4	belong 46:2,4	94:12,14,14	54:25 57:7	calling 15:14
111:17 112:3	beneficiary	95:10 98:6	62:9,14,17	61:4 81:3
113:22 116:20	39:17 66:5	100:15,16,17	81:4,14,25	calls 43:13 76:4
120:22 121:7	best 25:14,17	104:4 106:6	100:6	96:11 101:25
basic 108:16	63:2 69:4	107:14 123:3	built 40:15	105:16 110:1
basically 21:2	79:25 105:23	123:11,14	44:25 45:15	116:2 126:23
26:3 34:24	133:16	124:4,6,13	46:19,25 47:6	cancer 42:8,11
38:16 56:6	beth 1:15 5:4	125:17,20,25	50:1,1	cangiano 53:10
57:12,15	5:13,19,20	126:3 127:22	burdensome	59:10 60:1
58:24 62:18	59:13 132:15	129:6,9	29:21,22	cant 19:9,12
63:1 72:21	better 18:15	break 51:22	burge 95:9,9	23:11,19
121:18 122:15	65:7 123:7	52:6 60:17	97:4,6 98:15	30:18,25
130:3	big 77:25 79:16	brecksville	bus 108:17	46:14 51:13
basis 14:17	billion 74:2,25	54:6	business 14:13	61:13 66:4
22:24 69:6	bit 8:4 22:17	brief 9:18 100:3	17:16 24:10	72:16 79:22
84:21 85:23	50:12 61:13	100:15 101:10	30:15	96:15 111:6
112:23 116:13	blacked 54:18	101:23 107:5	bylaws 33:14	111:12 112:11
125:21	55:5 64:21,24	108:8 121:15	С	114:4 121:8
bearing 109:1	blanket 85:20	briefly 9:9	calculated	122:19 126:22
began 20:8	blowing 52:4	16:18 30:9	130:17	126:25 129:12
beginning 17:8 21:13 66:19	board 115:8,9 131:19 132:1	51:1	california 1:23	cap 124:23 131:5
	boarded 96:24	bring 11:12 41:23	9:18 15:11	
72:15 83:24 108:2 125:24	109:2	brings 88:23	17:21,22,24	capacity 6:8 9:2 13:9 41:9 63:6
behalf 7:18	bonanza 1:24	brobeck 14:25	18:10 23:16	65:24 68:20
35:24 57:17	133:25	broke 15:1	28:20,25	83:17 101:22
86:25 90:9	bottom 35:11	broke 13.1 broken 14:9	64:22 70:18	capital 92:22
100:4,17	45:1,17 65:5,9	46:24	87:7,14	carcinogens
106:4 128:5	bought 17:24	brought 56:10	108:22 121:6	43:5
129:7	boulevard	brown 1:15 5:4	121:8 133:24	care 11:25
120.7	No dio tal a			0410 11.20
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l

				137
carriers 74:19	100:1 101:9	city 54:5	claimant 36:16	117:18 120:11
case 1:8 5:25	133:4	claim 17:25	36:21 38:10	121:18 122:22
6:11 7:2,7	certify 133:6,17	31:22 32:6,7,8	44:17 47:7	124:20 127:6
8:10,13 9:20	cetera 100:7	32:9,10,13,17	92:16 104:19	127:14,19
10:6 12:13	chair 126:2	33:8,20 34:1	114:13 122:6	128:2 129:13
31:15 37:15	changes 35:15	34:10,20,22	122:14 129:20	131:23,24
42:9,19 48:15	characterize	35:1,7,16,23	130:4	clapper 14:16
49:25 51:17	96:8	36:5,10,18,18	claimants 13:7	15:10,10
52:19 54:20	charge 13:15	39:20 40:19	20:23,24 21:3	clappers 128:7
55:16,23,24	charles 14:20	41:13 42:6,11	21:5 27:12	clara 90:24
55:25 56:5,6	check 62:1	42:15,17,18	31:7 52:12	clarify 11:13
56:22 57:4	124:18 131:3	44:1,11 48:4,8	64:17 72:5	29:5 71:5
66:10 67:12	checks 62:10	48:19,20 49:7	73:16 75:6,7	claw 72:13
67:22 68:24	81:5,20	62:1 66:20,21	75:10 75:0,7 75:21 76:9	claw 72.13
70:1,1 73:24	chest 38:25	66:23 67:1,8	80:20,22	11:10 78:4
77:11,14 88:1	chief 13:12	67:12 69:1	91:11 102:19	89:11 101:4
91:8,11 94:16	84:24	71:22 72:3,7	103:4,4 114:7	117:10 128:6
99:3,4,5,15	children 39:21	72:23 73:5,7	114:10 118:7	131:17,22
103:22 106:11	39:22 41:18	75:10 77:1	123:6 127:23	clearly 89:13
110:21 112:22	chris 7:10 9:16	85:9,17 86:5	129:22,25	clearly 69.13
122:2 123:15	10:11 28:2	86:25 88:14	claimed 42:5	106:5 115:2
129:1 130:22	34:7 35:21	90:9,12 92:4,9	claiming 27:14	clerk 100:1
131:10	51:24 55:3	92:13,16,20	38:12 52:15	101:9 106:6
cases 46:23,23	58:1 85:19	* *	55:22	clerks 37:13
catch 108:18	89:9 104:23	93:11,12,16 94:17 95:23	claims 16:24	100:11
	107:20 118:12	98:5 101:2	20:21,22 21:3	cleveland 2:8
catching 109:8 causal 43:8	122:5 128:10		20.21,22 21.3	client 53:10
caused 38:13	christina 63:14	103:13,14,25 104:7,15,16	29:2 31:4	58:4 95:12
48:8	92:18 98:6	104:7,13,16	32:17 33:2,4	99:13
causes 31:12	104:21 129:9	104.17,20	36:4 37:3,7,8	
ccr 1:23 133:23	christopher	105.14 106.4	42:23 43:1	clients 123:21 131:9
cds 10:5	2:14 119:13	107:14 108.9	44:4 52:12	close 76:12
cents 71:23	125:8	110:23 111:6	59:7,7 66:25	close 76.12
cerka 93:19,19	chronologic	112:4,4 113:2	67:16 69:14	closer 5.18 clothes 41:18
cerkas 93:20	22:24	· ·		41:24
certain 8:12	chronological	113:4,19 115:13,13	73:8,11,14,15 73:22 75:1,2	clue 69:20
120:4 127:18	59:23	116:7,17	75:14,20	collect 78:16
	chronologica	117:22 122:9	76:20 85:21	collected
certainly 6:21 8:10 9:13	23:9	122:17 127:23		114:14
77:11	church 92:12	128:5,14,15	86:9,25 90:22 90:23 92:11	collecting
certification	cigarette 43:3,4	128:16 129:20		118:10
63:20	cite 7:4,14	130:24,25	92:12,15 103:5 111:4	collective 93:4
certified 99:25	100:19			
Certified 99.20	100.19	131:1,2,18	112:11,19	collectively
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>

				100
53:6 81:7 82:5	company 16:6	98:12	context 66:24	27:21 31:13
column 54:1,13	16:8,9 22:15	concluded	continue 6:9	32:21 33:3,10
66:10,15	23:12,15 25:6	132:9	89:17 102:14	35:17 36:19
79:14,22	26:4,14 27:3,6	conducted	continues	36:20,24 37:1
columns 64:12	29:6 30:10,18	17:20,20	115:14	37:23,25 38:1
68:12 70:6	40:10,11 46:9	conference	continuing	38:4,5,7,20,23
79:21	61:17 68:22	84:22	76:15	39:1,9,12,16
com 45:11	69:23 74:23	confidential	contract 24:12	39:24 40:1,7
combination	75:19 82:13	53:15	46:10 50:9	40:12 41:25
30:18	84:1,16 87:5	confidentiality	51:11 87:12	42:1,2,10
combinations	88:13,15,25	54:23	131:15	43:19,22
48:23	89:3,22 100:4	confirm 56:9	contracted 31:8	44:15,19
combined	120:20	96:25 97:5	contracting	48:14 49:15
127:13	companys	119:15	24:13 75:17	49:24 50:11
come 19:15	122:8	confused 88:19	79:6 87:10	51:21 52:17
117:12	compare 93:14	confusion	contractors	53:17 54:4,11
comes 48:8	comparison	11:13	48:24	56:21 57:13
79:24	73:13	connected	conversation	60:10 61:5
comfortable	compensate	110:19 133:19	125:16	64:1,5,19
11:1 22:18	31:6 102:9	connection	copied 61:13	66:16 67:9
83:4	114:7	69:21 87:18	94:11	68:4,18 69:19
coming 24:19	compensated	125:9	copies 12:1	70:10,23
40:18 55:19	31:23	consent 127:17	55:8 61:2,14	71:11,11,17
74:15	compensation	consider 53:15	123:18	73:23 74:9,13
commercial	21:5 114:8	consistent 24:5	copy 36:11	74:23 75:8
40:24	competently	26:9 60:12	50:23 53:20	76:14,25 77:8
committee 14:5	83:22	65:25	58:13 67:24	77:13,18,22
14:18 15:5,6	completed 35:7	consists 90:11	68:2 98:10,22	78:14 79:7
120:7 121:1	completely	constructed	99:9 101:5	80:1,5,21,24
126:1 127:5	116:5	47:9	104:11	81:23 82:2
127:22	completion	construction	copyright 34:2	83:8,16,20
committees	133:10	46:21	corner 35:12	84:7 88:22
20:24	complex 34:21	contact 23:4	45:17 65:5,9	91:24 92:5
common 76:7	comprehensi	126:4	corporation	94:19 97:3,9
communicati	32:7	contain 63:10	18:9 90:23	97:14 98:18
125:8,16	comprehensi	contained	92:11	101:19 102:20
communicati	8:25	119:17	correct 7:21 8:9	102:24 104:18
126:17,20	comprise 62:25	containing	8:10 12:9	108:2 109:6
companies	computed 39:2	25:25 27:5	13:11 15:11	109:17,21
22:25 23:3,6	concept 50:4	contains 36:18	15:12 16:4	112:3 113:17
31:10 47:1,3	concern 25:6	63:19 64:16	17:3 19:5,6	115:22 116:16
74:7 75:17	66:22 68:25	92:19 93:13	20:15 21:6,17	117:23 120:12
95:2	concerned	content 91:21	22:1,7,23 25:3	122:3 124:24

125:21 126:2	34:18 63:22	damages 55:21	deals 39:13	101:18,23
129:23 130:5	99:20 126:6	56:7	dealt 9:25 87:19	107:4,5,11,12
132:6 133:14	128:21	data 104:18	129:6	108:7,8 111:8
corrected 93:2	course 15:22	date 12:9,12	dear 104:14	113:18,23
corrections 4:1	88:9	19:8 35:14	death 31:17	114:13 116:5
correctly 34:23	court 1:3 8:4,16	44:10,25	33:5 38:12,13	116:8,10,13
97:2,13	9:18,23 11:25	45:17 51:11	52:16 66:24	117:1,22
104:21	12:17 17:17	59:4,5 65:4	94:17	123:1 125:3
corresponde	30:3 50:23	66:7,12 67:11	debra 104:4	125:10,18
58:3 125:8,16	60:18 61:16	68:6,6,13	debtor 114:14	127:23 128:3
126:9,11	68:9 69:9	70:24,25 71:2	deceased 63:24	128:15 131:18
corresponds	75:24 82:12	77:2,2 82:16	decedent 37:24	131:24
54:14	86:16 90:7	90:10,19	decedents 79:4	defaults 68:21
cost 130:22	91:7 94:5	dated 53:8	december	defective
costs 29:22	100:1,12,23	58:13 60:6	57:14	125:11,18
30:3 131:10	101:11 105:7	61:21,22	decide 7:3	defendant 2:14
counsel 5:23	111:9 133:4	62:11 63:9,15	115:8,11	7:7 55:25 56:1
6:14,15,16	courtesy 8:18	63:18 64:11	decided 6:6	61:17 82:12
14:16,19,23	cover 34:3 41:3	82:16 92:10	declared 25:9	100:4
15:14,17	53:22 59:25	95:11 100:18	decrease 42:5	defendants
19:24 20:2,7,7	60:6 91:3 92:9	dates 11:20	42:16	1:10 2:10
29:14 30:7	92:14 93:11	51:7	deduct 124:16	defending
35:5 42:22	coverage 74:10	dave 2:12 5:16	deducted	74:21
43:11 45:7	74:17	60:11	130:16	deficient
54:19 56:7	criteria 67:4,5,5	david 14:15	default 9:19,19	125:11
58:2 76:3	67:6 90:15,15	15:7 59:23	9:20 57:15	definition 40:8
84:16,17	109:22 116:15	60:16 100:18	58:13 59:6	demonstrable
85:15 86:20	csr 1:23 133:24	128:19	61:17 62:2	48:8 103:5
90:7 97:17	ct 39:2	day 51:15 64:11	65:12 66:11	demonstrate
98:11,22,22	cumulative	109:2,8 133:7	66:12,15	38:10,13 40:9
99:9 101:12	47:23	daycare 52:3	67:11,11,16	47:7 48:17
104:12 106:21	current 20:24	days 47:20,22	67:21,22,24	64:3 88:3
110:3 115:12	32:10 72:21	48:1,17,19,24	68:1,6,13 69:1	102:10
129:20,22	128:15	48:25,25	69:6,6,12,17	demonstrates
133:18,19	curtis 91:1	49:12,13,25	70:6,11,19,22	46:7 48:11
count 35:9 45:1	custodian	70:13 71:12	71:5,8,9,21,25	demonstrating
49:13 63:7	83:11	71:15	72:7 77:7,12	49:21
counterparts	customer 104:5	daytoday 13:16	78:5,12,14,21	deny 97:5
84:20	cut 49:7 125:13	deal 14:17 90:14 94:20	78:25,25 80:11 82:21	department 37:4 90:23
country 75:25 84:20 87:12	D	129:10	88:11,23 93:8	95:10 103:16
county 133:2,5	dakota 23:14	dealing 31:16	99:3 100:3,22	106:6
couple 33:22	30:12 109:10	129:13	100:24 101:2	dependent
COuple 33.22	00.12 100.10	123.13	100.24 101.2	dependent
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

39:17,21,23	determine 39:7	101:16	diseases 27:14	100:12 104:2
73:2	39:20 40:3	dim 30:21	122:20	104:8 130:11
depending	41:13 44:10	direct 95:16	disposition	documentation
20:10 49:3,8	114:20,21	directed 24:10	104:20	57:9 59:19
49:21 75:1	determined	113:15 123:2	dispute 69:25	69:3 107:17
122:18	33:20 42:15	directly 123:5	110:22	107:18 109:18
depends 20:12	determines	123:10,20	distribute	111:15 117:6
40:14,19 42:4	49:22	director 13:3,10	124:15	128:25
50:14,15 51:4	determining	13:14 16:2	distribution	documents
deponent 2:18	44:5	17:7 20:3	32:23 33:16	5:24 6:4,5,21
35:12 60:19	developed	59:14 84:14	121:22 130:9	8:19 9:4,5,10
98:10 133:12	42:14 44:1	114:12 118:5	distributor 24:6	9:10,11,17,22
deposition 1:14	develops 31:15	119:25	24:7 26:22	9:24 10:3,7,8
5:25 6:2,9,15	diagnosed 70:2	directors 84:24	27:19 28:13	10:8,12 11:20
6:16,23 7:6	102:22	disagreement	28:19 87:6,13	12:22 26:21
8:1 9:6,15	diagnosis	10:16	108:25	29:4 32:19
10:23 11:2,17	38:19	disbursed	distributors	33:13,14,16
11:18 12:2,3	diana 7:23	103:2	26:14	33:23 43:25
45:4 58:7	dianne 1:23	disbursement	distributorship	44:22 45:8
66:20 83:25	133:4,23	77:15	27:23 28:15	46:1 52:21
86:8 93:18	didnt 10:12	disbursements	87:23 88:2	53:15 55:4,9
100:11 125:24	11:8 35:9	115:15	94:23	57:4 58:23,25
126:3,4 133:8	55:22 57:21	discharge	distributorsh	60:2,2,8,14,25
133:11	66:10 69:20	61:18,22 63:5	87:10	61:2,7 62:22
depositions	116:13 119:9	63:18	district 1:3,3	63:22,23 64:3
8:13 19:24	died 31:18,21	discontinue	division 37:4	71:5,9 73:12
describe 34:19	42:20 52:15	115:25	37:12 92:19	75:22 77:19
79:11	65:16 70:3	discovery 58:3	doctor 14:11	77:20 78:23
described	102:23 110:22	58:4 70:12	21:18 22:6	80:9,10,13
32:19	difference	discuss 126:4	document 5:25	81:2,11 82:5
describing	41:11 72:24	discussed 9:23	13:18,24	83:1,2,5,5,6,7
22:18	116:12	46:7 52:10	33:19 34:4,8	83:10,19
deserve 114:7	different 12:11	82:18 105:14	34:19,20,21	86:24 92:7
118:11,20	32:25 49:2	discussing 9:8	35:1,6,13	94:16 95:17
designated	56:17 61:15	discussion	44:13,22	103:23 106:11
49:13	73:15 93:17	11:11 12:23	45:13 48:16	106:14,18
detail 106:20	116:8 119:15	33:21	53:19 57:2,20	114:3,20
details 33:20	127:12	disease 31:8,12	58:18,19	116:22 119:16
91:10	differentiated	33:7 38:11,14	63:18 64:8,15	120:6,9
determination	71:20	38:15,17 39:8	82:13,15	122:25 123:17
32:9 33:9,11	differently	39:15 48:8	90:11 91:18	123:18,24
67:7 69:5,14	71:24 116:5	52:14 66:9,24	91:22 93:2,7	127:16 129:2
114:22	difficult 50:12	122:18	94:12 95:22	129:15 130:18

doesnt 19:15	109:25 110:12	120:8,14	70:25 78:21	107:15,15
79:3 87:22	111:2 112:11	economists	88:12 101:24	108:9 112:22
doing 76:23	114:2,24	120:3	116:9	113:16 115:2
83:21	115:3,3 116:1	edises 15:7	entire 62:25	116:20
dollar 73:14	116:4,21,23	effect 125:17	63:19 92:2	errors 108:6
dollars 74:2	117:13 118:11	effectively	entities 22:20	116:19
75:1	118:21,23	100:21	23:19,21	esoteric 95:1
donadio 100:18	121:6 122:4	efficient 6:1,7	24:23 25:1	essentially
dont 5:16 6:9	122:20 123:21	efficiently	26:18 29:25	49:15,16
6:20,22 8:14	123:22 124:19	29:24	46:7,12,20	119:22
8:20 11:2,22	125:5 128:2	eight 39:10	48:13 49:9	establish
12:8 16:9 18:2	128:10,18	either 10:6	50:5 51:12	112:20
18:4 19:24	130:21 132:1	11:11 33:5	78:8 79:6 84:4	established
20:3,4,19 21:9	132:7	36:21 41:21	102:11 103:6	69:1 86:23
21:9 22:18	door 116:25	42:5 47:8 50:9	110:19 112:17	87:2 101:17
23:3,4,13,23	doors 19:4	69:1,21 73:8	114:9	107:11 115:14
24:9,14,17	doubt 99:13	73:13 75:6	entitled 14:3	estate 1:6 65:23
25:16 26:1,1,2	dr 120:18 121:1	102:10	34:1 38:6,19	123:7
27:24 28:17	121:3	email 35:8 95:8	39:10 40:5	estimation
28:17,22,23	drawn 91:6	95:18 97:11	44:14,24	118:5
29:15 30:5,23	drive 2:16 6:3	97:22 98:2,4	45:16 53:24	et 1:9 100:6
30:24 31:1	dublin 2:16	99:4	64:9,18 75:22	evaluate 51:18
35:18,22,25	duces 11:16,17	emails 95:4,17	76:1 78:15	67:3,7 113:20
37:9,14 39:4	60:13	97:16 98:9	115:21 116:17	116:15
40:23 42:21	duly 5:5 133:9	99:16 103:24	133:8	evaluated 51:2
42:24,25	duplicate 12:11	126:9,11,17	entity 27:7	116:20
43:10,16 45:1	dust 41:23	129:3	30:17,23,25	eventually
50:16,17,21		employed	47:2 78:17	73:17
55:3,5,13	E	16:21,22	entry 56:16	everybody 6:1
56:23 58:5,7	earlier 44:11	employee	61:16 62:13	9:11 10:9 45:8
58:15 61:9,20	48:4 62:4 67:2	133:19	67:25 68:1	72:22 86:24
66:4 67:6	71:23 82:18	employees	69:17 78:25	94:4 99:12
68:23 69:25	82:20 90:13	13:6 16:23	82:11 93:6	101:5
72:5 74:16,21	93:5 95:22	employer 58:3	100:3 101:18	everybodys
77:9,9 79:18	102:7 109:3	58:6	equal 21:4,5	95:17
81:6 82:19	123:24	employment	equally 9:11	evidence 56:5
83:20 84:15	early 74:11	23:25 91:11	45:8	87:4 100:24
84:18 85:8,12	83:25	employs 67:7	equation 48:20	101:1 111:2
85:21 86:12	earned 73:11	enclosed 92:15	equity 72:14	112:13,16,19
87:3 89:25	easier 79:10	enclosing	equivalent	114:18 122:7
90:3 92:23	economic	113:4	20:21	122:15,15
96:1 101:16	43:17	enter 101:2	error 104:15	exact 45:1
102:1 108:20	economist	entered 67:17	106:5 107:9	92:21
	-	-	-	-
		_		

exactly 48:1	34:5,11 35:2,3	expertise 22:5	37:11 61:21	28:15 31:4
52:25 58:17	35:5 36:9,15	explain 7:12	70:2 87:5,25	33:2 48:5
58:19 94:5	45:5,6,15,20	8:19 14:8	99:11 100:9	52:11 54:13
129:24 131:13	45:22 50:23	15:22 18:6	107:21,25	59:18 65:9,25
examination	53:6 60:24	20:19 22:8	107:21,23	83:10 84:3
		23:8 31:14	110:10,21	91:20 92:21
3:3 5:7 119:4 121:11 128:23	63:4,13,16,17 65:1 68:7,8,10		112:21	
examined 5:6	68:13 77:3	33:12 38:2 51:1 72:11	factor 42:14	94:23,25 108:24 129:18
39:13	81:8 82:7,8,11	explained 8:25	56:3	130:20
	82:22,23 86:3	50:3	factors 44:4	
example 31:19 71:24	,		48:21 51:19	fargo 53:10 59:11
excel 45:3,18	86:3,9,11,12 88:18 90:6	explaining 55:16 113:6	121:24	fashion 56:6
50:24 54:1				
	91:5,5,9,13,14 92:6 93:1,3	explanation 18:15 21:1	facts 50:15 69:15	78:6 89:13 fathers 65:23
59:6,15 62:5	•			
65:4 exclusive 26:13	94:8 95:5,6,22 96:21 97:23	22:17 32:15 exposed 40:9	factually 112:23	faulted 111:11 faulting 111:10
26:13 27:22		•		•
	97:24 98:2,13	41:4,18,19,20	fair 31:12 40:22	faulty 107:3
28:19,22 87:6	98:14 99:23	48:11 75:16	46:17 75:4,15	february 25:12
87:10,13,22	99:24,25	78:6 102:10	79:17,18 83:3	61:18 119:20
88:1 108:25	100:8 101:6	114:8	91:20 94:14	federal 7:4
excuse 7:21	103:14 104:9	exposing 31:10	96:8,10	11:16 14:22
11:6 28:2 45:2	105:18 106:15	exposure 27:15	fairfax 90:24	17:17 21:8
66:13 80:3	109:11 113:1	27:15 39:25	fairly 8:25	fee 32:8 33:8
111:22 125:23	113:3 122:6	40:2,5,20,20	34:21 61:7	130:8
executive 13:3	124:1,4 126:9	40:22 41:3,5,6	125:5,20	feel 11:1,12
13:10,14 16:2	exhibits 3:9	41:9,16 47:10	falls 92:12	22:18 79:11
17:6 20:3	60:22 64:6	47:13,19 48:2	familiar 17:23	feeling 5:22
59:14 84:14	83:3 100:20	48:3,10,17,19	27:3,5 32:24	111:11
84:23 114:12	100:20 126:7	51:5 67:4	37:2,11 83:25	fees 29:21 30:3
118:5 119:24	exist 23:3 43:6	75:15 76:9	96:5 99:12	124:8,21,23
executor 1:5	existed 22:25	79:5 90:15	familiarity	131:16
65:23	23:9 111:18	91:12 95:13	24:22,25	fergus 14:23,24
exhaustive	existing 59:6	103:5 109:22	family 31:21	19:14
24:24	exists 76:24	112:14,17,19	33:5 35:24	fewer 73:8,14
exhibit 3:10,10	expected 73:8	112:24 122:7	38:12 52:14	fifteen 93:6
3:11,11,12,12	expense 43:17	extent 28:24	56:2 59:16	fight 89:6
3:13,13,14,14	expenses 124:9	36:3 55:23	64:4 66:24	figuratively
3:15,15,16,16	124:16,25	56:2,5 94:21	90:10 106:5	129:8
3:17,17,18,18	130:13,16,21	eyes 65:7	107:6 129:7	figure 62:5
3:19,19,20	130:22 131:9		familys 100:21	79:14
12:2,2,16,17	expert 17:13,13		far 8:9 9:4	file 7:17 8:15
13:21,22,25	43:13 89:8	facilities 16:23	22:24 25:24	32:16 34:23
21:14 33:25	105:23 110:8	fact 11:18 37:2	26:11 27:17	56:10,15 57:3

I				170
58:13 60:21	22:4 37:4	92:14	23:11 66:17	22:2 24:7,8,13
66:23 92:2	56:13 64:13	followup 21:1	74:13 89:3	87:6 91:6,9
100:13,14	66:11 82:16	followups	119:18	96:19 100:2
101:10 112:25	91:2,6 124:4,7	128:22	former 57:5	100:12 101:10
112:25 117:9	124:14 129:10	font 58:15	58:3,6 91:5	103:18 108:18
129:1	130:2 131:2,4	forecast 73:16	100:16	frankly 58:11
filed 17:15	firms 20:9 37:3	76:21	formerly 14:25	117:3
20:20,22,23	37:6 64:17	forecasting	forms 59:3	fraud 114:19
23:21 25:12	87:10 91:3	73:12	95:24 121:18	115:1
44:7,8 55:1	124:15 129:25	forecasts	forrest 2:6	free 11:12
56:6 71:3	first 7:3 12:11	120:10	fort 108:14,15	79:11 99:7
73:12 75:2	12:25 15:24	foregoing	forth 23:10	frequent 84:20
86:25 90:9	18:17 22:22	133:13	40:18 51:19	frequently
100:15 103:15	23:9 24:3,4	forest 1:24	54:9 69:3 86:3	41:21
	•			
116:7 128:2,4	25:6,10 26:25	forget 121:19 forked 74:20	88:3 93:5 94:23 98:9	front 11:22
128:16 131:23	27:2 33:25			36:14 46:8
filing 32:8 34:1	44:24 47:6	form 32:6,7	100:25 109:14	53:19 60:21
34:10,22 35:1	53:19 59:14	33:8 34:20,22	126:7	61:10 99:23
37:7 44:10,11	60:13 66:16	35:7,7,16,23	fortyfour	113:19 122:5
44:11 66:21	69:11 80:2,3	36:4,5,10,18	117:18	122:24
91:8 130:23	81:14 91:17	42:15,23 44:1	forum 57:25	frustrated 8:4
130:25	93:16 94:11	48:4 67:1	forward 6:24	full 23:13
filings 89:5	95:18 98:4,20	81:25 85:10	11:21	fullblown 101:1
fill 66:25	101:13 102:8	85:17 86:5	found 90:11	function 39:6
filled 36:21	106:9 107:10	90:9,11,12	foundation	functions 31:4
filling 33:7	111:18 114:12	92:9,16,20,21	21:20	fund 53:12
36:17	119:2 121:17	93:11,12,13	four 15:13	further 6:10 7:3
fills 32:7	128:25	93:16 103:25	20:12 33:13	107:2 113:5
financial 64:14	firsthand 124:7	104:8,15,17	38:19 46:4	115:7 118:23
financially	five 20:12 38:24	105:2,14	79:2 80:17,19	128:18,23
133:20	48:25 49:12	106:4 107:14	82:14,14 93:7	132:7 133:17
find 15:20 36:2	52:5 57:10	108:9 111:24	98:8 107:12	future 20:22
46:2,2,15	82:13	113:2,4	115:17,19	21:3,3 72:4
84:14 92:15	floating 10:6	formal 32:17	117:11,20	76:23 102:18
97:7 125:6	57:4	33:18	fourteen 93:4	103:7 114:10
fine 10:22 26:3	floor 2:20	formally 119:11	frame 70:19	117:13 118:2
28:6 68:2 89:7	flows 78:11	131:23	framing 107:20	futures 14:5,20
89:9	focus 55:24,25	format 45:19	107:24	20:14 21:12
finish 8:2,22	98:11,19 99:6	50:24 61:15	francine 121:3	120:7,8,24
52:6 58:22	focusing 98:3	formation 59:4	121:4	121:2 127:13
finished 8:23	follow 33:2	59:5 68:16	francisco 6:3	127:17
111:22	following 38:24	formed 17:17	9:22 14:12,14	
firm 2:11 21:14	follows 5:6	18:13,17,19	21:15,19,20	G
	•	•	•	•

	1	1	1	1
gained 24:22	30:2 31:22	91:16,25	handed 13:24	96:2,7 129:4
24:25	32:17 38:25	93:24 95:3,4	62:24 65:3	hearing 18:25
gary 14:23	45:9 58:10	95:13 97:15	123:1,24	heir 65:24
19:13	62:22 66:25	97:21 98:3,9	handing 34:13	heirs 56:3
gayle 53:9,9	77:10 83:22	98:11,14	35:5 45:22	63:24 66:3
geagan 91:1	86:1 99:19	99:24 100:11	60:24 62:21	77:14 87:1
general 27:2	101:20 102:6	105:22,24	63:4 91:16	123:7 124:9
33:17 49:20	106:1,23	110:14 117:10	101:11	help 26:7 34:21
52:11 63:9	114:3 115:10	117:12,13,18	handled 6:18	118:9
84:16 91:7	116:18 118:16	117:24 118:2	34:5 67:15	helpful 97:6
96:22 97:8	119:2,3	119:10 122:11	87:20 116:24	helping 127:12
121:22	123:16 128:11	123:10 127:25	handles 37:5	helps 58:16
generally 34:19	goal 22:11,12	good 5:9 20:25	84:5	heres 55:17
48:7 63:22	31:5 75:9,12	20:25 33:22	handling 6:14	69:17,17
93:22	75:20,21 76:9	49:19 51:23	31:4	hernandez
generals 43:6	102:16 114:6	gotten 6:21	happen 48:23	14:11 21:18
generated	118:7	117:9	125:2	hes 15:17,17,18
35:14,15 59:1	goals 102:8	great 9:21	happened	20:13 21:15
59:4,5	118:17	10:18 55:12	56:21 68:23	22:6 62:23
gentleman	goes 27:17	60:16 86:21	108:18	110:10 120:20
93:19	33:12 46:13	group 93:4	happens 130:6	120:20,23
gentlemen	73:6,7 75:1	guardian 20:18	happy 88:1	121:8 126:2
31:18 86:15	121:17 124:14	guess 12:20	89:4 117:5	high 49:5
getting 8:4 94:1	129:19 131:2	15:9,15 18:1	hard 18:24	highest 49:1
105:20 109:9	going 5:22 6:24	24:17,19	harrison 14:25	highlighted
gettogether	7:9 8:9 10:9	25:16 31:23	harry 1:6 31:18	98:10 99:5
84:22	21:4 22:16	40:8 69:4	63:24 69:21	hire 13:6,6
give 29:14	24:1,3 25:5	73:20 93:14	70:1 78:6 79:4	120:9
45:12 89:14	29:9,17,20	129:15	85:17 87:1	hired 13:5 17:5
98:22 104:1	30:9 34:13	guessing 96:24	90:10,18	18:17 19:10
111:3 116:7	36:4,5 38:2	122:22	92:13 100:5	19:16 22:9
120:17 123:23	42:16 44:6	guidelines 33:1	103:17 104:7	history 15:23
given 11:3	45:4 46:17	guy 19:14	hasnt 115:20	24:1 29:24
18:15 47:16	50:2,22 52:4,5		hate 119:8	42:1,7 84:15
51:7 69:16	52:22 55:14	<u>H</u>	havent 51:13	84:19 91:11
104:24 111:1	56:3,4 60:17	half 49:7 52:7	59:24 74:20	108:21 127:12
115:13	60:25 62:22	119:18	80:16 85:6	hit 95:21
giving 30:6	63:7 66:22	hampton 14:20	96:4 102:21	holding 62:24
106:17	68:12,24	hand 33:23	102:22 111:22	holford 2:14,15
glasses 65:11	69:13 70:5,10	34:6 53:5	head 14:17	3:5 7:20 51:24
go 5:19 6:11	80:19 82:3	62:20,24 90:6	92:18 125:25	52:2 56:8,8,18
9:14 19:24	85:14 88:10	94:6 98:10	hear 40:23	58:12,12 62:4
24:12 28:8	89:14 90:6,20	99:24 104:11	heard 28:18	65:7 71:4,4
	-	-	-	-

86:7,13,17,17	52:11 54:17	105:5 108:10	106:15,17	17:14
92:25,25 93:9	76:11 110:3	110:1 121:15	108:1 110:14	incorrectly
101:4 119:1,5	116:8 117:1	122:14 123:6	111:10,11,11	114:13,25
119:12,13	117:15 120:2	123:23	112:16,18	increase 42:5
120:13 124:1	identical 59:18	illness 42:4	113:24 115:1	42:17 72:16
124:2	identification	im 5:10,10,23	115:6 117:5,7	73:5 80:17,19
home 41:23	100:20	7:6,9,23 8:23	122:10,11,22	86:7 122:8,16
homes 17:25	identified 21:13	9:1 10:9 11:5	125:13 126:8	increased
hope 118:6	21:16 53:23	13:3,14 16:8	126:8,11	32:11 72:17
hopefully 35:20	54:5 59:9,13	17:12,23	127:25 128:1	73:1 115:18
87:11	59:22 60:3,25	18:24 20:4	128:11 129:7	increases
hoping 52:6	62:4,21 82:5,6	22:19 23:8,23	130:25 131:22	72:12 122:21
hour 52:7	99:11 104:15	24:1,3,16,23	imaging 39:3	indicate 26:21
hours 6:3	107:15 108:6	25:11 27:5	import 99:7	indicated 9:24
hpnsy 95:22,24	112:22	28:10 29:9,23	important 42:3	indicates 65:11
95:25 96:22	identifier 95:12	30:4,9 32:3,24	50:18 102:25	78:5
96:23,25 97:8	identifies 22:2	34:13,13 36:1	improper	individuals
hunters 96:3,5	identify 13:20	36:4 37:14,18	111:24	15:13 98:6
96:18 103:17	14:2 34:14	37:18 38:2	inadvertent	infantryman
106:8 108:19	38:21 45:15	43:15 45:22	115:2	107:16 108:13
109:4	45:23 52:22	48:16 50:22	inappropriate	108:16 109:9
hybrid 23:17	53:2 58:16	51:23 52:6,21	110:7	info 97:1
30:22	61:10 85:15	52:25 57:19	incidental	information
hyphenated	119:9,10	57:20,23 58:6	47:10	12:22 26:9
5:15	identifying	58:9 59:19	incline 17:25	37:21,25 38:3
hypothetical	15:19 94:2	60:17,24,25	include 40:13	46:3,6,16 48:6
32:1 102:6	ii 93:21 103:21	62:22 63:7,12	96:23	53:16,25 54:8
104:24 105:20	103:21 108:15	65:11 66:6	included 59:19	64:12,18
105:22 106:17	iii 64:23	69:13 70:2,9	80:25 86:13	68:20 78:18
107:21,25	ill 8:21,22 11:12	70:20 73:4	includes 52:12	79:4 90:16
108:11 110:2	13:20 22:13	76:7 78:17	including 91:4	96:11 103:15
110:5 111:12	28:10 29:14	82:3,10 84:10	93:18 98:6	107:3,3
111:13 114:1	30:6 31:7,25	85:1 87:4,15	100:6 107:18	112:23 127:2
114:17	34:5 35:2	89:4,14 90:6	income 18:8,9	informs 35:13
hypotheticals	37:16 43:12	90:20 91:16	18:11 62:15	initial 77:15
102:4	45:15 50:22	91:16,25 93:9	62:16,17	100:18
	53:6 60:19	94:1,1,5 95:13	81:24	initially 59:3
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	85:14,24,24	96:23 97:15	incomplete	60:14
id 35:18 58:10	87:16 88:1,18	97:17,20,21	31:25 110:2	injured 22:13
77:10 98:13	93:17 94:10	98:3,9,11	114:1,17	32:4 37:24
114:2,3 117:4	95:16 98:22	99:23 100:8	incorporate	44:16 124:9
118:21 122:22	99:19 100:9	101:11 104:25	44:3	injuries 38:6
idea 33:22	104:11 105:4	105:7,25	incorporation	inserting

				140
104:25	82:4 93:6	involving 46:10	jeffries 2:6	79:1 80:11
inside 56:10	100:5 102:18	98:4	jiggling 76:17	82:11,16
installer 26:22	103:2 114:10	isnt 32:19 36:3	jm 95:21	88:12,23 93:7
instance 17:25	115:15,17	55:1 77:7	job 20:3,19,22	93:8 100:3,23
37:10 42:8	117:11,12,21	78:20 85:4	20:24 69:13	100:24 101:3
67:10 69:11	interested	88:14 101:24	jobs 87:12	101:18,24
77:1 113:21	43:23 133:20	109:5 129:22	john 96:22 99:2	107:4,5 108:7
instances	interfere 131:15	issue 8:3 31:12	johns 26:14,22	113:18,23
46:18	interject 9:16	56:7 77:24	27:3,4,15,19	114:14 116:9
institution	51:24 93:1	78:1 83:10	28:1,13,19	116:10,13
64:14	internist 21:21	117:6	83:25 85:9,16	117:22 123:2
instructional	interrogatory	issued 89:20	85:17,20 86:4	125:3,10,18
34:24 36:15	91:7,10	123:5	86:24 87:6,9	127:24 128:15
instructions	interruption	issues 44:8	87:19 88:2,16	131:18,25
34:2,10 61:25	28:3	iteration 91:2	90:9,21 92:8	judgments
64:10 123:17	interviewed	ive 8:13,25 11:7	93:3,10,12	67:16,21
124:3	19:11,17	11:7 13:7,18	95:23 98:5	70:20 72:7
insulating 47:2	introduce 87:4	13:24 34:18	99:1 103:13	77:7 111:8
47:4	introduced 5:9	52:2 83:1,18	103:14,20	116:5 128:3
insulation 24:6	introducing	83:20,21	104:5 106:3	judicial 89:6
24:6,11,12	10:3	86:23 87:2	107:13 108:9	june 59:25
25:21,25	invested 75:3	93:1 96:7 99:5	108:24	80:15
26:11 28:1,13	103:1,2	108:23 113:12	join 76:4 89:18	
28:19 29:7	investment	114:3 126:6	103:10 110:1	K
30:12 103:20	14:13 22:3,4		114:17 118:14	kananian 1:5,6
insurance	73:10	J	joins 29:19	31:18,18,20
74:23	investments	jack 1:5 14:12	jones 2:18 59:4	35:24 52:19
insurers 74:5	120:10	14:15 15:10	104:5,14	54:2,3,25,25
75:3	invited 85:1,6	21:23 54:2,25	judge 7:2 14:20	56:18,19 57:7
intend 6:9 90:3	involved 6:1	57:7 61:23	14:22 17:18	57:8,8 58:14
intensive 5:25	20:11 23:20	62:9,13,15 63:5,10 65:22	19:13 20:13	59:16 61:19
interact 84:11	24:23 25:1,21	,	21:1,12 89:12	61:20,23,24 62:7,10,10,13
interaction	26:4 29:7	81:4,15,25 100:6 128:7	102:17	62:14,15,16
11:10	30:11,14 47:1	january 82:17	judgement 9:19	63:5,6,24
interest 62:10	47:3,3 88:5	jarrett 2:7 7:1	judgment 56:16	65:12,16,17
62:15,16,17	99:15 102:11	7:14 8:2,21	61:16 67:23	65:22 70:1
67:20 70:13	102:18 126:16	36:2 55:1 58:2	67:24 68:1,4,5	77:1,14 78:6
70:15,16,18	126:20 127:1	58:5 86:6,20	69:2,6,7,12	78:15 79:4
70:20,20,24	involvement 27:18 31:10	86:22 87:24	70:7,17,21,22 70:25 71:21	81:4,4,15,15
71:8,12,16 73:1,3 76:16	46:9,13,21	88:19 89:7	71:25 77:12	81:25,25
73.1,3 76.16	84:1,3	105:8 107:22	78:5,12,14,18	85:18 87:1
81:5,18,20,24	involves 88:15	121:13 128:6	78:21,25,25	90:10,18 92:4
01.5,10,20,24	111401463 00.13	121113 120.0	10.21,20,20	00.10,10 02.4
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>

92:13 95:12 99:4,5 100:5,5 100:6,6,21 103:17 104:7 106:5,7 107:6 107:16 108:6	96:11 97:15 97:18 99:10 101:25 103:8 105:16,21 106:1,13,18 106:23 110:1	89:7 92:23 94:4,5 95:24 96:18,20 102:1 108:20 111:2 114:2 114:24 115:3	50:16,17,18 50:20 109:3,4 109:13 111:17 112:3 113:22 language 88:17 lap 41:19	92:9,14 93:11 104:3,14 113:1,1,3,5 126:17 letterhead 53:8 58:25 104:4
108:11,21 110:10,17,18	110:7,12 112:5 113:7	115:3 116:1,4 116:21,23	lastly 30:16 late 20:8	letters 92:23 113:3
111:16 113:21	113:11 114:1	117:13 118:21	latest 45:25	liability 74:6
115:13 123:7	114:17 116:2	119:22 121:4	latin 73:20	liberty 2:20
125:2 129:1,7 kananians	118:14 126:23 127:9 129:11	121:7 122:4,6 122:20 123:15	law 2:7,11,11 2:15,19 15:9	license 17:16 licensed 116:25
54:20 55:8,20	130:14	125:2,5	15:10 20:4,9	lieu 62:1
63:10 66:2	know 5:16 6:20	127:21 128:2	21:10,14	life 108:15
67:12 69:21	6:22 8:22 11:8	130:21 132:1	29:21 56:13	likelihood
79:10 80:3 100:17 115:21	12:8 16:9 17:24 18:2	knowing 6:24 110:4	59:2 64:13,17 70:18 87:1	118:3 limitations 44:8
117:10 123:1	20:19 21:9,9	knowledge	91:2 101:15	limited 6:7 9:2
123:3,6,10	22:19 23:4,13	23:25 24:14	129:10,25	86:13
125:9	23:17 25:7,8,9	24:24 29:8	lawsuit 55:1,20	line 4:2 8:1
karen 54:3,25 57:7 62:10,13	26:1,2,2,3,6,7 26:20,25 27:4	30:11,14 63:2 68:23 74:1	55:21 131:11 lawyer 22:6	26:16 28:3 29:10 54:13
62:16 81:4,15	27:7,8,9,11,16	79:18 86:2	69:12 76:7	62:7 63:15
81:25 100:6	27:18,22,24	89:5 94:15	lawyers 20:11	89:19 111:15
kazan 15:7	27:25 28:17	95:1 96:13	49:19	liquidated 72:2
keep 70:15 99:23 124:21	28:17,22,23 28:24 30:23	101:22 123:8 123:12 124:7	layers 39:19 leading 97:17	117:19 list 44:25 45:15
keeping 114:6	30:24,25 31:2	127:1 128:12	109:23 111:24	45:16 46:13
114:9	32:3 33:21	128:13 133:16	left 10:20 54:13	46:19,20 47:4
keeps 72:21	35:18 37:9,14	knowledgeable	lefthand 45:17	47:6 50:1,2,17
kicking 75:3 kids 52:2	39:4 42:24 43:5,16 44:9	26:17 83:15 89:21	legal 17:14 23:13,17	50:19,20 61:24 62:13
kind 20:18	44:23 46:16	known 87:24	30:23,25 31:1	96:23 109:16
30:22 33:17	48:5 49:19	88:4	53:23 63:24	111:5,18
75:24	51:15 55:3,6	kube 2:6	73:19 114:25	128:3
kindly 83:18,21 kinds 48:23	58:5,8,9,16 60:10 61:20	L	115:4,24 legally 5:13	listed 15:18 66:9 106:7
klomp 2:19	65:6 66:4 67:6	laborer 106:7	lengthy 91:5	108:12
26:15 29:9,17	67:19 69:11	108:12	106:18	lists 14:4 46:4
29:20 30:1	74:16 77:9	lack 123:6	leslie 17:18	51:6 96:15
31:25 43:12 55:13 57:24	81:6 82:19 83:20 85:4,8	land 32:5 39:25 40:2,3,22 41:1	letter 53:22 55:16 59:10	109:12,13 litem 20:18
76:4 89:18,25	87:22 88:4	41:14 48:22	59:25 60:6	literature 42:13
	0 00		55.25 55.5	
	ı	ı	ı	ı

r				140
litigation 43:21	101:21 122:21	managers 13:7	121:1	124:21,23
43:24 44:7,9	127:11 128:3	managing	marked 9:25	mcclain 14:15
44:12 74:10	131:4	14:11 21:16	11:23 12:15	15:7,7 128:8
74:11,14,16	looks 45:25	59:12	12:16 13:17	mean 14:9
74:17 87:25	61:23 63:19	manner 31:9	13:22 33:23	23:23 26:1
88:5 117:25	73:13	125:18	34:11 35:3	32:12 47:17
little 5:17 15:23	loss 43:17	manual 34:24	45:6,20 59:24	51:6 123:13
22:17 29:24	lot 20:11 33:24	36:16	60:22 64:6	means 76:16
44:25 50:12	37:2 90:4	manufactured	65:1 68:10	meant 99:14
57:9 61:13	99:16 103:20	27:4	80:14 82:6,8	medical 38:16
live 19:20 33:6	lots 16:10	manufacturer	82:20,23	38:22 39:7
lived 108:14	loved 52:15	84:3	91:13,14 93:3	43:17 48:9
living 66:23	low 49:6	manville 26:14	94:8 95:6	67:3 90:14
IIp 1:9 15:11	luikart 14:12	26:23 27:3,4	97:24 101:6	122:15
37:11 92:10	21:24,25	27:15,19 28:1	104:9	meet 67:3,4
loaned 16:23	lung 42:8	28:13,19 84:1	marking 9:8	109:22
located 24:7	122:15	85:9,17,17,20	10:4 34:5	meeting 19:18
96:19 120:19		86:5,24 87:7,9	mary 54:3,14	meetings 19:16
location 40:17	M	87:19 88:2,16	54:25 57:7	125:22 127:11
49:18	macarthur	90:9,21 92:8	62:9,14,17	member 33:5
lodge 29:9 30:2	22:14,14	92:11 93:3,11	81:4,14,25	38:12 66:24
long 42:16,17	23:13,18 29:6	93:12 95:23	100:6	members 19:12
48:16 51:14	30:10,16,19	98:5 99:1,2,2	master 57:15	52:14 59:17
76:23 105:21	40:11,11 46:8	103:13,14,20	58:13 62:2	127:21 128:14
117:13	46:8 50:10	104:6,7 106:4	65:12 70:11	memory 25:13
longer 105:22	61:17 69:7,22	107:14 108:9	71:5,9	56:20
121:21	69:22 75:18	108:24 112:23	material 40:9	mentioned
look 9:14 35:18	75:18 82:12	112:24	60:5,5	15:25 20:13
51:6,17 73:10	88:13,24	march 1:16 5:2	materials 24:11	22:20 23:6
77:10 107:17	89:22 98:23	92:10 95:11	26:12 27:5	24:3 27:18
109:19 111:7	99:3 100:4	95:19 98:8	46:10 87:11	30:17 31:5
114:2,20	107:6,8 108:8	103:24 104:17	math 49:16,19	37:10 58:23
115:24 120:9	125:10,18	105:15 107:9	matrix 33:18,19	82:10 83:24
120:10,10,10	machines	108:5	59:7 121:18	101:8
122:23 123:16	84:16	marine 41:11	121:19,23	merchant 41:10
123:23	maintained	mark 12:1	122:2 128:15	merged 30:19
looked 40:21	129:1	13:20 32:18	matter 20:10	88:25
57:6 98:13	maintains 9:13	35:2 44:23	52:20 88:12	merger 30:22
looking 6:23,25	major 96:9,16	53:6 61:14	92:17 99:4,5	meso 47:20
37:18 46:20	majority 47:1,3	68:9 81:7 82:3	121:14 133:8	49:25 58:15
48:4,7,10	making 87:15	85:13 90:7	133:15	62:7 122:21
65:25 76:22	management	95:4 104:2	matters 86:3	mesothelioma
81:10 92:21	90:23 92:11	117:21 121:1	maximum	31:16,17

				143
42:20 43:9 48:2,15 66:10 70:2 94:18 110:22,23 mike 14:15 19:16 miller 21:15 million 70:7	130:1,6 131:2 131:3,6 monies 75:6 114:25 129:19 monteleone 2:6 months 11:19 103:19 106:9 108:13	narrative 91:12 107:19 nationwide 88:6 naval 96:3,5,18 106:8 108:19 navy 41:10 103:17	nexus 48:10,19 75:15 nine 39:17 nonmeso 47:18 47:20 48:3 normal 11:3 north 23:14 30:12 109:10	notary 63:20,20 133:5 note 28:3 111:20 notes 4:1 133:14 notice 9:19 56:15 61:16
78:22 mind 103:12 109:1 mine 11:7 minnesota 23:15 30:11 89:2 109:10 minor 67:5,6	morgan 5:14,19 mother 65:24 mothers 63:11 mouth 123:22 move 29:23 33:24 72:23 122:25 mullin 14:19	nay 55:18 near 98:16 necessarily 26:18 necessary 87:5 need 5:17 24:9 84:18 89:25 90:1 97:1	northern 28:20 28:21,25 87:7 87:7,14,14 108:22 northup 2:7 3:5 6:13 7:8,17,20 7:25 8:6,18 10:11,15	67:25 68:1,4 69:17 78:25 79:1 82:11,17 89:6 93:6 101:18 noticed 6:2 notices 83:3 notification
minute 29:5,7 52:6 57:19 64:25 68:3,13 78:24 missing 32:3 misstates 130:14	19:14 multiple 39:19 48:18 98:2 multiplication 121:24 multiplier 49:6 49:11,23	108:20 needed 67:19 neither 22:6 91:21 117:3 net 18:8 nevada 1:3,17 1:24 2:20 5:2	10.11,13 12:10 18:24 28:2 29:19 35:21 36:3 42:22 43:11 45:12 55:2,11 58:1,2 59:21 76:2 85:2,11	113:10 notion 49:20 novato 64:22 november 25:15 60:13 65:5 number 5:24
mistake 114:19 mistakenly 106:7 108:12 modality 39:3 moment 51:25 123:23 monday 1:16	122:19 multipliers 51:18 122:23 N name 5:11,13 14:18 15:9	17:21 18:5,9 19:19,20 28:21,25 29:21 87:8,14 108:22 133:1 133:6,23 never 8:13	85:19 86:1,11 86:20 88:7,9 88:22 89:9,16 93:24 94:3 97:10 103:10 104:23 105:2 105:7,8	9:5 17:24 26:13 31:11 37:3 45:3,24 52:20 53:11 54:16 63:7 64:13,16,21 65:3 66:10
5:2 money 13:7 55:22 56:2 57:16 63:25 64:3,14 66:8 73:21 74:12 74:15,20 75:2	17:8 23:14 33:18 45:12 53:9 65:20 66:2 88:20,22 93:19 98:16 120:17 121:13 121:21 129:4 129:16	91:21 94:15 96:25 101:13 105:11 109:7 109:10,11 110:10,18 111:16 112:3 112:25 113:9	107:20,24 109:23 111:20 111:24 112:6 114:16 118:12 118:25 119:3 121:12,13 122:13 124:5	67:3,4 76:12 77:7 83:2 91:3 92:13,20 99:15 113:5 numbering 126:8 numbers 54:9
75:4,19,25 76:18,18 78:4 113:25 114:15 118:10,18,22 119:24 124:6 124:8,14	named 7:6 121:1,3 names 14:8 53:25 64:16 128:4	113:12,13 114:4 129:5 new 46:15 55:8 56:24 72:23 72:25 86:7 120:21,23	124:22 125:1 126:15 127:4 127:20 128:10 128:17 northups 128:22	76:17 79:20 numerous 20:9 nv 1:23 O o0o 1:4
124:8,14	120.4	120:21,23	128:22	000 1.4

oakland 15:8	71:1 130:7	121:16 132:7	56:15 60:9	98:4,20 101:8
17:18	occasion 84:12	old 73:20	61:3 73:19	101:9 104:8
object 6:14	98:25 120:25	100:14	78:11 79:9,9	pages 34:4
31:25 36:4	124:10	onboard 40:11	85:17 90:9	36:10 45:2,19
43:12 88:16	occasionally	41:4 47:19	93:3,10	57:10 63:7
97:15 99:10	131:4	48:18 50:8	103:13 105:5	64:10 79:2
105:2,24	occurred	once 55:1 66:21	106:3 107:13	81:19,22
107:24 122:11	115:16	80:4 84:21,21	108:9 119:16	82:14 98:3,8
128:1	october 60:6	124:6,14	originally 11:19	100:1,2
objected	80:16	125:22 131:3	47:9 77:4	paginated 63:8
110:13	offer 87:15,23	onepage 104:3	90:19	paid 13:7 20:21
objecting 86:19	88:25 89:4	ones 96:16 99:7	originals 9:7	54:24 56:2
90:1	97:21 114:14	ongoing 29:9	orton 21:15	58:10 64:3
objection 5:22	offhand 122:4	74:14,16	outfit 89:2	66:1,1,8 69:3
6:23 7:16	office 13:6 15:9	opened 19:4	outside 12:23	70:6,8,8,13,17
10:16 26:15	57:5 59:2 77:6	operate 17:15	17:20 28:4	71:24 72:5,15
28:3 29:10,15	100:11,16,17	. 75:25	29:11,12 85:3	73:11 74:12
29:19 30:2,6	107:15 128:7	operated 23:14	88:16 89:19	75:10 77:23
35:22 42:22	128:8	23:16	90:2 94:21	78:4 79:23,24
43:11 76:2	officer 13:13	operates 52:11	96:12,13	80:11,19 87:2
85:3,11,20,21	16:2	operation 25:7	124:25	103:2 107:7
85:25 89:10	officers 84:24	25:20 26:13	overbroad 48:5	110:23 114:25
89:15,17,19	offices 15:10	28:12	oversee 37:13	115:20 117:19
96:11 101:25	24:13	operational	owed 67:20	118:18 119:23
103:8 105:16	official 13:2,9	71:1,22	70:24 118:8	120:11 124:8
107:22,23	offsite 100:13	operations	owned 22:3	130:1,3 131:1
109:23 111:20	oh 11:6	13:16 17:19		paper 53:23
112:5,6	ohio 2:8,16	20:8	P	70:11
113:11 114:1	8:10,16 18:4	opinion 119:24	packet 57:10	papers 17:14
114:16 116:2	45:7 54:6	opportunity	60:4,6	67:23 108:7
118:12 126:23	63:20 108:14	67:2 89:14	page 3:3 4:2	paperwork
127:9 129:11	okay 7:1 9:21	113:20 116:14	13:18,19,24	51:20
130:14	10:11,18	116:15	14:3 34:3 35:9	paralegals 37:7
obligation	12:14 16:25	opposed 39:22	35:12 44:13	pardon 28:2
67:18	20:25 28:6	ord 108:14,15	45:2,17 53:22	35:21 58:1
obtained 10:4	34:16 36:3	order 59:23	59:14 60:17	part 15:15
68:20 77:7,12	37:15 55:12	63:24 64:8	61:19 62:13	28:21 69:9
100:10 107:4	66:9 78:11	85:14 91:7	63:13,21	81:11 106:10
107:5 113:22	81:19 86:6	95:4	64:12,20	particular 39:8
obtaining 68:21	88:9 95:15	oregon 28:21	78:24 82:13	39:18 40:19
obviously 11:8	99:21 104:13	29:1 87:8,15	82:14,20	46:11 49:10
41:8 44:16	105:6,20	original 12:1	90:17 93:7,9	49:11 55:25
64:17 68:16	106:9 121:10	46:21 56:9,12	93:16 95:8	56:1 70:19,19

99:7	123:5 127:14	130:17 131:5	2:15 7:9 56:7	30:20 52:25
particularly	129:19,21	131:16,19,21	85:15 90:8	54:19 57:24
50:18	payments 59:8	percentage	91:3 99:14	67:2 84:23
parties 56:17	67:20 72:14	25:23 32:11	118:24	89:15 93:23
56:25 115:4	77:16 79:16	72:2,24,25	pick 38:15 52:2	96:3,5,18
116:9 117:1	79:19 80:14	73:6,7 115:18	pid 95:21	100:14 102:5
133:18	80:16 81:9	119:6 120:11	pieces 70:11	103:17 106:8
party 37:24	82:4 93:6	127:14	90:16	108:19 109:4
44:16 86:19	115:15,25	percentages	pipes 47:11	117:8 130:12
100:23 116:3	117:12 118:2	119:15	place 19:18	131:5
124:9 133:12	123:1,2,9,13	perform 24:12	40:3	policies 74:6
pathologist	127:6,8,19	performed 51:3	places 55:5	polk 15:9
39:14	pays 32:7	51:11	109:13	poole 95:9,11
pathology	people 17:24	period 16:13,13	plaintiff 29:19	95:18 97:5
39:10 122:7	18:4 20:20,21	24:25 26:12	85:11 91:8,10	98:7,15
122:15,18	33:4 41:21	47:9,16,24	105:8 121:14	pooles 98:16
patients 21:22	51:15 52:13	49:18 51:8	plaintiffs 1:7	pope 96:22
patti 15:11	58:9 68:21	72:8 119:7	2:6 5:23 6:13	97:8 109:14
pay 18:7,10	75:25 93:14	periodically	6:17 10:7,15	portion 100:19
22:12 49:1	98:15 99:14	51:14 73:10	42:22 43:11	portions 55:9
63:25 67:18	99:15 102:21	124:10,17,20	58:2 85:2,13	pose 26:15
69:14 73:22	114:7 118:10	127:11,15	86:20 103:10	117:4
74:22 75:13	118:18,22	permitted 130:9	111:20 112:6	posing 83:19
75:14,21 76:9	119:23 120:3	person 26:17	127:23	position 6:17
103:3 112:13	129:8,9	32:4 33:6	plays 43:8	7:14 13:2
112:18 118:7	percent 18:7	41:18,19	please 5:12 8:2	24:19 43:7,10
118:21 119:7	32:11 62:8	48:11 57:16	8:18 11:11	54:21 86:22
124:16 131:3	71:6 72:5,6,7	66:23 72:14	14:2 32:3	possessed
payee 53:25	72:10,15,17	83:9,11,15	45:12 88:11	103:16
54:5	72:18,18	89:20 106:19	89:17 92:15	possible
payees 54:2	73:16 75:10	personal 38:3	96:25 104:19	102:15
paying 33:8	75:13 76:12	personally	111:20	post 116:10
75:6 78:13	79:15 80:17	126:16	plenty 87:3	posted 132:5
payment 32:11	80:19 102:15	persons 86:2	pleural 42:20	potential 74:6
58:14 62:8,8	115:17,19	120:17	43:8	79:5 91:11
66:16,18	117:11,16,17	pertinent 100:2	plus 71:6,8	potentially
70:16 71:7,8	117:18,19,20	peter 93:19	127:17	74:15 75:5
72:19,24,25	117:21 118:1	peterson 121:1	pmk 83:15	96:12 116:18
73:1,5 79:9,15	118:4 119:17	121:2,7	poa 56:23 57:8	poured 73:21
79:15,17,24	119:18,19,19	philippines	point 7:23	74:2 76:19
80:2,3 115:17	119:21 120:1	108:20	16:19 22:3	power 56:19
115:18 117:11	120:1 124:19	phleger 14:25	27:19 28:12	57:9 61:23
117:21 120:11	124:20 130:8	phone 2:7,12	29:17,20	63:6,9,19
		1	l	

65:19,23	40:21 53:1	32:17 33:11	84:4 87:7,20	15:8 24:1
practical 7:10	105:14	44:5 66:20,25	87:21 102:11	37:11 57:6
practice 20:3,5	primarily 55:21	69:1,18 71:22	103:6 108:24	61:25 64:20
prebankruptcy	primary 18:1	98:5 112:11	122:8	64:23 77:6
128:16 131:24	102:8 116:12	processed	professor	82:16 91:1,2
preceded	print 65:6,10	51:13 88:14	120:19	92:10,19
101:23	printed 35:20	processes 86:9	prohibits 7:5	93:12 94:12
precise 19:8	50:21 83:1,5	processing	project 40:25	94:15 95:10
predate 26:18	printout 53:24	33:2 41:13	proof 46:11	100:16,17
predates 68:16	54:2 65:12	43:1 44:5	79:2 82:15	104:4 106:6
prefer 58:10	68:7 81:5	90:23 92:12	87:15,16,23	107:14 123:3
preliminary	82:20	92:15	88:25 89:4	123:11,14
36:2	prior 6:22 8:20	processors	92:20 97:21	124:4,7,13
prepared 87:4	9:6 23:25	42:25	110:18	129:6
94:24 115:6	31:10 66:17	produce 9:6	proper 118:18	purcells 10:7
presence 39:14	80:20 104:20	43:25 55:8	118:18	54:22
present 6:16	125:7 126:3	57:21 61:9	proposition	purpose 9:1
21:5 75:7	130:14,17	88:1 101:4	7:15	22:9,10 31:6
77:16 80:22	private 53:10	produced 6:21	prospect 2:8	43:24 102:13
89:12 100:25	privy 131:12	9:17 10:12	protect 20:22	purposes 6:8
103:4 111:19	pro 6:8,10,18	12:25 29:12	20:24 102:18	7:6 12:15 15:3
presentation	7:5,6,8 8:11	52:20 53:1	protecting	15:18 18:1,8
101:1	8:12 9:2,2	57:22 58:8	114:10	20:1 29:11
presented 69:2	probably 18:15	60:9,14 61:3	prove 101:2	33:15
71:21 77:3	33:22 46:1,4	83:6 112:25	proven 113:24	purview 12:20
102:21 106:16	115:12 132:3	producing 6:6	proveup 100:22	124:15
111:15 114:18	problem 55:3,4	product 27:15	100:22	push 7:3
115:23 116:24	procedure	47:13 49:3,9	provide 100:24	put 8:12 9:3
129:2	32:23 130:10	49:22 75:16	117:5	23:24 46:24
presenting	procedures	100:19	provided 3:10	59:23 60:18
117:4	33:17 121:22	production	3:13 12:17	69:4 85:2 94:6
preserve	121:23	6:22 8:20 10:5	46:6,11 75:22	123:22 126:7
118:19,21	proceed 34:15	10:7,8,8 52:24	78:24 90:24	putting 110:21
preserved	proceeding	56:14 57:14	91:22 94:15	
103:3	20:1	60:9,15 61:3,7	122:5 127:2	Q
pretrust 59:7	proceedings	62:25 81:3,12	provision 72:13	qualifications
pretty 32:6	6:10 8:13,17	92:7	public 63:20,20	49:2
43:20 75:24	21:8 132:9	products 25:22	89:5 133:5	qualified 48:20
92:21	133:10	25:23,24,25	pull 122:1	qualify 51:9
prevent 17:22	process 11:2	26:23 28:1,13	pulled 9:12,24	quarter 125:22
previous 67:20	16:23 22:12	28:15,20,25	13:18	quarterly 46:1
91:1	27:12 31:14	30:12 46:9	pulmonary 39:6	question 11:11
previously	31:15,20 32:8	76:10 79:6	purcell 1:9 2:10	32:16 35:22

				133
71:19 76:3	raised 119:19	75:19 117:10	reference 86:4	regular 14:17
77:25 84:18	119:20	recess 52:8	referenced 9:4	125:20 131:2
85:22 88:10	ramifications	recognize 14:1	129:3	regulations
93:25 97:10	17:22	recollection	references	33:1
101:16 104:24	random 125:5	25:14,17	98:21 99:1	relate 82:4
105:1,3,5	range 126:10	30:21 77:5	referencing	related 12:21
106:24 108:3	rare 46:23	record 5:10,11	86:11 88:18	22:13,13
110:13 111:21	rate 72:22	5:21 6:11,13	100:9	27:14 31:8
111:23 113:7	rated 51:4	6:19 7:2,11,13	referred 32:23	38:11 39:15
113:9 116:23	reaches 124:6	7:13,22 8:23	77:20 86:9	42:12,12
119:10,11,14	read 26:21	9:8 10:1,4	92:8 95:23	52:14 62:1
121:10,17	34:18 37:16	13:1 14:2 15:4	98:23	81:8,16,18
122:4,12	65:6 79:22	16:12 22:8	referring 58:18	89:23 94:17
123:9 124:12	97:2,13,16	29:15 30:5	58:20 62:3	102:23 108:10
125:15	104:21	34:14,18	67:10 68:8	131:10
questioning	reading 66:6	37:16 45:23	70:15,20	relates 93:20
10:16 26:16	133:10	51:1 52:23	85:16 86:8	relating 94:16
28:4 29:10	reads 92:14	55:7 59:9	90:8 92:13	99:16
89:19	ready 99:19	61:11 70:3,10	106:14,15	relation 20:16
questionnaires	realize 65:18	71:5 72:11	128:7,8	relative 133:18
44:2	really 94:24	82:6 85:15	refers 71:6	relatively 58:15
questions 7:10	97:6 98:19	87:15 88:9,11	105:13	100:14
36:4 38:2	reask 71:19	88:11 89:10	refineries 41:2	release 56:24
83:18,18 90:1	reason 5:23	89:11,18	refinery 40:25	57:11 61:18
92:1 94:10	17:21 65:20	93:18 94:2	reflect 80:10	61:22 63:5,17
97:18 99:20	70:11 78:17	97:16 100:10	88:11 106:13	releases 56:16
99:22 105:23	reasons 89:24	104:2 106:13	reflected 66:8	relevancy
116:18 117:8	recall 19:10	115:7 126:13	103:23	42:23 76:3
118:23,24	25:17 126:10	128:6,11	reflects 53:25	relevant 42:8
119:2 121:15	receive 21:4	recordkeeping	58:14	56:5
126:6 128:18	29:2 55:22	12:14	reframe 105:4,5	remember 19:9
128:22 132:8	72:1 101:17	records 38:22	regard 104:18	72:16 122:20
quick 33:24	115:14	83:11	117:6 127:6	reminger 2:11
52:5 99:20	received 54:12	recover 29:21	regarding 97:8	removed
128:21	67:23 72:19	114:25 131:21	104:7 123:1	100:13
quickly 9:25	77:15 79:16	redacted 53:14	regards 18:9	renfrew 14:21
62:23 95:14	90:21 92:3	53:14 55:9,18	124:8 126:7	19:13 20:13
99:10	113:10,13	64:15	region 27:25	21:2,13
quit 42:17	125:7	redactions	28:17 29:1	102:18
R	receivership	57:25	108:22	reno 1:17,24
	74:24	redwood 64:22	regional 28:15	2:20 5:2,24
rabinowitz	receives 72:19	refer 10:21	regions 29:3	6:3 17:2,10
121:3,4	receiving 52:12	41:16 105:17	87:12	19:19,20

133:25	14:4 120:25	108:17,20	72:6 73:18,22	rules 7:4 33:1
reorganization	represented	restraints	74:3,8 75:11	33:17
23:22	6:15 7:23 8:8	106:20	75:19 76:1,13	run 11:2 13:15
repair 47:5	20:2,7 108:23	restriction	77:17,21 78:8	14:8 16:24
48:22	108:23 129:20	28:16	78:20 79:8	112:12
repaired 40:14	130:1	resume 10:20	80:4,23 81:1	running 16:19
40:16 45:16	representing	retainer 20:7	81:14,21,22	29:15 30:6
47:4 50:2,5,7	6:8 7:5 19:23	131:9	82:1 83:19	68:25 80:4
50:9 96:25	37:19,21	retired 14:22	84:6 85:23	85:24
repeat 29:16	54:19 55:20	return 114:14	91:20,23 92:4	runs 21:20
110:12	70:3	review 95:14	93:9 98:16	70:18 96:16
rephrase 28:10	request 12:4	98:21 99:8	100:21 101:18	ryan 95:9,18
122:14	120:6	103:25 104:12	101:24 102:9	98:7,15,16
report 39:11	requested	106:11,19	102:12,19,23	
43:6	11:20 12:5	reviewed	106:1 113:10	S
reported 1:23	133:11	126:21	113:16 115:5	sale 24:10
133:7	require 22:16	reviewing	115:8,21	25:21
reporter 8:4	required 21:7	13:25 104:16	116:15,19	sales 26:11
12:1,18 50:23	48:6 49:1	reviews 125:4	117:7,14,16	27:25 94:22
60:18 68:9	63:23 77:23	richter 14:19	117:24 118:2	108:24
86:16 90:7	78:1,2 91:7	right 8:11 13:17	118:3,6,11,20	sampled
94:6 105:7	120:6 124:18	14:7 16:3,14	118:22,22	124:11,11
133:4	requirements	17:23 22:3,16	119:23,23	samples 122:16
reporting 1:24	115:4	23:5,23 27:20	129:10,15,22	sampling
133:25	requires 90:16	31:3 32:15	130:4,20	124:19
represent 6:10	100:23	34:25 35:5	131:13,14	samplings
20:9 55:8 61:1	res 73:20,20	36:12,13,23	righthand	125:4
65:22 93:17	residence 18:1	38:8,18 39:11	35:11 65:5,9	san 6:3 9:22
100:9 102:3	resolution	40:6,24 41:12	rights 114:25	14:12,13
108:10 121:14	90:22 92:11	41:15 43:18	115:4,25	21:15,19,20
126:25 127:22	respect 69:16	43:21 44:14	116:9 117:1	22:2 24:7,8,13
representation	105:10 107:4	44:18,20	ripout 47:4	87:6 91:6,8
37:17 125:9	107:6 130:23	46:22,23 48:1	riverside 2:16	96:19 100:2
129:21 130:23	respects 59:18	48:13 49:14	robust 46:1	100:12 101:10
representatio	responds 97:4	49:23 51:17	role 21:2 43:8	103:18 108:17
110:3	97:5	52:16 54:3,6	127:5,7,10,19	sandra 14:11
representative	response 52:21	54:10,14 55:6	room 19:13,13	sara 1:15 5:4,13
14:6,20 20:14	55:17 104:16	57:12 63:25	19:14,15	5:13,19,20
21:12 36:17	responses 91:7	64:4 65:13,14	ross 2:12 5:16	59:13 132:15
36:22 44:17	91:10	65:16 67:8	5:16 9:16 34:7	sausalito 15:11
56:11 120:8	responsible	68:5,14,17	60:11,12	saying 24:23 30:4 49:8
121:2 127:13	94:22	69:4,5,7,8,18	128:20	55:17 57:21
representativ	rest 79:22	69:23,24 70:4	routing 64:12	00.17 07.21
	l	l	l	

				100
74:21 83:4	39:25 40:5,21	served 11:15	19:14	41:2 109:13
116:19 129:8	40:24 41:1,15	11:19,22 12:4	shes 6:5 21:20	short 97:4
130:12	42:1 43:17,20	12:5	60:20 109:23	shouldnt 75:19
says 8:15 62:7	43:24 44:13	serves 56:20	121:5	75:25
62:12 64:23	91:9,12 93:18	service 79:2	ship 32:5 40:2	show 32:4
68:13 78:15	sections 36:19	82:15	40:11,14,16	38:16 51:5
79:15,20	37:15 90:14	services 53:11	40:19,20 41:4	123:20 124:4
86:13 90:21	99:6	serving 41:10	41:5,10,14	127:10
95:21 96:21	security 54:9	set 32:18 37:14	46:2,11,13,19	showing 69:3
97:7 104:14	see 7:8 85:24	44:1 64:11	46:21,25 47:2	shown 97:16
scan 39:3	86:2,12 93:14	66:21 70:18	48:18,22	shows 95:24
scans 39:2	95:19 98:17	73:19 81:2	49:11 50:4	96:21
scenario	101:20 105:13	86:3	95:13 96:19	shut 88:18
115:23	106:21 123:17	sets 33:13	96:23,24,25	side 6:2 37:5
scope 12:21	125:20	settled 74:12	108:18 109:2	38:17 48:9,20
28:4 29:11,12	seeing 76:17	settlement 13:4	109:8,10,15	87:23
85:3 86:8	seek 115:12	13:19 16:5,9	109:15 110:11	sidebyside
88:17 89:20	seeking 12:22	17:7 19:3 20:6	110:19 111:3	93:22
90:2 94:22	100:24	20:17 22:10	111:17 112:3	sieben 14:15
96:12,13	seen 11:9 85:9	22:22 31:24	113:21	15:8,9
screen 122:1	91:17 94:3	33:7 34:1,3,9	shipboard 40:5	signature 44:14
screwed 59:20	98:24 99:12	35:6 36:9 45:9	41:3	56:24 61:20
61:12	101:13 105:11	53:7,12,16	shipped 108:19	63:11,11,15
se 6:18 7:5,9	113:12 129:1	54:24 56:1	109:15	90:17
8:12 9:2	129:4	58:24 64:9	ships 40:23	signatures
sec 89:5	selfexplanato	67:18 69:10	41:8,21 44:24	63:12
second 8:22	43:20 61:8	73:25 78:17	45:14,16	signed 56:16
9:17 11:21	seller 24:7	80:11,25	46:18,24 47:6	56:17,18,19
12:3,5,10	26:22	88:21 126:19	47:8,11,12,15	59:11,13 60:1
17:25 23:10	send 55:15,17	127:7,24	47:22,25	60:7 63:5,12
56:9 57:13	131:3,6	settlements	48:18 50:1,1,2	63:13 64:10
60:15 61:6	sense 7:10 48:5	55:24	109:13 111:4	90:17 92:18
62:25 64:2	76:8,11 81:7	seven 39:6	shipyard 40:15	100:18
66:9 81:3,12	sent 57:17	78:22	40:17,17,18	significant
93:1 98:20	64:14 105:15	share 16:22	40:20 41:6,7,7	103:18
104:12	separate 57:6	shed 106:21	93:20 96:3,6	signing 56:23
secondary	separately	sheet 45:4,18	103:18,19	133:11
41:16	44:24	50:24 54:1	106:8,8	signs 44:17
secondly 6:20	september 53:8	62:3,5,11,12	108:12,19	similar 27:9
section 37:16	59:10 60:4	63:21 65:4	109:3,7	30:12 50:24
37:24 38:6,9	80:15	66:4 68:9 91:4	111:17 113:22	63:17,21
38:19,24,24	series 33:1	sheets 59:6,15	shipyards	90:12 92:20
39:2,10,17,18	serve 17:6	sheppard 14:19	40:13,23 41:1	94:16

simply 8:15	104:1,6,21	25:17 27:10	ss 133:2	105:5
61:1 69:16	105:14 113:4	27:14 37:5	stack 53:2 65:3	string 95:8 98:2
71:15 93:7	129:9	38:3,11 45:3	staff 70:14	98:4,9,16 99:4
94:2 119:14	slide 60:19	52:15 61:1	stamped 101:8	study 51:15
single 13:17,19	slightly 86:8	81:8 113:1,16	standards 44:1	stuff 29:22 53:2
13:24 48:18	slim 118:4	sorts 45:4	stands 39:4	67:1
51:15 95:8	slot 84:16	sound 36:11	start 18:16 19:8	stupid 35:23
sir 125:12,19	small 57:10	sounds 36:13	26:8 45:23	subheading
sit 114:24	58:15 65:6,10	source 58:10	51:6 53:18	14:4
site 40:2,2,4	smarter 120:3,4	southern 28:21	started 16:20	subject 18:7
41:14,14 49:3	smoke 43:3,4,6	29:1 87:8,14	17:5 111:18	53:11 89:5
49:5,6,12	smoked 42:15	speak 12:10	state 17:15 18:9	95:12 99:4
50:20 96:9	smoking 42:1,5	19:4 36:16	18:10 30:5	125:3
103:19 109:4	42:7,13	48:9 74:20	52:23 85:23	submit 31:22
109:4 110:18	snyder 14:10	83:9 86:16,20	98:21 131:20	35:7
111:17 112:3	21:14,15	88:7 129:16	133:1,5	submits 32:8
113:22	59:12,13 60:1	speaking 8:23	stated 5:11	submitted
sites 32:5 41:22	60:7 64:11	126:11	30:7 82:17	35:24 90:20
49:1,2,10 51:4	socalled 60:15	specialty 21:19	89:13 94:25	104:1,17,18
96:14 109:11	social 54:9	specific 37:8,12	statement 6:11	106:4 107:14
127:12	sold 22:4 25:24	48:6 52:19	8:3	109:20 112:9
sitting 41:19	28:24	specifically	states 1:3 20:4	121:25
58:9 114:11	sole 87:13	38:21 78:9	stating 107:21	submitting 33:9
116:6	soliloquy 76:2	116:22 124:13	stationed 41:7	36:18 37:3
situated 17:10	solutions 95:21	speculate	status 23:18	subpoena
situation 31:17	somebody	128:1	31:1	11:15,16,17
33:6 38:12	15:20 31:15	speculation	statute 44:8	11:21 12:2,3,5
52:16 71:21	32:16 36:25	76:5 101:25	statutes 21:8	12:10 28:4
75:23 112:21	39:7 41:4,6,9	105:16 110:2	stenographic	29:13 85:3
113:24 114:4	42:19 44:5,7	114:16 116:3	133:14	86:2,7,18
116:17,24	53:9 66:20,22	126:24	stephen 59:11	88:17 89:20
129:22 131:18	75:16 92:23	spelled 16:13	steve 14:10	90:2 96:12
situations	103:16	51:19	sticker 94:6	104:16
117:2 131:25	somewhat	spend 30:9	stickers 60:18	subpoenas
six 24:20 39:2,6	90:12 93:16	90:3	62:23	11:18 12:21
45:24 57:10	sorry 5:10 16:8	spits 95:21	stipulate 55:14	12:24 52:21
103:24 107:10	16:17 28:10	spot 23:24	85:24	successor
107:13 112:9	59:20 66:14	spouse 41:17	stipulating 55:2	65:15
sixteen 93:10	73:4 82:10	spread 45:3,18	stop 73:18	successors
size 53:23	97:7 105:7	50:1,24 54:1	storage 100:13	100:5
skill 133:16	122:10 125:13	59:6,15 62:5	street 2:20 24:8	suffered 38:10
skubic 63:14,14	126:8	62:11,12 65:4	strike 26:8,25	suffering 27:13
92:18 98:6	sort 17:13 23:7	68:8	71:18 95:3	33:6 42:20

				137
52:13	system 72:22	18:8,10,11	92:17 112:20	78:19 79:18
sufficient	75:24	64:13,20	128:17	80:1,5,19 81:1
106:19		tchaikovsky	thanks 97:2	83:20 84:7
sufficiently	T	17:19	128:10	89:7,9 91:20
87:3	tables 45:3	tdp 33:17	thats 6:11 8:9	91:24 92:5
suggesting	tac 14:19 15:4	tecum 11:16,17	10:22 11:10	94:23 95:10
115:1	15:13,15,15	60:13	12:6,13 13:7	97:6,21 99:6
suite 2:16	15:17 19:12	telephone 1:25	13:11 14:8	102:13,20,24
sum 73:21	21:11 120:6	54:20	15:6,6,12	103:22,23
summer 70:4	120:24 128:14	telephonically	18:13 20:25	106:9,10
superior 9:18	take 11:25	6:17	21:6,7,25	108:21 110:21
9:22 91:6	13:25 19:18	tell 13:1 19:12	23:13 24:15	111:15,17,18
100:1,12	24:4 31:19	23:11,12,19	25:12,14 26:3	112:20 115:22
101:11	43:7,10 51:22	30:25 45:10	31:13 32:15	117:13,23
supplementa	52:5 58:7	50:22 51:13	33:3,4,6,17	118:3 121:10
9:17	60:17 76:12	51:16 53:4	34:3 36:1,24	123:15 124:23
supply 24:10	98:20 104:12	56:14 66:5,7	37:20 38:1,5,8	124:25 126:2
25:21 26:11	114:19 115:23	67:14 70:5	38:15,23 39:1	128:8 129:23
87:11	122:19 124:19	71:18 79:8,25	39:4,7,9,12	129:24 131:11
support 9:19	130:9	84:17 86:15	40:1,7,8,16,24	131:13
100:3	taken 10:23	90:20 96:15	41:8,10,11,25	theres 5:22
supporting	11:7,7 52:8	96:15 99:19	42:10 43:20	8:14 11:10
7:16 107:17	55:9 57:15	110:25 111:6	44:16 45:4	12:22 14:10
107:18	69:12 107:13	111:7 114:4	48:1,6,9,14	15:14 16:10
suppose 50:13	108:7 111:4	120:11 121:8	49:12,20	23:3 32:22,25
sure 5:11 7:17	133:15	127:1 129:12	50:11,12 51:2	33:18 35:12
20:20 21:2	talk 17:4 31:3	telling 111:11	52:17 54:4,11	39:19 41:8,9
26:19 29:14	32:18 42:25	113:24	54:13,15	42:23 44:7,22
30:4 35:19	50:16 52:18	tells 79:23	55:13,16,25	44:25 46:23
52:1,25 55:11	94:24 115:6	ten 39:25 40:21	57:14 58:6,17	48:6,21,23
57:20,23	talked 21:11,12	70:13 71:12	58:19 59:17	49:11,21,23
63:12 69:11	36:15 66:19	71:15	59:19 60:12	50:20 51:6
72:4 78:18	67:1 93:5	term 73:19	60:16 62:12	53:22,23
85:23 86:1,11	109:3,12	terms 127:18	63:16 64:1,5	55:24 69:25
86:17 88:8	113:19 114:11	test 39:6,7	64:19 65:9,20	70:17 72:13
90:3 102:17	115:15 118:1	testified 5:6	65:25 66:15	72:14 74:16
103:1 110:5	talking 7:22 8:3 15:25 46:18	testimony	67:9 68:2,5,18	74:19,23
116:12 118:17		43:13 110:8	69:8,24 72:22	76:18 77:25
121:9 124:18	57:11 71:9	130:15 133:15	73:20,21,23	78:5 79:10,20
124:20 129:15	72:9 86:18 100:8 130:22	thank 15:3	74:4,5,9,23	80:18 81:5,22
surgeon 43:5	130:25	18:14 36:6	75:23,23	81:24 83:14
sworn 5:5	tax 17:22 18:1,5	44:20 61:6	76:14,25 77:5	87:3 90:19
133:9	Lax 17.22 10.1,0	63:3 91:25	77:13,19	95:12 99:1,15

110:22 111:2	32:22 33:22	75:17 78:7	10:10 11:17	113:25 133:13
112:13,16,19	42:21 44:23	79:5 81:14	12:25 17:4	truly 104:20
113:2 115:16	50:3,17,21	98:8 102:11	19:22 20:2	trust 9:5,13
117:7 118:2	52:10,24	103:6 108:13	24:17 57:20	10:12 12:4,5
127:16 130:7	54:21 55:13	110:19 112:17	83:13 88:23	13:1,2,4,5,9
thermal 24:6,11	55:14,16	114:9 115:16	93:23 109:20	13:13,15,19
25:21,24	57:10 59:3	119:1,15	112:9,25	14:3,4,5,16,17
26:11	60:16 61:7	tiein 97:20	113:24 114:11	
theyre 10:5	63:11 68:7	time 12:9,16,18	114:24 117:4	15:5,25 16:4,5
27:7 37:14	69:25 77:4		125:7 129:3	16:18,21,24
_		13:8,25 18:17		16:25 17:1,7
54:5 55:17,22	78:21 79:21	18:25 19:8	todays 126:3	17:13,14,21
56:17 58:23	80:7 82:14,20	23:20 24:25	told 26:20 31:5	18:7,13,17
60:20 63:8	86:22,23 87:8	25:10 26:12	71:23 79:19	19:3,4 20:6,17
74:21 76:1	89:23 91:20	27:19 30:10	109:21	20:23 22:11
81:8 110:4	93:21 101:16	32:10 42:16	tomography	22:22 24:23
111:8 115:9,9	115:16 117:7	42:17 46:15	39:3	25:2 26:17,18
117:16 118:8	117:8 118:23	47:5,8,9,16,24	top 92:22 93:15	27:9,10 29:25
120:23 123:24	119:25 121:6	49:18 50:4,6	97:7 98:17	31:4,6,24
125:5 126:13	121:8 122:21	51:2,5,8 52:4	total 34:4 35:9	32:23,25 33:2
130:1	123:21 128:1	65:11,21 66:6	36:10 45:19	33:7,14,15,16
theyve 57:15	128:8 132:7	66:11,17	72:2,3 79:2	34:1,3,9 35:7
80:10	third 23:10 24:8	70:19 72:8,18	82:21 117:19	35:8,24 43:7
thing 56:15	116:3	73:9 78:2	totals 64:24	43:23 45:9
57:11 66:7	thirdhand 74:1	80:12 90:4	tough 65:11	46:6,11 47:14
97:7 99:8	thomas 120:18	95:18 97:1,4	66:6	48:12 49:9
101:20 102:7	thorpe 16:5,8	98:12 103:15	toxins 43:4,4	51:17,20
102:14,17	16:11,12,13	103:19 106:19	training 108:16	52:11,20 53:7
112:23 124:17	16:25	106:20 108:21	transcript	53:24 54:24
things 32:6	thorpes 16:10	119:7 120:1	133:13,14	55:7 58:24
33:24 37:5	thought 8:6	125:15 128:18	transfer 53:13	59:5,8,12
46:15 51:18	10:11 59:1	130:17	transparency	60:14 61:3
51:18 55:15	117:3	times 34:18	15:21	63:23 64:3,9
67:11 75:4	thousand 94:3	40:15 46:5	transport	64:23 66:17
86:9 99:16	three 6:3 13:14	48:24 49:17	109:10,14	66:21 67:2,7
114:11 115:10	14:7,10 23:6	94:3	treated 18:8	67:17,23 68:6
117:25 118:9	23:21 29:25	tissue 39:13	116:5	68:17,20,24
127:18 131:10	31:9 33:13	122:16	treatment 21:4	69:2,4,16
think 5:17 8:25	38:6 46:7,12	title 34:7,17	trial 87:4 88:3	70:13 71:1,22
10:2 11:18,20	46:20 48:13	35:6	101:1	72:15,21
12:6,8 16:11	49:5,6,13,17	titles 14:9	troop 108:18	73:18,22,25
18:4 21:21	54:2,6 56:3	tobacco 43:4	109:9,14	74:3,13 75:5
23:2 25:11,12	57:6 58:23	today 5:10 7:3	troops 108:17	75:12,23
25:18 30:17	60:8,24 66:13	9:1,2,7,23	true 55:1 72:8	76:15,22,24
L				

77:3,23 78:17	114:19 115:9	uhhuh 16:15	units 50:9	131:18
78:24 79:23	115:10,24	18:21 24:21	unredact 55:15	vibrating 47:11
80:3 83:7,11	trusts 13:3 16:2	ultimate 75:12	unredacted	victims 31:6,7
83:15 84:5,5,9	32:24 84:25	102:16	56:10	102:9
84:9 88:2,15	try 11:12 34:21	ultimately	urgent 99:5	village 17:25
88:20,21	75:13 76:15	107:7	use 39:19 85:20	viiiage 17.25 vipond 90:25
89:21 90:12	118:1 121:15	umbrella 22:21	87:11 118:21	90:25
90:13,16,22	trying 23:9,24	25:1 49:10	120:14	virginia 90:24
91:22 92:3,11	29:23 36:1	uncovered	usually 11:3	92:12
92:24 94:15	73:16 117:7	107:9	120:14 125:22	virtue 129:20
97:19 99:2,9	131:22		120.14 125.22	vs 1:8
101:12,17,23	turn 29:6 50:2	underlying 69:15	V	VS 1.0
102:8,12,13	52:18 61:6	undersigned	vague 95:1	W
102:0,12,13	turned 31:16	61:19	122:12 129:11	w9 62:9,9,10
102.23 104.0	turns 111:16	understand	valid 32:10	w9s 77:20 81:4
107:8 109:5	two 7:23 11:18	10:15 18:4,14	75:15 103:4	81:13,14 93:5
109:12 111:10	11:23 12:15	19:25,25	112:4	waive 8:11
111:18 112:12	13:3 16:2	25:22 26:19	validate 100:20	waived 8:14
112:13,18	30:19 33:15	34:22 36:5	validity 69:5	54:25
113:2,9,15,20	37:24 40:1	47:7 53:14	valuation 122:2	waiver 8:11
113:23,23,25	44:22 48:24	64:16 65:16	value 39:20	want 7:12,14
114:3,6,12	48:25 51:10	83:1 110:6,14	42:6,16,18	8:12,24 9:3
116:7,14,22	56:16,17 62:8	understanding	72:2,3,6	24:17 25:16
117:4,24	63:12 64:10	12:6,7 24:5,15	117:19 122:8	28:3 29:24
118:5,10,19	67:4 70:10,12	24:16,25 25:4	122:16	30:2,5 35:21
119:18,25	71:9 74:2,25	25:20 26:2,10	vargas 2:18	40:23 45:9
120:7,25	78:24 79:15	27:2 28:11,14	59:4	51:22 53:3
121:22,25	79:24 81:19	43:3,7,15	variables 111:1	55:15 58:7
122:7,25	81:22 84:21	47:21 48:16	variety 32:6	59:23 72:4
123:10 125:25	98:8 113:3	66:2 68:19	various 14:9	75:14 88:10
126:20 127:5	119:1 120:5	69:8 70:9 74:1	25:1 36:18	89:6,11,13
127:7,16,21	122:19	78:19 90:18	37:15 51:19	93:1 94:5
127:24 128:5	tying 97:20	101:15 127:25	57:3 59:16	98:19,20 99:8
128:16 129:8	type 20:1 23:17	understands	76:16 84:23	99:22 102:5
130:3,9,11	30:15 39:8	87:18	87:12 90:14	103:12,22
131:6,14	42:4,11 45:19	understood	90:15 98:5	106:3,10
132:3	54:1 59:19	22:9	121:24	107:2 108:5
trustee 14:11	60:2,5 83:11	underway	vasquez 120:18	109:17 110:17
14:12,13	128:14	47:11	verify 60:20	114:12 119:2
21:16 59:12	typed 93:15	unintelligible	61:13 106:17	123:22 128:1
trustees 13:15	types 40:1	122:12	version 34:2,10	128:10 131:17
14:5,10 19:11		unit 24:12	versus 41:9	wanted 9:14
19:16 21:14	U	united 1:3	71:22 123:10	99:6 112:20
	-	-	-	-

	64:04		400:04.05	
wants 15:20	64:21	weve 6:21	109:24,25	wouldnt 96:10
66:22	western 9:12	36:14 59:12	113:12 114:2	109:21 129:12
war 93:21	13:4,18 16:22	60:2 68:25	114:18 116:4	written 74:7
103:21,21	16:24 17:1,4,7	71:20 73:11	120:5 124:3	wrongful 31:17
108:15	19:3 20:6,16	73:11 81:3	124:14 126:25	33:5 38:12
washing 41:18	22:10,14,14	82:25 85:16	127:10 128:13	52:16 66:24
washington	22:21,22	90:8 94:3	129:12 130:16	X
120:23	23:15,18 24:4	101:16 109:12	133:9	xls 62:13
washoe 133:2,5	24:4,5 25:4,5	115:14 117:8	witnesses	xrays 38:25
wasnt 30:21	25:19 26:4,10	whats 20:14	100:25	X1 ay 5 30.23
67:12 75:16	27:17 28:11	21:19 22:10	witnesss 96:13	Y
wastrust 45:11	28:18 30:13	22:11 31:20	wmac 81:5	yea 55:18
way 17:8 18:16	30:16,19	39:18 43:24	98:23	yeah 12:13 15:1
23:1 24:2 26:4	31:23 32:25	54:16 66:8 88:22 91:5	wonderful	15:20 41:2
44:4 48:12	33:7 34:1,2,9		32:15	42:14 45:14
49:16,21 69:4	35:6 36:9	92:8 103:23	word 123:7	46:14 49:16
75:24 79:10	40:10,10	127:5	words 33:19	59:22 94:1
81:6 83:23	44:24 45:9,14	whatsoever	75:16 87:9	98:25 115:12
98:14 104:19 111:14 125:11	45:16 46:8,8	7:15 43:8	123:22	119:1,3
_	50:9 53:7,12	willing 55:6	work 21:21	year 35:10,13
wayne 2:19	53:24 56:11	wire 62:1 124:4	24:13 27:10	46:5 47:20
ways 76:22	58:24 61:17	131:3	37:13 41:8	84:21,23
website 9:12,24	64:9 69:7,22	wires 123:20	46:10 49:9	119:20
13:19 14:3	69:22 73:24	wiring 61:24	51:3,3,7,11	years 15:1 22:4
29:5 32:5,19	75:18,18 78:7	64:9 123:17	79:6 93:20	24:20 25:5,7
33:8 35:20	78:16,16	123:23 124:3	100:19 109:9	25:19,22
45:10 83:2,5	82:12 84:5	withdraw 89:15	worked 30:24	28:12 31:11
132:2	87:5,13,19	89:16	31:1 116:21	46:12 48:3
wed 49:16	88:12,13,15	witness 5:19	workers 41:21	76:12 84:21
115:12 122:21	88:20,24 89:2	12:13 13:20	working 36:25	102:15 103:24
week 51:10	89:21,22	19:1 26:20	37:7 40:16,18	107:10,12,13
welcome 9:13	90:13 94:21	34:6,13,14 42:24 45:11	41:7,22,22	112:9 120:5
106:12 107:17	96:9 97:19 98:22 99:3	_	worksheet	yesterday 6:4
109:19		45:22 56:12	57:14 62:2 worksheets	york 120:21,23
wells 53:10	100:4 107:6,8	56:21 60:24	56:13	youd 42:24
59:11	107:11,12	71:11 82:6,14		99:8 106:11
went 23:2 60:13	108:8,23	85:4,12 86:15	world 87:25	106:16 119:23
66:2 72:18	109:16 110:20	87:2,17 91:16	93:20 103:21	127:3 131:11
74:11,24	111:7 112:24 113:9 125:10	91:17 94:7 95:13 96:14	103:21 108:15 worth 32:13	youll 8:22
90:12 108:14				101:20
108:17	125:17 126:19	101:11 102:1	60:11 76:20	youre 6:17,22
west 2:8,20	127:6,24	103:9 105:17	76:21	6:24 7:8 9:13
westamerica	129:2 131:14	105:24 106:16	worthy 114:7	36:5 37:2
				33.3 37.2
				30.3 37.2

38:11 40:16	70:7 83:3	82:7,8 93:1	20:8 34:2	250 32:7 33:8
40:17 46:20	10 3:14 62:14	15 3:17 43:20	62:11,15,17	131:1
48:7,10 49:8	63:4,16,22	49:13 52:4	64:11 66:22	2802 82:16
49:11,12 50:4	64:6 71:6	82:11,22,23	77:4,16 81:17	29th 64:11
50:14 51:10	100 2:20 32:13	93:3	81:20,24 82:4	
52:4 77:23	71:24 72:5	16 3:17 36:10	93:2 111:19	3
81:10 83:9,13	73:16 75:10	44:13 90:6	2005 11:20	3 3:11 13:21,22
84:8,9 88:10	75:13 76:12	91:13,14	2006 16:20	13:25 21:14
88:19 89:7	102:15 118:1	92:20 94:11	59:21,25	34:2,10 95:19
94:24 97:18	118:4	103:14 106:14	80:15 92:10	96:22 119:6
99:7,19	101 2:8 3:19	106:15	95:11,19 98:8	132:9
105:22 106:12	102903 63:10	16065600 53:11	103:24 104:17	31 62:8 72:10
106:13 107:16	104 3:20	17 3:18 92:6	105:15 107:9	72:15 79:15
107:20,21	1099 62:12,15	93:11 94:8	108:5	119:6,16,18
109:18 115:5	62:16,17 78:9	105:18 106:14	2007 53:8 59:10	31st 82:17
128:7,8 130:7	81:24	113:1,5	59:15 60:4	33 119:6
youve 11:9	1099s 53:25	126:10	80:15	33102 66:12
12:25 16:10	59:15 62:18	1703 63:15	2008 53:24 60:6	34 3:11 72:17
20:11 24:18	77:24	18 3:18 95:5,6	80:16	119:19
24:22 30:7	10th 19:9	98:13 126:13	2009 65:5	35 3:12 18:7
50:3 53:14	11 3:15 40:5	19 3:19 53:8	2010 1:16 5:2	132:9
62:21 83:17	63:17,23 64:6	59:10 60:4	24:18 77:17	362009 45:1,18
91:21 94:25	119:17	97:23,24 98:2	133:7	
101:13 105:10	1111 1:24	98:14 126:10	205 1:23 133:23	4
111:1 122:25	112202 71:7	126:14	207 2:16	4 3:11 33:25
125:23 129:1	1124 58:14	1945 103:21	20th 90:21	34:5,11 36:15
129:2,6	11242009 62:11	106:9 108:13	21 3:20 62:14	95:11
	65:8	19th 80:15	104:3,9	40 5:2 71:23
Z	119 3:5		105:10 113:3	72:18 119:19
zenna 95:9	11th 61:18	2	113:5	404 62:14
	12 3:10,15 5:2	2 3:10 12:2,16	22 1:16 5:2 45:2	413 79:12,25
0	41:15 64:8	64:20 83:3	92:10 104:17	415 91:3
000 79:13,21	65:1 124:1,4	20 3:19 99:25	22nd 18:19,20	416 79:13
04 79:10 80:6	121 3:5	100:8 101:6	19:4 95:11,19	41704 61:21
050 66:16	128 3:6	2000 70:4 90:21	98:7 105:15	41904 61:23
07cv03188dcn	12902 100:18	103:15 106:5	23 34:4	63:18
1:8	12th 2:20	2000s 74:11	23rd 98:7	43 95:19
09 58:14	13 3:11,16 42:1	2002 25:12,12	24 45:19	43017 2:16
0906 35:12	65:3 68:7,8,10	25:18 61:18	24th 65:5	44 32:11,14
1	68:13 77:3	82:17 107:11	25 124:19,20	72:6,7,18
1 1:8 3:10 12:2	13102 66:13,14	2004 13:5 17:5	130:8,17	79:12,25
	68:13 70:25	17:6 18:18,19	131:5,16,19	117:16,19,21
12:17 34:2,10 62:13 66:16	14 3:16 43:17	18:24 19:1,5,7	131:21	119:21
02.13 00.10				441151027 2:8
	•	-	•	•

			102
446 79:12,25 45 3:12,13 96:22 454 96:22 48 117:17 481 79:21 4th 57:14 5 5 3:4,12 35:2,3 35:5 36:9 49:6 52:4 62:8 72:10,15 79:15 119:6 119:18 525520 92:13 55 95:11 6 6 3:12 45:5,6,22 60 3:14 6099 2:16 64 3:14,15 65 3:15 6796 1:23 133:24 68 3:16	82 3:16,17 89504 2:20 8981555 91:3 8th 59:25 80:15 9 9 60:24 90 47:20,22 48:1,17,19 49:25 91 3:17 94 3:18 95 3:18 97 3:19 9a 3:14 53:6,7 53:22 58:22 59:9,17,23,24 60:22 61:8 80:14 9b 3:14 59:18 60:4,22 80:14 9c 3:14 60:5,22 80:14		
6th 60:6			
7			
73:13 45:15,20 45:22 70:7 70 120:1 71802 71:8 738 66:16 7662 54:13 775 1:25 78 54:13 7867655 1:25 8 8 3:13 50:23 80 120:1 80s 89:1			